Published on the Occasion of the Celebration of the 2500th Nirvāņa Mahotsava of Bhagavān Mahāvīra

JAINA LITERATURE IN TAMIL

by

The Late Prof. A CHAKRAVARTI, M A., I E.S. (Retd)

with

An Introduction, Footnotes, Appendix and Index

by
Dr K. V. RAMESH
Deputy Superintending Epigraphist,
Archaeological Survey of India,
Mysoie



BHĀRATĪYA JÑĀNAPĪTHA PUBLICATION

VIRA NIRVANA SAMVAT 2500, VIKRAMA SAMVAT 2031, 1974, A.D. First Revised Edition. Price Rs. 20-00

BHĀRATĪYA JÑĀNAPĪŢHA MŪRTIDEVĪ

JAINA GRANTHAMĀLĀ

FOUNDED BY

SĀHU SHĀNTIPRASĀD JAIN

IN MEMORY OF HIS LATE BENEVOLENT MOTHER

SHRÎ MÜRTIDEVÎ

In this Granthamālā critically edited laina Āgamic, Philosophical, Paurāņic, Literary, Historical and other original texts available in Prākrit, Sanskrit, Apabhramsa, Hindi, Kannada, Tamil etc., are being published in their respective languages with their translations in modern languages

AND

Catalogues of Jima Bhandaras, Inscriptions, Studies of competent scholars & popular Jain literature are also being published

General Editors

Dr. A. N. Upadhye

Pt. Kailash Chandra Shastri

Published by Bhāratīya Jñānapītha

Head office B/45-47, Connaught Place, New Delhi-110001.

Printed at The Vidyasagar Printing and Publishing House, Mysore-570009

Table of Contents

	Pages
General Editorial	iii
Introduction	v
Preface (first edition)	ХX
Prof. A. Chakravarti (A Biography)	xxi
Jaina Literature in Tamil	1-137
Cultural Background of Jainism	1-10
Jamas in the Tamil Country	10-14
Three Sangams and Jama Influence	14-19
Tolkāppiyam and its commentaries	19-28
Kural: Its Authorship and date	28-40
Nāladīyār	40-44
Minor Didactic Works	44-48
Kāvya Literature	48-50
Silappadikāram: Its Author and contents	50-63
Jivaka Cintaman: Its contents	63-83
-	
Minor Kāvyas	83-110
Yaśōdhara Kāvya	84-90
Cūļāmaņi: Its contents	90-94
Nīlakēśi : its Analysis	94-110
Perunkathai	110-118
Mērumandirapurāņam	118-126
Sripurāņa	126-127
Works on Prosody and Grammar	127-131
Tamil Lexicons	131-134
Miscellaneous Works	134-136
Estimate of Jaina Influence	13 6-137
Appendix: Jaina Epigraphs in Tamil	139-205
Index	206-232

General Editorial

'Jaina Literature in Tamil' by the renowned Tamil scholar, the late Prof. A. Chakravarti, M.A., I.E.S. (Retd.), was published as early as July, 1941 by the Jaina Siddhanta Bhayana, Arrah. This learned introduction to the contribution of the Jainas in the field of Tamil literature had been long out of print. The thought of having the book reprinted with the addition of upto-date information and all necessary references had occurred to one of us more than a decade ago; and all along attempts were being made to find a suitable scholar who could be entrusted with this onerous task. It was only some two years ago that our friend Dr. G. S. Gai, Chief Epigraphist, Archaeological Survey of India, Mysore kindly suggested the name of Dr. K.V. Ramesh, serving as Deputy Superintending Epigraphist in his office, to whom this work was assigned. It was so good of Dr. Ramesh that, in the midst of his official duties, he was devote time and attention to this work. He has revised and enlarged Prof. Chakravarti's book by providing exhaustive footnotes containing additional information, references, bibliography, etc. He has also given, in an appendix, the texts of some published Jaina Tamil epigraphs besides providing an exhaustive index at the end. We are so thankful to him that he undertook this responsibility and discharged it quite satisfactorily. It is fondly hoped that this revised edition of the late Professor's pioneering work will be found useful by students and scholars interested in the

history of Jainism in South India and that it would prove a substantial aid for further studies in Tamil Literature.

The 2500th Nirvāṇa Anniversary of Bhagavān Mahāvīra is being celebrated from November 13, 1974 onwards for a period of one year. It is to mark this great event that the Bhāratīya Jñānapītha is publishing the late Professor A. Chakravarti's 'Jaina Literature in Tamil' in its present revised form. Words are inadequate to express how much we feel obliged to the authorities of the Bhāratīya Jñānapītha, especially to its enlightened President, Shrimati Rama Jain, and to its benign Patron, Shri Sahu Shanti Prasadaji, for arranging the publication of this work. Our thanks are due to Shri Lakshmi Chandra Jain who is enthusiastically implementing the scheme of publications undertaken by the Jñānpīth

A. N. UPADHYE
November 13, 1974

KAILASH CHANDRA SHASTRI

INTRODUCTION

Apart from their distinctive Ahimsā doctrine in the field of religious thought and practice, the most lasting contributions of the Jainas to the wealth of our national heritage lie in the fields of literature and art and architecture. In particular, the role which Jaina scholars, poets and authors have played in enriching Prakrit and Sanskrit literature and grammar at the all-India level and as pioneers in the growth of Tamil and Kannada literature and grammar as far as South India is concerned is, it may be safely asserted, varied and, from a practical stand-point, highly utilitarian. Though the early literature of the Jainas is in Prakrit, in the different linguistic regions to which missionary zeal had taken them in the distant past, they unhesitatingly adopted, as the media of their doctrinal preachings and instructions and their prolific writings, the different local dialects and languages which were being spoken in those regions. Thereby Jaina scholars have been able to make, through successive centuries and in a phenomenal measure, pioneering and lasting contributions to the growth of the various branches of literature in such regional languages as Rājasthāni, Hindi and Gujarāti in the north and Kannada and Tamil in the south.

The present work by Prof. A. Chakravarti being a learned introduction to Tamil works written by Jaina authors, treating of their kāvyas and mahākāvyas, purāņas and didactic and devotional poems, lyrics, tales, romances and allegories, their works on logic,

dialectics, ethics, politics, grammar, poetics and prosody, lexicon and medicine, it will not be out of place to trace here briefly the history of the advent, growth and decline of Jainism in Tamilnādu, based on the scanty historical evidence that is available.

The questions as to when exactly Jainism entered the Tamil country and whether it did so through Andhra or via Karnātaka are matters of mere academic interest. While the presence of Jainism in Ceylon as early as in the 4th century B.C. indirectly hints at the possible prevalence of that faith in the Tamil country at that early period, on the strength of the credible tradition as recorded by Ratnanandi of the 15th century in his Bhadrabāhu-carita and by Devacandra of the last century in his Rajavali-kathe, it may be safely concluded that the Jaina faith had entered the Cola and Pandya kingdoms at the latest by the 3rd century B.C. through the missionary activities of Viśākhācārya, the accredited disciple of Bhadrabahu 1 "The spread of the faith in the south after Bhadrabahu is attested by the presence of Jain vestiges in the natural caverns to which Jain ascetics resorted for their penance. Inside these caverns are 'beds' with 'pillows' cut on the floor and on their brows are long and deep 'driplines' scooped out to prevent rain water from running into the caverns. In some of these caverns, particularly in the districts of Trichinopoly including Pudukkottai, Madurai, Ramnad and Tırunelveli, there are also

^{1.} See P. B. Desai. Jainism in South India (Sholapur, 1957), pp. 25-27,

inscriptions¹¹. The fact that many, if not all of these early cave inscriptions which, as revealed by their palaeography, were written in Brāhmī characters during 3rd century B.C.-3rd century A.D., were caused to be engraved by the early Jainas of the Tamil country affords historical support to the alleged antiquity of Jainism in Tamilnādu. The existence of Jaina pallis, sramaņas and their abodes in the Madurai-Tiruchirappalli region during this early period is clearly borne out by these inscriptions. Later inscriptions afford evidence that these caverns were occupied as late as the 12th century A.D.

The celebrated literary giant Kundakundācārya, who probably belonged to the 1st century A.D., is the earliest tangible historical personality in the annals of Tamilnādu Jainism. He is believed to have founded the Mūla-saṃgha;² tradition gives him the secondary name of Ēlācārya and attributes to him the authorship of the Tuukkural, revered by the Tamilians as the Tamil Vēda.³ The 2nd century A.D. saw Jainism making further strides in the Tamil country through the efforts of another important Jaina scholar, Samantabhadra, 'one of those prominent Jaina gurus of early times who were responsible for the diffusion of Jainism in the Tamil country'.⁴ The Kathākōṣas of Prabhā-

^{1.} K R Venkatarama Ayyar: Transactions for the Year 1957-58: Archaeological Society of South India, pp. 24-25.

^{2.} P B. Desai; Op. cit., p 55

³ See below, p. 29

^{4.} B A. Saletore: Mediaeval Jainism (Bombay, 1938), p. 224; M S. Ramaswamy Ayyangar: Studies in the South Indian Jainism (Madras, 1922), p 44.

candra (11th century) and Nemidatta (16th century) describe him as the naked ascetic of Kanci.

Pūjyapāda was yet another Jaina luminary and the credit of reviving Kundakundācārya's Mūlasampha under the name of Dravida-sampha in the 5th century A.D. with Madurai for its headquarters, goes to his disciple Vajranandi. "There is an account in the Periyapurāņam of a host of 'Karnātaka Jainas' pouring into Madurai like a cloud-burst and uprooting the ruling dynasty; this might have preceded the formation in that city of the Dravida-sangha of the Jains by Vajranandi The Samgha perhaps became a proselytising centre with branches all over the Tamil country." It was in the 5th century (in 458 A.D.) that the Lokavibhaga, a Digambara work in Sanskrit on Jaina cosmography, was copied by Sarvanandi in the village Patalıka, i.e., modern Tiruppadirippuliyur (South Arcot District)2.

In the history of Tamilnādu, the first three centuries of the Christian era have come to be popularly known to students of history as the 'Sangam age' and it was during this age and in the three succeeding centuries that Jainism reached its zenith in the Tamil country. It was during the post-Sangam age (4th-6th centuries A.D.), an otherwise historically dark period, that most of the 'Eighteen minor works' (padineņkīļkkaṇakku) and the Silappadikāram and Manimēkalai were written, many of them by Jaina authors. As for the prevalence

K R. Venkatarama Ayyar, Op cit., p 25.

² Mys Arch Rep., 1909-10, p 45.

and popularity of Jainism in Tamilnadu during the Sangam age, N. Subramaniam says1 "During the period lighted by the Sangam literature, we see as much of Jainism as of Buddhism but both are clearly subordinate to the indigenous practices of the Brahmanical Vedic religion. There were in important cities like Puhar a concentration of Jains known as the Samanar and their places of stay were called Samanappalli or Amanappalli. Cavakar, (a common designation of a Jaina layman)2, a sect among Jainas, are mentioned in the Maduraikkāñci; they were householders observing religious observances; the lay Jains were called Ulaga-Nonbigal. At Uraiyur there was an Aruhan temple called 'Sri Kandappalli', also called 'Nikkandappalli' (nikkanda = nirgrantha, a Jaina) or 'Nıkkandakköttam' and the deity in the Uraiyur Jaina temple was called 'Uraiyūr Srī Kōil Nāyanār'. Nikkanda-kkōttam was usually abbreviated Kandakköttam and it was easy to confuse this, at a later period, with a Murugan temple; the expression 'Kōttam' usually associated with Jaina Pallis will give away its origin, anyway . . . The Amanappallis were retiring or resting places of the Jaina anchorites and these places were surrounded by gardens full of flowering plants".

We learn from the *Maṇimēkalai* that the Jaina philosophical system was one of the subjects of study at Kāncī, one of the most important centres of education in early South India³.

^{1.} Samgam Polity (1966), p 367.

² The contents within the brackets are mine [-K.V R.]

^{3.} K.A. Nilakanta Sastri. A History of South India (III edn., 1966), p. 423.

In the post-Sangam period, particularly from the sixth century onwards, Jainism claimed, off and on and in varying degrees, the patronage of such powerful dynasties as the Pallava, Pandya and Cola. Pallava Simhavarman (550-60 A.D.) of Kanci and his son Simhavisnu (560-80 A.D.) were great patrons of Jainism. The Pallankovil copper-plate grant records1 that Simhavarman gave away, in the sixth year of his reign, the village called Sramanāsrama or Amaņšērkkai to the great ascetic Vajranandi of the Nandi-sangha at the holy place Vardhamaneśvara-dharmatirtha for the purpose of worshipping the groups of Jinendra, Jainendras and Munindras. The mother of Simhavisnu was an ardent Jaina devotee and, according to the Hosakote plates of Western Ganga Avinita, she got constructed a temple for the god Arhat for the glory of her husband's family. Pallaya Mahendravarman I (580-630 A.D.) and Pandya Arıkesari Parankusa Nedumaran (670-710 A.D.) were both Jainas by persuasion.3 "Under royal support Kanci with the surrounding villages and Madurai with the surrounding hills teemed with a considerable Jain population, and the present day site of Jinakanci bears sufficient testimony to this"4.

Nevertheless, Jainism did meet with stout opposition in Tamilnadu even from early times. "This was during the period of the 7th and 8th centuries A.D.

^{1.} TASSI, 1951-59, p 43 See below, Appendix, Inscription No. 5

Mys. Arch Rep, 1938, pp 80-90.
 TASSI., 1957-58, p 25.

PB Desai. Op cit, p 81.

to start with; and its opponents were the champions of the Saivite and Vaisnavite faiths of the Brahmanical religion. Almost simultaneously, under the leadership of Appar and Sambandhar, the advocates of the Saivite school launched ruthless attacks against the adherents of the Jaina law and earned signal success in the Pallava and Pāṇḍya kingdoms. Pallava Mahēndravarman I and Pāṇḍya Neḍumāṇan were converted to the Saiva faith, the former by Appar and the latter by Sambandhar¹.

The movement aimed at curbing the popularity and further growth of Jainism in Tamilnadu, particularly in the Pandyan kingdom which had been practically permeated by Jainism in the 5th-6th centuries A.D., was spearheaded by the Saivite nayanars Tirunāvukkaraśu or Appar and Tirujñānasambandhar and Vaisnavite āļvārs Tirumaļišai, Tirumangai and Tondaradippodi. Appar, a contemporary of Pallava Mahendravarman I, was a Saivite by birth but was drawn towards Jainism and he actually joined the Jaina monastery at Cuddalore, the South Indian Pataliputra. as a monk. However, through the persistent efforts of his elder sister, he not only re-entered the fold of Saivism but also succeeded in converting the Pallava emperor, till then a devout Jain, to the Saiva faith. His younger contemporary Tirujñanasambandhar has the reputation of having vanquished all the Jaina scholars of Madurai, the Pandyan capital, and of having converted the Pandyan ruler either Maravar-

¹ TASSI., 1957-58, p. 25

man Avanisulamani (A.D. 620-44) or his grandson Arîkesari Maravarman (A.D. 670-700), till then a Jain. to the Saiva faith. There is a tradition that the newly converted Pandyan ruler persecuted and impaled 8000 Jainas at the instance of Tirujñanasambandhar and a series of frescoes on the mandapa of the famous Mīnāksī temple tank at Madurai illustrates this gruesome event. Exaggeration apart, the Periyapuranam account of the saints and the hymns of Appar also make it evident that both in the Pallava and Pandya countries the Jainas were subjected to some degree of persecution in the 7th-8th centuries A.D. Of the āļvār saints, Tirumaļišai, an elder contemporary of Mahendravarman I, had for sometime been a follower of Jainism before he finally became an ardent Vaisnava saint; Tirumangai, who lived in the middle of the 8th century and Tondaradippodi, who followed him a century later, included in their hymns attacks and invectives against Jainism¹.

This organised and sustained campaign conducted during the 7th-10th centuries did finally break all tangible resistance on the part of Jainism which lost much ground in Tamilnādu during the later part of Pallava and Pāṇdya rule. Flourishing Jaina strongholds such as Pāṭaliputra (modern Tiruppāpuliyūr, Cuddalore), Āṛpākkam, Magaral and Madurai, lost their importance. In the subsequent period, the Cōļas, who were

^{1.} See M. S. Ramaswami Ayyangar: Studies in South Indian Jainism (1922, Madras), pp. 67, 79; K.A. Nilakanta Sastri: History of South India (III edn., 1966), pp. 424 and 426.

² TASSI., 1957-58, p. 25.

ardent followers of Saivism, were not inclined to actively support the cause of Jainism. It may, therefore, be concluded that from the 10th century onwards Jamism lost its importance in the Tamil country after having thrived as a great vehicle of faith and knowledge for centuries at a stretch. Nevertheless, as one scholar has rightly pointed out, even during the period of it decadence. Jamism retained its intellectual vitality as is borne out by the composition of many books on grammar, lexicon and astronomy besides the Jivakacintamani, which made its appearance sometime after the 8th century A.D.; and Pavanandi's Nannūl was composed in the 13th century A.D. The occurrence of Jama epigraphs right down to the 16th century A.D. at Tirupparuttikkunram, popularly known as Jinakanci also attests to the fact that Jamism continued to be a religious force to reckon with atleast in some pockets in Tamilnadu for long after its overall popularity had waned.

In attempting to delineate briefly the chequered history of Jainism in Tamilnādu from the sixth century onwards, culling out and interpreting the information that is available from Jaina epigraphs, most of which find place in the appendix appearing at the end of this work, one can do no better than quote K.R. Venkatarama Ayyar².

"The vitality of Jainism was not exhausted, Saiva and Vaisnava opposition notwithstanding. Jaina

^{1.} MS Ramswami Ayyngar Op. cit, p. 73.

^{2.} TASSI, 1957-58pp. 25-28.

scholars enriched the Tamil language, they composed elegant poems, wrote books on grammar and prosody, compiled lexicons, and presented lofty ideals of ethics in pithy verses. This gave them quite a strong hold on the minds of the people until after the ninth century, by which time had grown up a vast literature of Saivism and Vaisnavism. Both by example and precept monks and nuns in their monastic retreats influenced the lives of the people. Among such monastic centres were Chitral or Tiruccanūrrumalai (South Travancore) and Tirukkāttāmballi in Kurandi-Venbunādu (Madurai-Ramnad). Ajjanandi Aştöpavāsi, Gunasēna. Nāganandi, Gunavīra and others of these establishments were teachers of eminence. An inscription in the Aiññūrruva-peurmballi (called after the merchant corporation, the 'Five Hundred') now in ruins at Tiruyennayil (now called Chettipatti in Pudukottai), built during the reign of Rajaraja I (985-1016), mentions Matisagara, the guru of Dayapāla and Vādırāja. Ammāchatram (Pudukottai) had more than one palli, and inscriptions mention two ācārvas of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries. Cholavandipurm (South Arcot) and Tirumalai and Tirakkol (North Arcot) were other large centres mentioned in the inscriptions. At Tiruppanmalai (North Arcot) is an image of Naganandi. Ariştanêmi, a pupil of Paravadimalla, lived in Tiruppanmalai, where one of his pupils, the nun Patttinattuk-kuratti opened a celebrated nunnery. In the large monastery at Vedāl (North Arcot) misunderstanding arose among the monks on the one hand and the chief nun and her disciples on the other, and the intrepid nun took upon

herself the responsibility of running the feeding house attached to the monastery. Kirapakkam (Chingleput) was the centre of the Yapaniya-sangha, Kumila gana. At Ponnūr (Sanskrit: Hēmagrāma or Svarnapuri) Elācārva popularised the Jvālāmālinī (Vahnidēvatā) cult and built a temple for that goddess. His teachings were later codified by Indranandi in his Jvalamalinikalpa. The Jinagiripalli at Anandamangalam (Chingleput) was a monastery of considerable importance, and so was Vallimalai where is enshrined the image of Dēvasēna, a pupil of Bhavanandin. A Munibhadra and Samantabhadra, disciples of Puspasena, figure in the inscriptions from Karandai (North Arcot). grammarian Mandalapuruşa and the prosadist Amitasāgara also deserve mention. And Jinakānci (Tirupparuttikungam) boasts of a line of Rsi-samudava. among whom the names of Mallisena, Vamana and Puspasena are still cherished.

From the seventh century onwards, the Tamil country was studded with temples to Siva and Visnu. The Pallavas, the Pāṇḍyas and then the Cōlas set the lead by building new temples and richly endowing them. Since Jamism was no longer the faith of the ruling houses, the erection of pallis and temples was mostly the work of the Saṅgha drawing what help it could from the State, the local assemblies and the merchant guilds. Tamil kings on their part were neither slow nor parsimonious in their benefactions to their Jama subjects. The Sittaṇṇavāśal Jama cave temple, which was till lately believed to have been built by Mahēndravarman I, is now known to have definite

Pandya associations. The Candraprabha temple at Jinakanci was fostered by a Pallava king of the eighth or ninth century. Rajasimha II Pandya (900-920) endowed several palliccandams. Kundavai, sister of Rājarāja I, a princess remarkable for learning and charity alike, built two Jaina temples, one at Tirumalai and the other at Rajarajapuram (now called Dadapuram) and these shared with the numerous Siva temples that she built, costly presents of vessels and ornaments of gold, silver and pearls. Vīrāśola, a Lāta vassal of Rajaraja I, remitted at the request of his queen, taxes payable by the Tiruppanmalaipalli. perumballı at Karandaı was named after Virarajendra-Cola (1063-9) and another at Kuhur (Tanjore) after Kulottunga I (1070-1120). Among the donors to the temple at Tirunarungondai (South Arcot) were Virkamacola (1128) and Vikrama Pandya (1187) and the Kādavarāyas of Sēndamangalam, and those of Sirramur and Perumandur (South Arcot) were the Sambuvaraya chiefs of the twelfth century An image at Tudıyurmamalaı (Sıngıpattı, Tırunelvelı) was named after a minister of Maravarman Sundara Pāṇdya II (1238-54) Pāṇdya benefactions to the monasteries at Sadayappārai, near Pudukottai town, and at Narttamalai are also on record. The incursion of an Oriya force under Kapılēśvara Gajapatı (1465) as far south as the Kaveri left villages robbed and deserted, and temples of all the sects looted and closed. Saluva Narasımha (1486-91) restored worship in all these temples including the Jaina temples, and exempted their lands from taxes The jodi imposed by the Vijayanagar agent Rāmappa Nayak hit temples hard,

and Kṛṣṇadēvarāya gave them relief by converting their lands into sarvamānya. Jinakāñoi temples shared in the lavish endowments that Kṛṣṇadēvarāya (1509-29) made to religious institutions in the south. Acyutarāya's (530-42) gift to a Jaina temple at the request of Velur Bomma Nayaka (1532) also deserves notice.

That the grants of lands to jaina pallis were considered as sacred and irrevocable as dēvadānam or brahmadēyam lands is proved by the careful exclusion of all palliccandam lands in sales or gifts of villages to Siva or Viṣṇu temple or private individuals. Like Siva and Viṣṇu temples, Jaina temples also held lands in absolute ownership (tirunāmattūkkāṇi) and also enjoyed lands made over for festivals (tiruvilāppuram), sivigaippuram, etc.,) and for specified services (tirupalli elucci), etc. The palliccandam lands were demarcated by stones with triple umbrella carved on them (tirumukkuḍaikkal).

The establishment of Muslim rule in Madurai spelt the ruin of Jaina temples in common with those of the other sects. There was a partial revival under Vijayanagar rule: but the Nayak principalities of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries neglected Jaina institutions, which succumbed to inanition. Except in a few villages and towns such as Jinakānci, Sirrāmūr, Tirumalai, and Kumbakōnam, Jainism has practically disappeared form the Tamil country."

The late Prof. Chakravarti's work which is now placed in the hands of the scholars in its revised and

enlarged version, ably succeeds in narrating the glorious contributions made by Jaina scholars in the fleld of Tamil literature not only during the period of Jaina ascendancy in Tamilnādu but also in the subsequent centuries. Dr A. N. Upadhye, who had rightly felt that the work, published more than three decades ago, would be much useful as a source book if it is made up-to-date and if neccessary references are provided, kindly entrusted the pleasant task to me at the instance of my vocational teacher and guide Dr. G. S Gai, Chief Epigraphist, Archaeological Survey of India, Mysore. I am greatly indebted to Dr. Upadhye and Dr Gai for the confidence they have reposed in me.

In providing references, I have not merely confined myself to those source books which the late Professor might have consulted at the time of writing his book but have also referred to subsequent publications. I have also given detailed information in the footnotes on some works, not mentioned by the author, which had subsequently been dicided upon as the creations of Jaina authors. At the instance of Dr. Upadhye, I have also provided, as far as possible, exhaustive lists of different publications and editions with regard to each of the works discussed by Prof Chakravarti.

An important feature of this second edition is the inclusion of the texts of Tamil Jaina inscriptions. All of them are already published in different publications and are brought together here for the first time. These epigraphs are not only an index to the antiquity and

popularity of Jainism in the Tamil country but also contain many words and expressions of lexical interest, justifying the claim of scholars that Jainism has made distinct contributions to the growth of Tamil language and literature.

I record my sincere thanks to the authorities of the Bhāratīya Jñānapīṭha, especially to its Secretary, Shri L. C. Jain but for whose personal interest this book would not have seen light so early as this. I also thank my friend Shri M. D. Sampath of the Institute of Kannada Studies, Mysore University, Mysore who has rendered me considerable help in correcting the proofs. My thanks are due to the Partners, Vidyāsāgar Printing and Publishing House, Mysore for their neat execution of the printing work.

In conclusion, I would like to add my voice to the hope expressed in the General Editorial that this revised edition of Prof. Chakravarti's 'Jaina Literature in Tamil' would prove 'a substantial aid for further studies in Tamil literature'.

Mysore 13-11-1974

" K V. RAMESH

PREFACE

(First edition)

Jaina authors have made remarkable contributions to the various domains of Indian literature in Sanskrit, Prākrit and other languages. The classical dignity and literary refinement which Tamil and Kannada languages have reached are entirely due to the pioneer work of Jaina authors in the field. Jainism being a religion of pre-eminently humanitarian values, these authors have left behind a noble heritage for the benefit of the society through their literary productions.

In the following pages a modest attempt has been made to take a survey of Jaina contributions to Tamil literature. Prof A. Chakravarti is a great Tamil scholar; and there could not have been a better choice for this undertaking. This survey, we are sure, would be quite useful for preparing a systematic history of Tamil literature on the one hand and for a comparative study of Jaina literature in different languages on the other.

It was very kind of Prof. Chakravarti to have allowed the Editors to publish this excellent essay in the Jaina Antiquary; for this they are highly thankful to him. It is their earnest desire to publish similar monographs in English on the Jaina contributions to Sanskrit, Prākrit, Kannada, Telugu, Hindi and Gujarati literatures with the co-operation of different specialists.

Thanks are due to Dr. Raghavan, Madras University, who kindly added diacritical points on Tamil words in the press-copy. The Table of contents and Index are added by Dr. A N. Upadhye hoping that they would heighten the referential value of the book.

Prof. A. Chakravarti Nayanar

A Biography

The late lamented Prof. Chakravarti was born in the year 1880. After passing his M.A. with distinction from the Christian College, Madras in 1905, he saw service for a year or two, first as teacher in the Wesley Girls' School and then as a clerk in the Accountant General's Office, Madras. He entered the academic field in 1906 when he was appointed Assistant Professor of Philosophy in the Presidency College, Madras. He became Professor in 1917 and in the course of over three decades of useful academic career he served with distinction in the Government Colleges at Rajahmundry, Madras and Kumbakonam before finally returing from active service as Principal in 1938. In the same year the title of Rao Bahadur was conferred upon him in recognition of the meritorious service rendered by him in the fields of learning, research and education.

A pious Jaina śrāvaka and a deep scholar of Jainism, Prof. Chakravarti was also well-versed in the various schools of western philosophy and brought his wide learning and erudition to bear upon his studies of Jaina Philosophy. His introduction to the Pañcāstikāya (Arrah, 1920) is a valuable exposition of Jaina metaphysics and ontology. In 1937 he delivered Principal Miller Lectures which are published under the title 'Humanism and Indian Thought'.

The late Prof. Chakravarti has edited a number of Tamil works by Jama authors with their commentaries and, in some cases, with his learned exposition in English. For instance, Neelakesi, the text, and the commentary of Samaya Divākara Muni, along with his elaborate introduction in English, were published in 1936 (Madras); his edition of Tirukkural by Tēvar, along with the Tamil commentary by Kavirāja Paņditar, has been published by the Bhāratīya Jñāna Pītha as No. 1 in their Tāmil series, with an English

introduction (Madras, 1949). Professor Chakravarti has also edited the Tirukkural with English translation and commentary and an exhaustive introduction which has been hailed as 'an indispensable aid to the study of Tirukkural'. He has also edited the Mērumandirapurāṇam in Tamil. His 'Religion of Ahimsā', a learned exposition in English of some aspects of Jainism, is published by Shri Ratanchand Hirachand (Bombay 1957).

He has written a commentary in English on the Samayasāra of Kundakunda (Bhāratīya Jñānapīṭha, 1971). Based mainly on the Sanskrit commentary of Amṛtacandra, his exposition and his evaluation of the contents of Samayasāra have rendered the principles of Kundakunda intelligible to the modern world

Besides contributing an elaborate and scholarly essay on Jainism in the perstigious publication 'Cultural Heritage of India', Prof Chakravarti has contributed a number of essays and articles on Jainism, Ahimsa and contemporary thought to various other publications such as Philosophy of the East and West, Jaina Gazette, Aryan Path and Tamil Academy Some of his papers are reprinted in the 'Yesterday and To-day' Madras, 1946 He was a member of a number of Associations and Institutions in Madras

Prof Chakravarti's abiding interest in Jama Tamil literature prompted him to write Jama Literature in Tamil' (Airah, 1941), which highlights in a lucid way the noteworthy contributions made by Jaina authors to Tamil literature Through the generous patronage Bharatiya Jñanapitha, of which of the Chakravarti was a wellwisher, this work is now being reprinted with the addition of useful references, index, appendix of Tamil Jaina epigraphs It is hoped that the present edition will serve the purpose of introducing to the world of scholars and rousing their interest in Tamil works on different subjects by Jama authors of vore so that the pioneering work done by the late Professor will pave the way for further research and studies on Jamism in the Tamil country.

JAINA LITERATURE IN TAMIL

A casual perusal of Tamil Literature will reveal the fact that, from the earliest times, it was influenced by Jaina culture and religion. It is a well-known fact that Jainism was a religion originated in Northern India and thus must be associated with Aryan culture. When the Jainas migrated to the South and how they came in contact with the original Tamilians are problems which still remain obscure. But some light may be thrown on these problems if we turn our attention to the fact that even from the earliest times of Aryan settlement in the Indus valley, there was a section among the Aryans which was opposed to the religion of sacrifice and which was standing by the doctrine of ahimsā. Even in the Rgvēda Hymns we have evidence to substantiate this proposition. The story of Sunahsepha, a Brahmin youth, who was released by Viśvāmitra from being sacrificed is an important fact. The conflict between the Rajarsi Viśvāmitra and Vasistha probably represents the start-

¹ Rgvēda Samhitā, I-24-12/13, V-2-7; For an English rendering, see R T H. Griffith: The Hymns of the Rigveda, Vol I (1920), pp 31-32, 467; See also, Vedic Index, Vol II (1912), pp 311, 385-86, Winternitz A History of Indian Literature, Vol I (1927), pp. 213-16, P.V. Kane: History of Dharmasastra, Vol II (1941), p. 35; The Vedic Age (1951), p. 285

² Muir Original Sanskrit Texts, part I, pp 75 ff., Vedic Index, Vol. II, pp. 274-77; Weber The History of Indian Literature (1914), pp 37-38, The Cambridge History of India, Vol. I, Ancient (Contd.)

ing point of a great conflict between the school of sacrificial ritualism led by brāhmaṇa Rṣis and the anti-sacrificial doctrine of ahimsā led by the kṣatriya heroes. Even in the Rgvēda Samhitā we have references to Rṣabha,¹ Ariṣṭanēmi², the former the first of the Jaina Tīrthaṅkaras, and the latter the 22nd Tīrthaṅkara, a cousin of Srī Kṛṣṇa.

When we leave the period of the Samhitās and enter the second period known as the period of the Brāhmaṇas, we come across some interesting facts relating to this cleavage among the Āryans. About this time the Āryans migrated towards the Gangetic valley, and they built kingdoms and settled down in the countries of Kāśī, Kōsala, Vidēha and Magadha³. Āryans living in these countries were generally designated as the Eastern Āryans (prācya) as distinguished from the Western Āryans living in the Kuru Pāñcāla countries of the Indus valley. The latter looked down upon the Eastern Āryans as distinctly inferior to themselves in as much as they lost the orthodoxy

India (1922), pp. 82-83, Winternitz op cit, pp 402-03; V Rangacharya. Pre-Musalman India, Vol II, Vedic India, Part I (1937), pr. 191-95, The Vedic Age, p 245

¹ Rgvēda Samhitā, VI-16-47, VI-28-8, X-91-14, X-166-1; See Vedic Index, Vol I (1912), p 115, wherein it is stated that the word Raibha, occurring in the Rgiēda' is the common name of the bull'.

² Rgvēda Samhitā, I-89-6, I-180-10, III-53-17, X-178-1.

^{3.} The Cambridge History of India, Vol I, Ancient India, p 117; The Vedic Age, p 255

associated with the Kuru Pañcala 'Aryans.' The Orientalists suggest that the Eastern Aryans in the Gangetic valley probably represent an earlier wave of invaders who were pushed towards the east by the later invading hordes who settled down in the Indus valley. It is necessary to hold some such view in order to explain certain fundamental differences between the sections. The Brahmana literature distinctly reveals the existence of political and cultural differences between the two groups of Aryans.8 On several occasions, armies were led to the eastern country against the Eastern Aryans. But there are two or three important facts mentioned in the Brahmana literature which constitute interesting evidence as to the difference of culture. In the Satapatha Brahmana the orthodox brahmanas of the Kuru Pancala countries are warned about their treatment in the eastern countries of Kaśi. Kōsala, Vidēha and Magadha. It is mentioned there

¹ The Cambridge History of India, Vol I, Ancient India, pp 123-24

² Vedic Index, Vol. I, pp. 168-69, The Cambridge History of India, Vol. I, Ancient India, p. 123; V. Rangacharya: op. cit, pp. 349-50.

³ The Vedic Age, p 255

⁴ I am not aware of any such specific injunction in the Satapatha Brāhmana. However, Baudhāyana Dharmasāstra, Mitākṣarā, the commentary on Yājhavalkya-smṛti and Āditya Purāṇa speak of countries outside Āryāvarta, among them Kalinga in the east, as unfit for Brāhmaṇas. See P V. Kane History of Dharmasāstra, Vol. II, part I, pp. 16 and notes and 18 and note; The Mahābhāṣya (Bombay), Vol II (1906), pp. 119-20, III-2-115. See J. N. Samaddar. The Glories of Magadha (II edn., 1927), pp. 6 ff.

that it is not safe for the brāhmaṇas of the Kuru Pāncāla countries to go to these countries of the east 'because Āryans in these countries have forgotten their dharmas of Vedic ritualism; not merely that they have given up the sacrifice but they have started a new dharma according to which non-sacrificing is itself real dharma. What kind of respect can you expect from such a lot of heterodox Āryans who have lost reverence to dharma? Not merely this, they have also lost touch with the language of the Vēdas. They cannot pronounce Sanskrit words with accuracy. For example, wherever ra occurs in Sanskrit words they can pronounce only la¹.

Again, in these eastern countries, kṣatriyas have attained social supremacy in as much they claim to be higher than the brāhmaṇas 2 Consistent with the social aggrandisement, the eastern Āryans led by the kṣatriyas maintain3 that Rājasūya-yāga is the highest type of sacrifice as against the Vājapēya sacrifice which is the highest according to the orthodox Kuru Pāncālas These are some of the reasons given why orthodox Kuru Pāncāla brahmaṇas should avoid travelling in the eastern countries.

¹ Satapatha Brāhmana, III-2-1-23 and 24. However, while writing on Jainism elsewhere, the author attributes (*The Cultural Heritage of India*, Calcutta, p 187) this statement on the language inferiority of the easterners to the Vajasanēyi Samhitā

² Vedic Index, Vol I, p 204, note 11.

³ Ibid, Vol II, p 281

Again from an evidence in the Pahcavinisa Brāhmaṇa' it may be inferred that on certain periods antifitualistic sections among the Aryans were more
dominant and thus preached against Indra worship
which did involve sacrifice. The persons who preached
against Indra worship and anti-sacrificial ritualism are
described as yatis with clean-shaven heads. When
Indra worship was revived once again by a powerful
king, under the influence of the orthodox section, the
revivalism led to the destruction of these yatis whose
heads were cut off and cast to the wolves. These facts
given from non-Jaina literature are of great value in
as much as they give us an inkling as to the antiquity
of the religion of ahimsā.

Now turn to the Jaina literature. What do you find there? Of the 24 Jaina Tirthankaras beginning with Rṣabha and ending with Mahāvīra, all are from the kṣatriya clan. It is said that Lord Rṣabha, the first of the Tīrthankaras, was the first to preach the doctrine of ahiṃsā and turn the attention of the thinkers to the realisation of Self or ātman by the path of tapas or yōga. Most of these Jaina leaders of religion are associated with eastern countries; Rṣabha from Ayōdhyā and Mahāvīra from Magadha and of the intervening 22 mostly from countries generally grouped

¹ Pañcavimsa Brāhmaņa, 8-1-4, 13-8-17, 14-11-28; Muir: op. cit., Vol. IV, pp. 491-93; P.V. Kane: op. cit., Vol.II, part I, pp. 418-19.

as Eastern Āryan countries.¹ The language in which the Jainas preached their message was not Sanskrit, but a dialect of Sanskrit in the form of Māgadhi Prākrit. The early sacred literature of the Jainas is mostly in Prākrit language evidently a spoken language of the masses in those days.² This liberal section of the Āryans evidently adopted this spoken language for the purpose of preaching to the masses their religious doctrine of ahimsā.

When we come down to the period of the *Upanişads* we see again the clash between the two different cultures: the sacrificial ritualism of the Kuru Pāñcālas and the ātmavidyā of the Eastern Āryans. The Upanişadic doctrine of ātmavidyā is associated mainly with kṣatriya heroes, and scholars from the Kuru Pāñcāla countries are seen at the courts of these eastern kings, waiting for the purpose of being initiated into the new wisdom of ātmavidyā. The Upaniṣadic world represents a stage at which these two sections were attempting to come to an understanding and compromise.

¹ Jaini Outlines of Jainism (1940), Table opp p 6; V Rangacharya (op cit, pp. 348-49) holds that Buddhism and Jainism were movements organised by the eastern Kşatriyas.

² Winternitz op cit, Vol II (1933), p 427.

³ Winternitz · op cit , Vol. I (1927), pp 227-32; See Vedic Index, Vol 1, p 272 wherein it is argued that 'the home of the philosophy of the Upanisads was in the Kuru Pāācāla country rather than in the east', but see below, p. 7, note 1

⁴ Macdonell A History of Sanskrit Literature (1925), pp. 213-14

King Janaka represents such a spirit of compromise and Yājñavalkya, an eastern Āryan scholar, probably represents the force that effected the compromise and adjustment. The old sacrificial ritualism, instead of being discarded altogether, is retained as inferior culture side by side with the new wisdom of ātmavidyā which is recognised as distinctly higher. Such a compromise, no doubt, was a victory to the orthodox section of the Aryans. But such a compromise must have been unacceptable to the members of the liberal school who must have stood aloof: that such was the fact is evidenced by a small instance mentioned in the Jaina Rāmāyana. When there was a talk of Rāma's marriage mooted in Dasaratha's court one of the ministers suggested that Janaka's daughter Sītā would be the proper bride. But it was seriously objected to by many ministers who pointed out that Janaka was no more the follower of the doctrine of ahimsā in as much as he went back to the opposite camp. But it was finally decided that, from the political and military point of view, the alluance would be desirable in spite of this religious difference. This fact clearly suggests that Janaka was considered

¹ The authors of the Vedic Index (Vol. II, p. 190) hold that the suggestion that Yājňavalkya was an eastern Āryan is not wholly acceptable However, Macdonell, one of the authors of the Vedic Index, says in his History of Sanskrit Literature (1925) that certain passages of the Satapatha Brāhmaņa 'render it highly probable that Yājňavalkya was a native of Vidēha', which is an eastern country.

as one of the liberal Aryans till he changed side. It would not be far wrong to suggest that the Eastern Aryans who were opposed to the sacrificial ritualism and who were led by the ksatriya heroes were believers in ahimsa doctrines and as such the forefathers of the Jamas. This liberal school created out of itself, about the time of Mahavira, another radical school led by another ksatriva hero in the person of Gautama Sakyamuni, the founder of Buddhism. In the life of Gautama Buddha the Sākya clan to which he belongs is traced¹ to the Iksvaku dynasty which played a very important part in shaping the culture of ancient India. But even in Puranic Hinduism the services of the ksatriya heroes are recognised in as much as they are elevated as avatāras of Viṣṇu for whom temples are raised and worship is conducted. It is strange that this doctrine of ahimsā should be preached by kṣatriya heroes who were generally associated with military exploits and who went about with how and arrow

How ahimsā came to be associated with them remains a mystery. But the fact that they were the founders of the doctrine of ahimsā is a fact which cannot be doubted That these kṣatriya leaders, wher-

¹ For a detailed discussion of the sources which trace the origin of the Sākya clan to the Ikṣvākus, see B C Law Some Kṣatriya Tribes of Ancient India (1924), pp. 162 ff. Also see Sumangalavilāsini, part I. pp 258-60, Mahāvaṃsa (Ed Geiger), Chapter II, verses 1-24, Viṣnu Purāna (Ed. Wilson), Vol. IV, Chapter XXII. pp 167-72, Mahāvastu (Ed Senart), Vol. I, pp 348-52

ever they went, carried with them their fundamental doctrine of ahimsa, preached against animal sacrifice and promulgated vegetarianism are facts which every student of Indian History ought to acknowledge. In the drama Uttararamacarita by Bhavabhuti this fact is well borne out in one of the scenes laid in Valmiki's asrama. Both Janaka and Vasistha visit the asrama as guests. When Janaka is entertained as guest he is given pure vegetarian food and the dirama is cleaned and kept pure. But on the day when Vasistha visits the asrama, a fat calf is killed in honour of his visit. One of the disciples of the asrama cynically asks a codisciple of his whether any tiger had visited the asrama; and the other rebukes him for his disrespectful references to Vasistha. The former apologises and explains himself by saying that, 'because a fat calf did disappear, I had to infer that some carnivorous animal like tiger must have entered the asrama,' over which the former offers the explanation that the Rajarsi being a strict vegetarian must be entertained accordingly, whereas Vasistha not being a strict vegetarian was entertained to suit his taste.1 These facts clearly indicate the significance and potency of the ahimsā doctrine, and it is well reflected in Tamil literature after the migration of the Jainas to the south and due to their participation in the creation of Tamil literature. The early Jainas should have adopted the propaganda work of their

¹. Bhavabhūti's *Uttararāmacaritam* (Ed M R Kale, 1934, pp 99-103), Act 1v, dialogue between Sandhyātakı and Dāṇḍā-yaṇa.

religion and hence they freely mixed with the aboriginal people of the land. This is again borne out by the fact of their friendly relations with the aboriginal people. The people of the land against whom the Aryans had to fight their way were called Dasyus, who, though described in uncomplementary terms elsewhere, are all described with a certain amount of respect in Jaina literature. To give one single illustration the monkeys and Rākşasas who figure in Vālmīki's Rāmāyaņa are all described as Vidyādharas in the Jaina Rāmāyaṇa.1 It is also clear from the Jaina literature that kşatriya heroes belonging to the Aryan clan freely married the princesses from the Vidyādhara clan. Such a matrimonial alliance, most probably contracted for military and political reasons, must have paved the way for the introduction of the ahimsā doctrine among the original inhabitants of the land. Some such reason must be assumed as the cause for the migration of the people from the north to the Tamil country and for introducing their culture, based upon ahimsā. The orthodox school of the Arvans must have appeared in the field of the Tamil country much later as is quite clear from the fact of later Hindu revivalism which led to the downfall of Jaina supremacy in the South.

The migration of the Jamas to South India is generally supposed to be at the time of Bhadrabāhu,

¹ Winternitz op cit, Vol II (1933), p. 491

² The Bhadrabāhu-Candragupta tradition is of a fairly ancient origin The B7hatkathākōśa of Hariṣōṇa, a Sanskrit work of the 10th century, the Bhadrabāhucarita of Ratnanandi, (Contd.)

the guru of Candragupta Maurya. That at the approach of a terrible famine of twelve years in the North, Bhadrabāhu led a whole Jaina Saṅgha towards the Deccan, that he was followed by his disciple Candragupta who abdicated his throne in favour of his son and that they came and settled for sometime in the Mysore region that Bhadrabāhu and Candragupta lost their lives on Candragiri at Sravana Belagola and the rest migrated to the Tamil country are facts generally accepted by oriental scholars. But, as I mentioned elsewhere, this could not be taken as the first approach of the Jainas towards the South. That the migration to the South must have been conducted with a hope of

another Sanskrit work of the 15th century, the Munivamsābhyudaya of Cidānandakavi, a Kannada work of the 17th century and the Rājāvaļikāthe of Dēvacandra, another Kannada work of the 19th century contain variant versions of this tradition. Some inscriptions in Sravanabeļagoļa (Hassan District, Karnataka State), ranging in date from the 7th to the 15th centuries A.D (Ep. carn., Vol II, Nos 1, 31, 67, 166 and 258) and two 10th century inscriptions from the vicinity of Srīrangapaṭṇa (Ep Carn, Vol III, Sr 147-48) contain references either to the migration of Bhadrabāhu to the South or to him and Candragupta as master and disciple

¹ B L. Rice: Mysore and Coorg from the Inscriptions (1909), pp 3-9, R Narasimhacharya Ep. Carn., Vol II (1923), p. 9, M. S Ramaswami Ayyangar: Studies in South Indian Jainism (1922), part I, South Indian Jainism, pp. 19-24; P. B. Desai: Jainism in South India (1957), pp. 26-27. However, see Ind Ant., Vol XXI, pp. 156 ff and The History and Culture of the Indian People, Vol II, The Age of Imperial Unity (1951) where this tradition is held to be unacceptable.

hearty welcome from a friendly race for thousands of monks is the only reasonable suggestion. It is clear from the Hathigumpha inscription of Kharavela that the Pandyan king sent shiploads of presents to King Khāravēla at the time of the latter's rāivābhisēka. That Khāravēla was an important Jaina emperor and that the Pandyan king was also the follower of the same religion are facts independently borne out by the inscription and Tamil literature. In connection with the Tamil work called Naladivar, it is said that eight thousand Jaina monks who came and settled in the Pandyan country on account of the famine in the north wanted to go back to their country, which the Pandyan prince did not like. Hence they all left in a body the Pandyan capital, one night, each recording a stanza on a palm leaf which was left behind. The collection of these individual stanzas constitutes a book

¹ Ep Ind, Vol XX, pp 71-89

² The relevant part of the text (p. 80, text line 13) says that Khāravēla caused horses, elephants, sewels and rubies as well as numerous pearls in hundreds to be brought from the Pāndya king There is no reference to Khāravēla's coronation and to 'ship loads' in that context

³ The Cambridge History of India, Vol. I, Ancient India (1922), pp 64, 166, 223, P B. Desai op. cit., p 17.

⁴ Khāravēla's inscription does not say that the Pāṇḍya ruler was a Jaina by faith. Nor is it possible to deduce from available Tamil Literature that the Pāṇḍya contemporary of Khāravēla was a Jaina.

⁵ The Nāladiyār or The Four Hundred Quatrains in Tamil (Ed. G. U. Pope, 1893), General Introduction, pp. viii-ix.

called Naladiyar; and this tradition is generally accepted in the south both among the Jainas and the non-Jainas. This fact also supports the view that even before the migration of Bhadrabahu there must have been Jaina princes in the Tamil land. This naturally creates a problem as to the exact period of the migration of the Jainas to the Tamil land and what occasioned this. But it is enough for our purpose if we maintain that the introduction of Jainism in the south must be somewhere prior to the 4th century B. C.1 This view is in conformity with the conclusions obtained by the Tamil scholars after careful research. Mr. Sivaraja Pillai in his Chronology of the Early Tamils writes about the early Tamilians: "Before their contact with the Āryans, Dravidians, as I have elsewhere pointed out, were mainly engaged in building up material civilisiation and securing for themselves the many amenities of life. individual and communal. Naturally, therefore, their lives took on a secular colour and came to be reflected as such in the literature of that period. The impulse of religion, which came to possess them at a later period, was then absent. And when the first infiltration of the Aryans began, the Jains and Buddhists

¹ P. T. Srinivasa Iyengar: History of the Tamils (1929), p. 246, P. B Desai: op. cit., pp 25-26; M. S Venkataswamy. Samanamum Tamilum (1959), pp 36-40.

² K. N. Sivaraja Piliai Chronology of the Early Tamils (1932), pp. 15-19.

seem to have been the earlier batch, all facts and traditions considered. These heretical sects finding in the Tamil land no Brahmanic religion on any scale to oppose had to contend themselves with the composition of works mostly ethical and literary. The Tamils too seem to have taken themselves readily to this impulse which ran in the direction of their national bent, and the second period accordingly was throughout ethical and literary in substance and tone and seems to have been ushered in by the writing of such works as the Kural, Tolkāppiyam, etc. The Hindu Āryans were the last to come, and with their arrival was opened quite a new channel of national activity into which the whole of Dravidian life and thought have flowed since."

We cannot talk of Tamil literature without reference to what is known as the 3 Sangams. Tamil literature, especially the latter one, refers to the 3 Sangams or Academies under whose guidance Tamil literature was cultivated. The story of the Sangam is shrouded in a good deal of mythology. In the earlier works supposed to be Sangam literature the several collections such as the 8 collections, the 10 idylls etc., there is no reference to Sangam literature. The modern oriental scholars rightly conclude that the

¹ VR Ramachandra Dikshitar Studies in Tamil Literature and History (1930), pp 15-19, K N Sivaraja Pillai: op cit., pp 19-20, U V Swaminatha Iyer Sangattamilum Pizkālattamilum (1934), pp 18-20, M Rajamanikkanar. Tamilmoli Ilakkiya Varalazu (1963), pp 35-42

² K N Sivaraja Pillai op. cit., pp. 25.

whole tradition is fictitious and was created by some fertile imagination.¹ The same author Mr. Sivaraja Pillai, referred to above, after an elaborate discussion about the Sangam tradition, writes thus²—

"Reasons so many and substantial as these should lead any fair-minded scholar to reject the Sangam tradition as entirely apocryphal and not deserving of any serious historical consideration. It will, however, furnish a chapter in the study of myths and the psychological tendencies of the age in which it arose. Though worthless as testifying to any objective facts of Tamil history, the tradition itself claims our notice as a phenomenon of a certain type at a particular period of a nation's thought. I strongly suspect whether the eighth century tradition is not after all a faint reflex of the earlier Sangam movement of the Jains. We have testimony to the fact that one Vajranandi a Jain Grammarian and Scholar and the pupil of Devanandi Pūjyapāda, an accomplished Jaina Sanskrit Grammarian, in the Kanarese country, of the sixth century A.D., and the author of a grammatical treatise, 'Jainendra', one of the eight principal authorities on Sanskrit Grammar, went over to Madura with the object of founding a Sangam there. Of course, that 'Sangam' could not have been anything else than a college of Jain ascetics and scholars engaged in a religious propaganda of their own. This movement

^{1.} See M. S. Ramaswami Ayyangar. op cit., pp. 161-66, App. c: The so-called Sangam Age.

^{2.} K. N Sivaraja Pillai op cit., pp 26-27.

must have first brought in the idea of a Sangam to the Tamil country. It is more than likely that, following closely the persecution of the Jains ruthlessly carried out in the 7th century A. D., the orthodox Hindu party must have tried to put their own house in order and resorted to the creation of Sangams with divinity too playing a part therein, for the purpose of adding to the authority and dignity of their literature. It was the sacerdotal 'Sangam' of the early Jams that most probably supplied the orthodox party with a clue for the story of a literary Sangam of their own on that model. The very name 'Sangam', unknown to the early Tamils, proclaims its late origin and to attempt to foisting the idea it signified on the so-called Sangam literature as its inspiring cause is little short of perpetrating a glaring and absurd anachronism."

The only thing that I want to add to this is the existence of the *Drāvida Sangha*, otherwise known as *Mūla Sangha*, about the first century B. C. at the southern Pāţaliputra, identified with modern Tiruppāpuliyūr, a suburb of Cuddalore ¹ This *Drāvida Sangha*

¹ See Ep. Ind, Vol XIV (1917-18), p 334, P. B Desai (op cit, pp 48-49) says "Pāţaliputra in the South Arcot Dt was another center of Jaina preceptors. The Digambara Jaina work named Lōkavibhāga which was rendered into Sanskrit by Simhasūri contains a reference to the effect that it was written (possibly in Prakrit) by Muni Sarvanandi in Saka 380 or AD 458 at a place called Pāṭalikā in Pāṇa Rāṣṭra. This Pāṭalikā has been identified with Pāṭalipura, Pādirippuliyūr or Tiruppāppuliyūr, a suburb of Cuddalore in South Arcot Dt. It is believed that there existed the Drāvida Saṃgha in this place about the 1st century B.C."

was presided over by Srī Kundakundācārya, a great Jaina teacher who is held in high veneration by the Jainas all over India. The attempt by Vajranandi to revive the Tamil Sangam in Tamil Nādu implies rather the downfall of the earlier Mūla Sangha associated with Srī Kundakundācārya. This fact is mentioned merely for the information of research students who may be interested in the chronology of the Jaina influence in the Tamil land. One rather interesting fact which deserves to be mentioned, in this connection, is the reference to the Prākṛta language and its prevalence in all countries. The collection of sūtras supposed to be the remnants of the great grammatical

¹ Dēvasēna, in his Daršanasāra, written in 853 A.D., says that Vajranandi, the pupil of Srī Pūjyapāda, founded the Drāvida Sangha in Mathurā in the South in VE. 525 =468-69 A.D.—

Sırı-Pujjapāda-sīso Dāvida-Saṃghassa-kārago duṭtho i nāmeṇa Vajjanamdī pāhuda-vedī mahāsatto i paṃca-sae chaŭvīse Vikkamarāyassa maraṇa-pattassa i dakkhina-Mahurā-jādo Davida-saṃgho mahāmoho ii

⁽See JBBAS, Vol. XVII, part I, No. xlvi, p. 74, P. T Srimvasa Iyengar: op. cit., p 247)

It has been suggested elsewhere [T V. Sadasiva Pandarattar: A History of Tamil Literature (250-600 A D.), 1957 (Tamil, pp. 26-27)] that three works, Nariviruttam, Eliviruttam and Kiliviruttam, the first one mentioned by the Saiva saint-poet Tirunāvukkarašu and the next two mentioned by his contemporary, Tirujāāna-Sambandar, may be assigned to about the 5th century A.D., and that they were, in all probability, written by Jaina authors who belonged to the Jaina Sangha established at Madurai by Vajranandi

work of Agastya contains a section on northern languages, the Sanskritic languages Here, after referring to Sanskrit and Apabhramsa, it speaks of 'Pahatam' as a language used by all the countries.1 On a former occasion we had to refer² to the fact of Prakrta being specially associated with the Jaina leaders of thought in the North. A reference to this in the Tamil grammar as a language spoken all over the land is a very significant fact in as much as it would imply the early introduction of Prakrta literature and the migration of Prakṛta-speaking people into the Tamil land. Another relevant fact is the description of vadakkiruttal or sallekhana found in some of the so-called Sangam collections.3 This vadakkiruttal is said to be practised by some kings who were followed by their friends. An important religious practice associated with the Jainas is known as sallekhana When a person, suffering from illness or otherwise, realises that death is at hand and that it is no use to waste time in drugging the body he resolves to spend the rest of his life in meditation and prayer. He no more accepts food or medicine till the end of life. This practice is called sallekhanā and a reference to this is found in the earliest Tamil collections where it is spoken of as vadakkiruttal.

^{1.} Sentamil (Madurai), Vol VIII (1909-10), p. 471, Peraguttiyām, Vadapadappadalam Pakatam is described therein as 'ellā nattilum iyalvadu', i e 'current in all countries'

² The Cultural Heritage of India (Ramakrishna centenary Memorial Volume), Vol. I, p. 187

³ Purananuru (Ed by U. V Swaminatha Iyer, 1894), Purams 65-66, 191, 214, 218-20, 223, 236, Surupancamulam, 73

There is some doubt as to the derivation of this word, though the significance is quite clear. All these facts taken together constrain us to believe that we have traces of Jaina influence discernible even in the earliest Tamil literature extant, not to speak of the Jaina contributions to the literature with which we are directly concerned.

1. Tolkappiyam²—This authoritative work on Tamil grammar is supposed to be written by a Jaina

¹ M.S Venkataswamy (op cit, p 182) is of the opinion that vadakkruttal is merely the Tamil equivalent of sallekhanā. According to him the term originated from the fact that, since all the Tirthankaras ended their worldly existence in the north, the Jamas, at the time of observing sallekhana, faced the holy north and hence the term vadakkruttal (vadakku= north, iruttal= seated or lying) Also see N Subramanian Pre-Pallavan Tamil Index (1969), p 729: "Vadakkruttal The penance of starving facing the north and self-immolation by slow starvation".

² The following are some of the editions, either in full or in part, of Tolkappiyam and research treatises on that work:

⁽¹⁾ Colladıkāram with Naccinārkkiniyar's commentary—Ed. by C.V Damodaran Pıllaı, Nandana (1892);

⁽ii) Tolcāpyam with Naccinārkkinier's commentary— Ed by S. Bavanandam Pillai, Vols I and II (1916) and Vols. III and IV (1917),

⁽iii) Eluttadikāram with Naccinārkkiniyar's commentary—Ed by T. Kanakasundaram Pillai, 2nd Edn. (1933);

⁽iv) Eluttadikāram and Colladikāram—Ed. by Namach-chivaya Mudaliar (1922);

⁽v) Poruļadikāram—English translation by R. Vasudeva Sarma (1933);

scholar. The fact is disputed by some scholars and various views1 are entertained as to the religion of the author. We shall merely state some of the facts of internal evidence and leave it to the reader to judge for himself. Though it is a work of grammar, it contains a mine of information about the social polity of the early Tamilians; and research scholars are mainly dependent upon this work for information relating to the customs and manners of the early Tamilians. It has not been fully availed of by students of historical research. It is supposed to be based on earlier works on grammar such as Aindra which probably refers to a system of Sanskrit grammar. This is considered to be an authoritative work on grammar, and all later writers in Tamil language faithfully conform to the rules of diction enunciated therein. The author of this work, Tolkappiyam, was supposed to be a student

⁽vi) Colladikāram with Sēnāvaraiyar's commentary— Ed by Arumuga Navalar (1934),

⁽vii) Eļuttadikāravārāycci by V Venkatarajulu Reddiyar (1944);

⁽viii) Colladikāram with an English commentary by P S Subrahmanya Sastri (Annamalai University Tamil Series, No. 9, 1945),

⁽ix) Poruļadikāram with Naccinārkkiniyar's commentary—Ed by K Sundaramurti (1965)

¹ See K Vellawarananar. 'Tolkāppiyam', pp 159-72 for the differing views of scholars on the religious leanings of the author of Tolkāppiyam.

of Agastya, the mythical founder of Tamil literature. It contains a preface by a contemporary author, Panampāranār, who certifies that the Aindiram-nirainea Tolkāppiyam, i.e., the Tolkāppiyam full of the Aindra grammar system, was read in the Pāndyan assembly and approved by Adankōṭṭāśān. Dr. Burnell maintains that the author of the Tolkāppiyam was a Buddhist or Jaina and that he is one of the unquestionably old Tamil authors. In the same preface of Panampāranār, Tolkāppiyar is referred to as the "great and famous Padimaiyōn". The word Padimaiyōn is explained by the commentator as one who performs tapas. It is well known to students of Jaina literature that Pratimā-yōga is a Jaina technical term and some Jaina yōgis were spoken of as Pradhāna-Yōgadhāris. On this basis,

¹ The prefatory verses of Pannirupadalam, Purapporulvenbāmālai and Agapporul-vilakkam make this claim For relevant quotations, see K N. Sivaraja Pillai: Agastya in the Tamil Land, p. 47.

^{2.} The relevant lines read:

nilan- taru tiruvig-Pānḍiyaħ avaiyattu agaṅgagai nāviṇ nāṇmagai muggiya Adaṅkōṭṭāśāgku ariltapatterittu

See, also, S. Vaiyapuri Pıllai: Tamıl-ccudarmanigal (1949), p. 1

^{3.} The Aindra System of Sanskrit Grammar (1875).

^{4. &#}x27;Pal-pugal-ni tutta Padimaiyon'

^{5.} The commentator Ilampūrapavadigal explains Padimaiyon as 'tavav-olukkattinaiy-udaiyon', i. e., 'he who observes the norms of tapas'. Pratimā is a Jaina technical term. It refers to the stages of religious life of a pious householder. There are eleven Pratimās.

scholars like S. Vaiyapuri Pillai1 infer that the author of Tolkappiyam was a Jaina by religion. The same author strengthens his conclusion by quoting the sūtras from Tolkappivam referring to the classification of fivas according to the sense-organs possessed by the jīvas. In the section called Marabiyal, Tolkappiyam speaks of jīvas with one sense, such as grass and trees, jīvas with two senses such as snails, iivas with three senses such as ants, jīvas with four senses such as crabs and jīvas of five senses, such as higher animals and jīvas with six senses such as human beings. It is not necessary for me to point out and emphasise the fact that this forms a philosophical doctrine of Jaina thought. This classification of jivas is found in all the important Jaina philosophical works both in Sanskrit and Tamil Works, such as Mērumandirapuranam and Nīlakēsi, two of the important Jama philosophical works, contain descriptions of jivas in this manner. It is but natural to conclude that this refers to the Jaina conception of life, and it goes without saying that the author was well-versed in Jaina philosophy. There is one other fact, not noticed by the research students, which must also be considered as an important evidence in favour of this conclusion.

^{1.} Sentamil, Vol. XVIII (1919-20), p 339, S Vaiyapuri Pillai op. cit., pp. 7-11. Also see M. S Ramaswami Ayyangar: op cit., p. 39, footnote 3.

^{2.} The sūtras quoted by Vaiyapuri Pıllaı are Marabiyal, 27-33

^{3.} Mērumandira Purāņam, Vaccirāyudanaņuttam, 10, 11; Neelakeši (Ed by A. Chakravartı, 1936), p 139

In another sūtra in the same Marabiyal, Tolkāppiyam' introduces the classification of literary works, according to Tamil tradition, into mudal-nūl and vali-nūl, primary and basic work and secondary and derivative work. When he defines 'primary and basic work', mudal-nūl, he speaks of mudal-nūl as that which is revealed by the Lord of Jñāna obtained after complete liberation from karmas, i.e., knowledge revealed by Sarvajña after karmaksaya. It is not necessary to emphasise the fact that, according to Jaina tradition, almost every writer would trace the first source of his information through his previous acarvas and through ganadharas to the Tirthankara himself propounding his dharma in the Samavasarana. But to every unbiased student who is acquainted with this Jaina tradition it would be clear that the reference contained in this definition of the basic work is distinctly a reference to Sarvajña-Vîtaraga as the fountain source of all knowledge. From all these it would be clear that the view that the author was a Jaina is more probable than the opposite view. The persons who tried to reject this suggestion have cited no serious argument in support of their view. One critic refers to the fact that such a classification of *jīvas* as is contained in this work is also contained in an obscure tantra work. But the verses referred to are not fully quoted. Even granting for argument's sake that it is referred to in that tantra work, it will be of doubtful value as an

¹ Vinaly-nīngi viļungiyav-aziviņ Muņalvaņ kaņdadu mudaņūl-āgum [Tolkāppiyam, 649].

evidence. Here it is necessary to point out that this classification of jivas based on sense-organs is not found in any of the other darsanas or systems of Indian thought. It is peculiar to Jaina philosophy and Jaina philosophy alone. We may leave further discussion of this point to other competent scholars interested in such research. It is enough for us to note, at this stage, that the composition of this work on grammar, one of the earliest Tamil works, was probably by a Jaina author who was equally well-versed in Sanskrit grammar and literature. As to the exact age at which it was composed there is a good deal of controversy, and we need not enter into that discussion for the present.

This grammatical treatise consists of three great chapters *Eluttu*, *Sol* and *Porul*—letters, words and meaning respectively. Each chapter consists of nine *Iyals* or sections. On the whole it contains $1612 \, s\bar{u}tras$. This forms the foundation of the later grammatical

^{1.} V R. Ramachandra Dikshitar op cit, pp. 132-35. For a well documented and convincing argument for assigning Tolkāppiyaŋār to the 4th-5th century A D, see S. Vaiyapuri Pillai: Tamil-ccuḍarmaṇigal (1949), pp 27-39 In an equally painstaking dissertation K N Sivaraja Pillai arrives at the conclusion (Chronology of the Early Tamils, App. XV, 258-65, The Age of Tolkāppiyam) that the author of that work could not have lived earlier than the 6th century A D Among recent opinions expressed on the subject, that of M Rajamanikkanar (Tamil-moli Ilakkiya Varalāru, 1963, p. 84) would place Tolkāppiyaŋār in about 300 B. C

works in the Tamil language. Unlike the Sanskrit grammar or vyākaraņa which has the 1st and 2nd alone, this contains three chapters, the third being on porul. This 3rd chapter contains a lot of extra-grammatical matter dealing with love and war, and thus offers many useful suggestions for reconstructing the history of the early Dravidians.

It is said that there are five commentaries on this treatise written by

- 1. llampūraņar
- 2. Pērāśiriyar
- 3. Sēnavaraiyar
- 4. Naccinārkkiniyar
- 5. Kalladar

The first is the oldest of the commentators and is generally referred to as 'The Commentator' by the later ones.

This great work of Tamil grammar is assigned by tradition to the second Sangam period. We know that all the existing Tamil works are generally assigned to the last and the third Sangam period. Hence this Tolkappiyam must be assumed to be anterior to practically the whole of the existing Tamil literature. This would be a curious tradition to be accepted, for it is not likely that a work of grammar would precede all the other works in a particular language. As a matter

^{1.} Iraiyanāragapporuļ with Nakkīranār's commentary (Ed. by C. V. Damodaran Pillai, Vikāri), p.5.

of fact, grammar is but a science of language codifying the literary usages and as such must presuppose the existence of a vast literature in that particular language. Even the Tamil grammarians have recognised this fact in as much as they speak of 'literature first and grammar second'. Hence if we are to accept the tradition that Tolkappiyam belongs to the period of the middle Sangam, we have to assume a vast literature prior to that, now somehow lost completely. Such a supposition would not be altogether improbable, if we call to our mind the condition of the early Dravidian civilisation. About the time of Asoka, the Tamil land consisted of three great kingdoms, Cera, Cola and Pandva. Aśoka does not refer to having subdued these kingdoms. They are mentioned in the list as friendly states around the Asokan empire. That the Tamil land contained excellent harbours,2 carried a flourishing sea-borne trade with the European nations around the Mediterranean basin,3 that the Tamil language contributed important words to foreign vocabulary and that Roman gold coins indicating contact with the Roman empire are found in various places in the Tamil country⁵ are all facts well known to students

¹ Radhagovinda Basak Asokan Inscriptions (1959), p 5

² P T Srinivasa Iyengar op cit, pp 189, 293-300

³ P T Srinivasa Iyengar . *Ibid* , pp 36-43, 96-102, 129-34, 192-206, 301-21

^{4.} Caldwell A Comparative Grammar of the Dravidian Languages (1915), pp 89-91, S Vaiyapuri Pillai: History of Tamil Language and Literature (1956), pp. 8-10.

^{5.} JRAS, 1904, pp 623-34, Ancient India (Archaeological Survey of India, 1949), No. 2, pp 118-19, 121.

of history. This, taken together with the recent explorations and discoveries in Mohenjodaro and Harappa, reveals a civilisation prior to that of the Aryans and gives us an idea of the high state of civilisation that must have been attained by the early Dravidians. For the present all these would remain in the field of speculation till we come across sufficient evidence to reconstruct this early Dravidian culture. Since the extant Tamil literature is said mainly to belong to the 3rd Sangam period, most of the works that we are going to consider must be assigned to this period. This would probably mean from 2nd century B. C. to the 7th century A. D. Since the institution of the Sangam or Academy is taken to be a doubtful entity, the term Sangam is merely used as a conventional term to indicate a certain period in the history of the Tamils.

The classification of Tamil literature into three distinct periods, viz., natural, ethical and religious suggestedby Mr Sivaraja Pillai may be taken as a convenient frame-work, since it broadly represents the historical developments of Tamil literature. Some of the ethical works such as the Kural and $N\bar{a}ladiv\bar{a}r$ are freely quoted in the later literature. Hence it could not be altogether a mistake if we suppose that ethical literature seems to be earlier than the $k\bar{a}vya$ literature. In this group of ethical literature, the influence of Jaina teachers is

^{1.} There is no unanimity among scholars on whether the Indus Valley (Harappa and Mohenjodaro) Civilisation is pre-Āryan, Āryan or Dravidian.

² K. N Sıvaraja Pillaı . op. cit., pp 8-10.

prominently felt. The two great works, Kural and Nāladiyār, were the work of Jaina teachers who settled down in the Tamil country.

Kural¹—The ethical work called Kural is a most

- 1. The following are the editions, either in full or in part of Tirukkural:-
- (1) Tırukkural, 11-20 adıkārams—Ed by U Pushparatha Chettiyar, Madras, 1868,
- (ii) Tirukkural, text Ed by U Pushparatha Chetti-yar, Madras, 1868;
- (111) Tirukkural with Saravanapperumāļaiyar's commentary—Ed. by Karunananda Swamigal and Kesava Mudaliyar, Madras, 1869;
- (iv) Nūrukuraļ (100 select kuraļs)— Ed by H W. Lorry, Madras, 1870 and 1876,
- (v) Tiruvalluvarın Kural, text and commentary—Ed. by R M Babu, 1870,
- (vi) Tirukkural with Padavurai, 31-40 adikārams with English translation, 1873,
- (vii) Tirukkural—Ed by Itta Kuppusami Nayudu, Madras, 1873.
- (viii) Kural (Arattuppāl and Poruțpāl), text and commentary—Ed by E F Hobusch, Tarangampadi, 1873,
- (1x) Tirukkural, text and commentary—Ed by Veera sami Pillai, 1875;
- (x) Tirukkural, text and commentary—Ed by Ponnusami Mudaliyar, 1884,
- (x1) Tirukkural—Ed by Subbaraya Chettiyar, Madras, 1885.
- (xii) Tirukkural with Parimelalagar's commentary— Ed by Murugesa Mudaliyar, Madras, 1885,
- (XIII) Tirukkural, text—Ed by A Ramaswami, Madras,
- (xiv) The Sacred Kurral, text—Ed. with Translation by G U Pope, London, 1886;

(Contd.)

important work in Tamil literature, judged from its popularity among the Tamil speaking people. It is composed in the form of couplets known as Kuralvenbā, a metre peculiar to the Tamil literature. The term kural means 'short' as opposed to the other type of venbā which is also a metre peculiar to the Tamil litrature. The book derives its name Kural from the metre employed in its composition. It is a work based on the adoctrine of ahimsā; and throughout, you have the praising of this ahimsā-dharma and the criticism of views opposed to this. The work is considered so important by the Tamils that they use various names to designate this great work, such as Uttaravēda, Tamilvēda, 'divine scripture,' 'the great truth,' 'non-denominational Veda' and so on. The work is claimed by

⁽xv) Titukkural with Parimelalagar's commentary—Ed. by S P Rajaram, Madras, 1907,

⁽xvi) The Kural or The Maxims of Tiruvalluvar—English Translation by V V S. Aiyar, Madras, 1915, 1925, 1952, 1961,

⁽xvii) Tirukkural, Arattuppāl with Parimēlalagar's commentary (with notes by V M Sadagoparamanujacharya Swamigal), 1937,

⁽XVIII) Tirukkural—Porutpāl and Kāmaţtuppāl with Parimēlalagar's commentary, 1938.

⁽xix) Tirukkuraļ—Kāmattuppāl with Kalingar and Paripperumāļ's commentaries—Ed. by T. P. Palaniappa Pillai, Tirupati, 1945;

⁽xx) Tırukkural - Poruțpāl with Kalingar and Paripperumāl's commentaries—Ed. by T.P. Palaniappa Pillai, Tirupati, 1948;

⁽xxi) Tuukkural, text, Tamil Paraphrase, English translation—Ed. by A Ranganada Mudaliar, Madras, 1949;

⁽XXII) Tirukkural, text, commentary and exhaustive introduction—Ed. by A. Chakravarti, 1949.

almost all the religious sects of the Tamil land. The Saivaite claims that it was composed by a Saivaite author. The Vaisnavaites claim it as their own. The Reverend Pope who translated this into English even suggests² that it is the work of an author influenced by Christianity. The fact that the different communities are vying with one another in their claim to the authorship of this great work is itself an indication of its great eminence and importance. In the midst of all such various claimants we have the Jaina who maintains that it is the work of a great Jama ācārya. The Jaina tradition associates this great ethical work with Elacariyar which is the other name for Sri Kundakundācārya. The period of Srī Kundakundācārya is covered by the later half of the first century B. C. and the former half of the first century A. D. We have referred to Sri Kundakundācārya as the chief of the Dravidian Sangha at southern Patalipura.4

We are not merely to depend upon this tradition to base our conclusions.

We have sufficient internal evidence as well as circumstantial evidence to substantiate our view. To any unbiased student who critically examines the con-

¹ See V R Ramachandra Dikshitar op. cit., pp. 136-38 where Tiruvalluvar's religious outlook is discussed vis-a-vis Christianity, Jainism, Buddhism, Vaispavism and Saivism.

² The Sacred Kurral (1886), Introduction, pp. 11-1v and vi.

³ M S Ramaswami Ayyangar op. cit, pp 43-44.

^{4.} Above, pp 8-9

tents of this work it would be quite clear that it is replete with the ahimsa doctrine and therefore must be a product of Jaina imagination. Unbiased Tamil scholars who are entitled to pronounce opinion on this point have expressed similar opinion as to the authorship of this work. But the majority of the Tamil scholars among the non-Jainas are not willing to accept such a verdict based upon scientific investigation. This opposition is mainly traceable to religious feeling. About the time of the Hindu revival (about the 7th century A. D.) the clash between the Jaina religion and the Vedic sacrificial religion of the Hindu reformers must have been so tremendous that echoes of it are felt even now. In this conflict the Jaina teachers were evidently worsted by the Hindu revivalists who had the support of the newly converted Pandyan king on their side. As a result of this it is said that several Jama teachers were put to death by impaling them.1 How much of this is history and how much of this is the creation of fertile imagination fed by religious animosity, we are not able to assess clearly. But even to this day we have this story of impaling the Jainas painted on the walls of the Madura temple, and annual festivals are conducted celebrating the defeat and destruction of religious rivals. This would give us an insight into the attitude of the Tamil scholars towards

^{1.} Caldwell (A Comparative Grammar of Dravidian Languages, II edn., 1875, Introduction pp. 139-40) is of the opinion that it was Sundarapāņdya, a Pāṇdya ruler of the 12th century, who was responsible for the persecution of the Jains of the Tamil country

the early Jainas. It is no secret, therefore, that they generally resent the very suggestion that this great ethical work must have been written by a Jaina scholar.

According to one tradition the author of this work is said to be one Tiruvalluvar about whom nothing is known except what is concocted by the imagination of a modern writer who is responsible for the fictitious story relating to Tiruvalluvar. That he is born of a Candala woman, that he was a brother and contemporary of almost all great Tamil writers are some of the absurd instances mentioned in this life of Tiruvalluvar.1 To mention it is enough to discredit it. But the more enthusiastic among the modern Tamil scholars and modern Tamils have elevated him into a Godhead and built temples in his name and conducted annual festivals analogous to the festivals associated with the other Hindu deities. And the author is claimed to be one of the Hindu deities and the work is considered to be the revelation by such a deity. From such quarters, one cannot ordinarily expect application of historical criticism. So much so, whenever any hypothesis is suggested as a result of critical examination of the contents, it is rejected with a vehemence characteristic of uninstructed religious zeal. Many so-called critics who have written something or other about this great work have been careful to maintain that peculiar intellectual attitude which Samuel Johnson had when

^{1.} G U Pope The Sacred Kurral (1886), Introduction, pp 1-11.

he had to report the proceedings of the House of Commons. He was particular to see that the Whigs had not the better of it. When such is the general mentality of the Tamil students and when the real spirit of research adopting the scientific and historical method is still in its infancy, it is no wonder that we have nothing worth the name of Tamil literature. Hence we are handicapped in our own attempt in presenting anything like a historical account of Jaina literature.

Turning from this digression to an examination of our work, we have to mention certain salient facts contained in the book itself. The book contains three great topics, aram, porul, inbam i.e., dharma, artha and These three topics are interpreted and expounded as to be in thorough conformity with the basic doctrine of ahimsā. Hence it need not be emphasised that the terms here mean slightly different from what they imply in the ordinary Hindu religious works. Later Hindu religious systems, in as much as they are resting on the Vedic sacrificial ritualism, cannot completely throw overboard the practice of animal sacrifice enjoined in the Vedas. The term dharma could mean, therefore, to them only varnāsramadharma based upon Vedic sacrifice. Only Indian systems were opposed to this doctrine of Vedic sacrifice: Jaina darsana, Sankhya darsana and Bauddha darsana. Representatives of these three darkanas were present in the Tamil land in the pre-revivalistic period. In the very

beginning of the work, in the chapter on dharma, the author gives this as his own view that it is far better and more virtuous to abstain from killing and eating any animal than to perform 1000 sacrifices. This one single verse is enough to point out that the author would not have acquiesced in any form of such sacrificial ritualism. The verse is nothing more than the paraphrase of the Sanskrit words ahimsā paramō dharmaḥ. I was surprised to see this same verse quoted by a Saivaite Tamil scholar to prove that the author had as his religion Vedic sacrificial ritualism.

In the same section devoted to vegetarian food the author distinctly condemns³ the Bauddha principle of purchasing meat from the butcher. Buddhists who offer lip service to the doctrine of ahimsā console themselves by saying that they are not to kill with their own hands but may purchase meat from the slaughter-house. The author of the Kura! in unmistakable terms points out that the butcher's trade thrives only because of the

¹ The relevant kural reads.

Avi-sorind-ayiram vēttali-onranuyirsegutt-unnamai nanru (1-26-9)

'Than thousand rich oblations, with libations rare,
Better the flesh of slaughtered beings not to share' (G U
Pope)

² The relevant kural reads

Tinar-porutfär-kollad-ulagenin yarum

vilai-poruttal-unraruvär-il (1-26-6)

^{&#}x27;We eat the slain', you say, 'by us no living creatures die, Who'd kill and sell, I pray, if none come there the flesh to buy?' (G.U Pope)

demand for meat. The butcher's interest is merely to " make money and hence he adopts a particular trade determined by the principle of 'supply and demand.' Therefore the responsibility of killing animals for food is mainly on your head and not upon the butcher's. When there is such an open condemnation of animal sacrifice sanctioned by Vedic ritualism and the Buddhistic practice of eating meat by a convenient interpretation of the ahimsā doctrine, it is clear by a process of elimination that the only religion that conforms to the principles enunciated in the book is the religion of ahimsā as upheld by the Jamas. It is maintained by a well-known Tamil scholar living, that the work is a faithful translation of the Dharma-sāstra by Bodhayana. Though very many Sanskrit words are found in this work and though from among the traditional doctrines some are also treated therein, still it would not be accurate to maintain that it is merely an echo of what appeared in the Sanskrit literature because many of these doctrines are re-interpreted and re-emphasised in the light of the ahimsā doctrine. It is enough to mention only two points. This Bodhavana-Dharmasāstra, since it is based upon the traditional varnāsrama, keeps to the traditional four castes and their duties1. According to this conception of dharma, cultivation of the land is left to the last class of sūdras and would certainly be infra dig for the upper classes to have any-

^{1.} Cf eg Baudhāyana-Dharma-sūtra (Kashi Sanskrit Series, No. 104, Benaras, 1934), 1st praśna, 16th khanda, 1st sūtra 'Catvārō varņā brāhmaņa-kṣatriya-viţ-śūdrāḥ'

thing to do with agriculture¹. The author of the Kural, on the other hand, probably because of the fact that he is one of the vēļāļa or the agricultural class of the land, placed agriculture first among the professions. For he says, "living par excellence is living by tilling the land and every other mode of life is parasitical and hence next to that of the tiller of the soil." It is too much to swallow that such a doctrine is borrowed from the Sanskrit Dharma-šāstras. Another interesting fact mentioned in the Dharma-šāstras is the mode of entertaining guests by the householders. Such an entertainment is always associated with killing a fat calf; the chapter on guests in Bōdhāyana-Dharma-šāstra gives a list of animals that ought to be killed for the

¹ Dharma-śāstra authors are not unanimous in assigning specific duties and functions to the four castes. While Manu (Manu-smfti—Ed. by Vasudeva Sarma, Bombay, 1925, chapter 13) prohibits brāhmanas and kṣatriyas from cultivation of land which, according to him, is the duty of the vaisyas, Bōdhāyana (Baudhāyana-Dharma-sūtra, Kashi Sanskrit Series, No. 104, Benaras, 1934), who also assigns cultivation of land as the task of the vaisyas (1st prasna, 18th khanda, 4th sūtra Viţsv-adhyayana-yajana-dāna-kṛṣi-vāṇiyya-pasupālana-saṃyuktaṃ karmanāṃ vṛdh-yai), prohibits the brāhmanas from cultivating lands only if that should come in the way of their Vedic studies (ibid., 1st prasna, 10th khanda, 31st sūtra Vēdaḥ kṛṣi-vināsāya kṛṣir-Vēda-vinā-sinī! Saktinān-ubhayam kuryād-asaktas-tu kṛṣim tyajēt 11)

² The relevant kural reads.

ulud-undu vālvāre vālvār marr-ellantolud-undu pin-selbavar (2-104-3)

'Who ploughing eat their food they truly live;
The rest to others bend subservient, eating what they give'. (G. U Pope).

purpose of entertaining guests. This is a necessary part of *dharma* and that violation of it will entail curse from the guests is the firm belief of those who accept Vedic ritualism as religion. A cursory glance at the corresponding chapter in the Kura! will convince any reader that dharma here means quite a different thing from what it means in the Dharma-sāstras of the Hindus. Hence we have to reject this suggestion that the work represents merely a translation of the Dharma-sāstras for the benefit of the Tamil reading public.

Turning to circumstantial evidence, we have to note the following facts. The Jaina commentator of the Tamil work called Nilakēsi freely quotes from this Kural; and whenever he quotes he introduces the quotation with the words "as is mentioned in our scripture." From this it is clear that the commentator considered this work as an important Jaina scripture in Tamil. Secondly, the same implication is found in a non-Jaina Tamil work called Prabōdha-candrōdaya. This Tamil work is evidently modelled

^{1.} Baudhāyana-Dharma-sūtra, 3rd praśna, 3rd khanḍa, 6th sūtra.

^{2.} Tirukkural, Arattuppāl, chapter 9, verses 1-10.

^{3.} The expression used by the commentator, emm-ōttu, is rendered into English by M. S. Ramaswamy Ayyangar (op. cit, pp. 42-43) as 'Our own Bible'.

^{4.} The following are the editions of this work:—

⁽¹⁾ Prabodhacandrodayam—Ed. by Arumugaswamigal, 1876:

after the Sanskrit drama Prabodhacandrodaya. This Tamil work is in viruttam metre, consisting of four lines. It is also in the form of a drama where the representatives of the various religions are introduced on the stage. Each one is introduced while reciting a characteristic verse containing the essence of his When the Jama sanyāsi appears on the stage, he is made to recite that particular verse from the Kural which praises the ahimsā doctrine that "not killing a single life for the purpose of eating is far better than performing 1000 yāgas." It will not be far wrong to suggest that in the eyes of this dramatist the Kural was characteristically a Jaina work. Otherwise he would not have put this verse in the mouth of the nigantavādı. This much is enough. We may end this discussion by saying that this great ethical work is specially composed for the purpose of inculcating the principle of ahimsā in all its multifarious aspects, probably by a great Jaina scholar of eminence about the first century of the Christian era.2

This great ethical work, which contains the essence of Tamil wisdom, consists of three parts and of 133 chapters. Each chapter contains 10 verses.

⁽¹¹⁾ Prabödhacandrödayam—Ed by Subbarayaswamigal, Ramanuja Mudaliar and Venkataramayyar, Madras, 1898

^{1.} See, above, p 34, footnote 1

² S Vaiyapuri Pillai (History of Tamil Language and Literature, 1956, pp 81-85) is of the view that Tiruvalluvar lived about 600 A D

Thus we have 1330 verses in the form of couplets. It has three or four important commentaries. Of these, one is by the great commentator Naccinārkkiniyar. It is supposed to be by a Jaina according to the Jaina tradition, but is unfortunatety lost to the world. The commentary that is popular at present is by one Parimēlaļagar and it is certainly later than Naccinārkkiniyar's and differs from the latter in the interpretation of many important points. Recently another commentary by Maņakkuḍavar was published. Students of Tamil literature entertain the hope of obtaining and and publishing the commentary by the great Naccinārkkiniyar. But up to the present there has not been any trace of it.

The work is translated into almost all the European languages, the very good English translation being the the work of Rev. G. U. Pope. This great work, together with the other work Nālaḍiyār, of which we shall speak presently, must have been one of the important factors in shaping the character and ideals of the Tamil people. Speaking of these two ethical masterpieces, Dr. Pope writes as follows:— "Yet pervading these verses there seems to me to be a strong sense of moral obligation, an earnest aspiration after righteousness, a fervant and unselfish charity and generally a loftiness of aim that are very impressive. I have felt sometimes as if there must be a blessing in

¹ G. U. Pope: The Näladiyär or the Four Hundred Quatrains in Tamil (1883), General Introduction, p. x1.

store for a people that delight so utterly in composition thus remarkably expressive of a hunger and thirst after righteousness. They are the foremost among the peoples of India, and the *Kural* and *Nālaḍi* have helped to make them so."

Let us turn our attention to the last mentioned work Nālaģiyār¹. Kuraļ and Nālaģiyār serve as mutual commentaries and together 'throw a flood of light upon the whole ethical and social philosophy of the Tamil people.' Nālaģiyār derives its name from the nature of the metre, just as the Kuraļ. Nālaģiyar means a quatrain or 4 lines in veņbā metre. The work consists of 400 quatrains and is also called the Vēļāļar-vēdam,

^{1.} The following are the editions of Nāladiyār -

⁽¹⁾ Nālad iyār, text—Ed by U Pushparatha Chettiyar, Madras, 1869,

⁽¹¹⁾ Nāladīyār (Nāladī-nā nūru), text and commentary—Ed by U Pushparatha Chettiyar, Madras, 1873,

⁽¹¹¹⁾ Nāladīyār, text and commentary—Ed by Maunaguru Rudramurthi, 1883,

⁽¹v) Nāladīyār, text and explanatory notes, Madras, 1885,

⁽v) Nāladīyār, text—Ed by A Ramaswamīgal, Madras, 1886,

⁽vi) Nāladiyār, text, commentary and English translation, Madras, 1892,

⁽vii) The Nāladiyār or The Four Hundred Quatrains in Tamil—Ed. with English translation by G. U Pope, Oxford, 1893

² G U Pope The Nāladiyār or The Four Hundred Quatrains in Tamil, Oxford, 1893, General Introduction, p xi.

the Bible of the cultivators.1 It is not the work of a single author. Tradition supposes that each verse is composed by a separate Jaina monk. The current tradition is briefly this.* Once upon a time 8000 Jaina ascetics, driven by famine in the north, migrated to to the Pandyan country whose king supported them. When the period of famine was over they wanted to return to their country, while the king desired to retain these scholars at his court. At last the ascetics resolved to depart secretly without the knowledge of the king. Thus they left in a body one night. In the next morning it was found that each had left on his seat a palm leaf containing a quatrain. The king ordered them to be thrown into the river Vaigai, when it was found that some of the palm leaves were seen swimming up the river against the current and came to the bank. These were collected by the order of the king and this collection is known by the name Nāladiyār. We are not in a position to estimate the amount of historical truth contained in this tradition. We have to connect these 8000 Jaina ascetics with the followers of Bhadrabahu who migrated to the south on account of the 12 years famine in northern India; and this would place the composition of this work somewhere about the 3rd century B.C. We cannot dogmatise upon it. All that we can say, with a certain amount of certainty, is that it is one of the earliest didactic works in the Tamil language and is probably of the same age or slightly

^{1.} See G U. Pope: Ibid, p. vii.

^{2.} G. U. Pope · *Ibid.*, p. viii; M. S. Ramaswami Ayyangar; op. cit., pp. 56-67.

earlier than the Kural. The 400 isolated stanzas are arranged according to a certain plan after the model of the Kural. Each chapter consists of 10 stanzas. The first part on aram i.e., dharma, consists of 13 chapters and 130 quatrains. The second section on porul i.e., artha, contains 26 chapters and 260 quatrains; and the 3rd chapter on 'love' contains 10 quatrains. Thus 400 quatrains are arranged into 3 sections. This arrangement is attributed by one tradition to the Pandya king, Ugraperuvaludi, and by another tradition to the Jaina scholar named Padumanar.2 Of the 18 didactic works in the Tamil language Kural and Nāladiyār are considered to be the most important. The moral principles enunciated in this work are accepted by all classes without any difference of caste or religion. The traditional course of Tamil study necessarily involves the study of these two works.

¹ According to tradition only Aganānūru and not Nāladiyār was caused to be compiled by Ugraperuvaļudi See P T Srinivasa Iyengar · op cit , p 156, M Rajamanikkanar op cit , p 129 On the other hand, M S. Purnalingam Pillai (Tamil Litetature, 1929, p. 68) speaks of a tradition according to which Nāladiyār was compiled under the auspices of the Sangam established by Vajranandi, a Jaina, at Madurai, in about 450 A D. The origin of this tradition, obviously quite a late one, must be attributed to the confusion which characterises the legend of the Sangams

² G U. Pope The Nālaḍīyār or The Four Hundred Quatrains in Tamil, Oxford, 1893, General Introduction, p ix; V. R. Ramachandra Dikshitar op cut, pp 38-39

³ i e 'Padinen-ki Ikkanakku, which is generally rendered into English as 'The eighteen minor poems'. For a list of the 18 works and their authors, see M S Purnalingam Pillai op cit, p. 68.

14 1

None is entitled to be called a Tamil scholar unless he is thorough with these two great works.

On account of the word Muttaraiyar which occurs¹ in one or two quatrains, it is contended by some scholars that the work must be brought down to this side of the 8th century. They take their stand on the fact that this word Muttaraiyar refers to a minor chief within the Pallava empire.2 This conclusion is entirely resting upon a meagre philological evidence of this single word. There is no further evidence to connect this chieftain with the Jaina ascetics who were no doubt responsible for the composition of the quatrains. On the other hand, the word Muttaraiyar may very well be interpreted as "King of pearls" referring to the Pandya kings. It is a well-known fact of ancient history that pearl-fishery was an important industry of the Pandyan country, and that pearls were exported to foreign countries from the Pandyan ports. It is but fitting and natural that the Jaina munis should pay a glowing compliment to their patron belonging to the Pandya dynasty. There is another line of arguement

^{1.} The references to Muttaraivar occur in stanzas 200 and 296 of the $N\bar{a}ladiy\bar{a}r$

² S. Vaiyapuri Pillai (History of Tamil Language and Literature, 1956, p. 19), for instance, says: 'The Muttaraiya family came into prominance only at the beginning of the seventh century and Peru-muttaraiyar referred to was most probably Perum-bidugumuttaraiya, the feudatory of Paramēšvaravarman Pallava I who had the title perum-bidugu and who flourished in the middle of the seventh century'.

which tries to bring the age of this work to the later period of the Christian, era. Scholars are of opinion that several stanzas in this work are but the echo of the Sanskrit work by Bhartrhari. Bhartrhari's Nītisataka was composed about 650 A. D. and, therefore, Nāladiyār is supposed to be later than the 7th century A.D. This arguement must also be rejected, because the Jaina scholars who are experts in both the languages, viz., Tamil and Sanskrit, were probably acquainted with certain old Sanskrit savings that were perhaps incorporated by Bhartrhari in his work. Even if you maintain that the Jaina ascetics responsible for the Naladiyar were probably members of the Dravida Sangha presided over by Sri Kundakundācārva, the work could not be assigned to a period later than the first century A D. It is relevant to mention, in this connection, that quatrains from this Nāladiyār are found quoted in the well-known commentaries in Tamil language from very early times Besides these two great works, several others (such as Aranericcaram 'the essence of the way of virtue', Palamoli, 'Proverbs', Elādi, etc.) included in the 18 didactic works, probably owe their origin to Jaina authors. Of these we may notice a few in short.

1 Aranericcaram¹—'The essence of the way of virtue' is composed by a Jaina author by name

¹ Most of the lists of Padinen-kī Įkkaņakku works do not include Araneziccāram This is generally accepted to be the work of a Jaina poet, Tirumunaippā diyār, who is assigned by some (Contd.)

Tirumunaippādiyār. He is said to have flourished in the last Sangam period. He describes in this great work five moral principles, associated with Jainism, though common to the other religions in the south. These principles go by the name of panca-vratas, the five rules of conduct governing the householder as well as the ascetic. These are ahimsā (non-killing), satya (truth-speaking), astēya (non-stealing), brahmacarya and parimita-parigraha (avoiding unnecessary luxury and paraphernalia and limiting oneself to the bare necessities of life). These constitute the five-fold principles of ethical conduct, and they are enunciated in this work called Aranericcāram.

2. Palamoli¹ or 'Proverbs'—The author is a Jaina by name Munrurai-araiyanār². It contains 400

scholars to the first half of the 13th century A. D Aranericcaram, which treats its subject under three major heads, viz, kāţci, olukkam and jñānam, and consists of 222 veṇbā stanzas, is taken to have been influenced by Arungalacceppu, another Jaina didactic work. It is interesting to note that Tirumunaippādiyār refers to the Arhat as Sivan in his work. See Tamil-kkalañjiyam, Vol. I (1954), p. 260 An edition of Arungalacceppu, under the title Tirukkalambagam, was brought out at Kanchipuram in 1883.

¹ The following are the editions of this work —

⁽¹⁾ Palamoli, text and commentary—Ed by Narayana Ayyangar, Madurai, 1918

⁽¹¹⁾ Palamoli—Ed by T. Chelvakesavaroya Mudaliar, Madras

^{2.} The name Mungugai-araiyan is taken to indicate that the author, whose proper name is otherwise unknown, was a chieftain (araiyan) of Mungugai, a place not yet identified. Pala-

quatrains of veṇbā metre like the Nālaḍiyār. It consists of valuable old sayings containing not merely principles of conduct, but also a good deal of worldly wisdom. It is assigned a third place in the enumeration of the 18 didactic works which begins with the Kural and the Nālaḍiyār.

- 3. Another work belonging to this group of 18 is Tiṇaimālai-nūrraimbadu¹ by Kaṇimēdaiyār. This Jaina author is also said to be one of the Sangam poets. This work treats of the principles of love and war and is quoted freely by the great commentators of the later age. Stanzas from this work are found quoted by Naccinārkkiniyar and others
- 4. Another work of this group is Nānmaṇik-kaḍigai, 'the solver of the four gems', by the Jaina author by name Vilambināthar. This is also in the veṇbā metre well-known in the other works. Each stanza deals with four important moral principles like jewels, and hence the name Nānmaṇikkaḍigai.

moli is largely indebted to the Nālaḍiyār and other works and was probably composed in the 8th century A.D. See S Vaiyapuri Pillai History of Tanul Language and Literature (1956), p 90, M. S. Ramaswamy Ayyangar op cit, pp 92-93

I Tinaimalai-nūrraimbadu contains 155 stanzas in venbā metre This work has set the pattern for many a later work on the love theme. In this work Kanimēdaiyār devotes 31 songs each for the five tinais, viz, kurinji, neydal, pālai, mullai and marudam. Like his other work Ēlādi, Tinaimalai-nūrraimbadu also belongs to the kilkkanakku group. See T. V. Sadasiva Pandarathar A History of Tamil Literature (250-600 AD), Annamalainagar, (1957), p. 67.

5. Next Ēlādi, 'Cardamom and others'. The name Ēlādi refers to the mixture of the perfumes of ēla, (cardamom), karpūram (camphor), erikarasu (the odorous wood), candanam (sandal) and tēn (honey). The name is given to this work because each quatrain is supposed to contain five or six such fragrant topics. The work is of Jaina origin, and the author's name is Kanimēdaiyār¹ whose knowledge is appreciated by all. It is also one of the 18 lesser classics of Sangam literature. Nothing is known of the author except that he is said to be a disciple of Mākkāyanār², son of Tamilāśiriyar, a member of the Madurai Sangam. Though these works are usually included in the general group of the 18 minor classics³, it should not be assumed that they

¹ See above, p. 46 and note 1

^{2.} Another Jaina disciple of Mākkāyanār, Mākkāriyāsān by name, is the author of Sirupancamūlum, yet another kilkkanakku work. The name of the work is derived from the five (panca) medicinal roots kandankattiri, siruvalutunai, sirumalli, perumalli and nerunji Sirupancamulum contains in all 104 songs in venbā metre, each song dealing with five essentials for human living That this Jaina author was a disciple of Mākkāyanār is known from the pāyirappādal at the end of the work (Mākkāyan māṇakkan Mākkāriyasān Sirupancamūlamseydān) See T V. Sadasiva Pandarathar op. cit., pp. 49-52.

³ Aintinai-elubadu is another kīl'ckanakku work which set the trend for all later works which have agapporul for their main theme, each of the five tinais of this work consists of 70 stanzas called pā and hence the suffix elubadu (70). Only 64 poems are now extant, the rest being probably lost Though this work commences with an invocation to the Elephant-faced god, i.e., Vināyaka, some scholars are of the opinion that its author, Mūvādiyār, was a Jaina by faith. He lived probably in the 5th century A.D. See T. V Sadasiva Pandarathar, op cit., pp. 65-66.

all belong to the same century. They must be spread over several centuries; and the only thing that we may assert with a certain amount of certainty is that they all belong to the pre-revivalistic period of the Hindu religion in the south. Hence they must be assigned to the period before the 7th century A. D.

Next we turn to $k\bar{a}vya$ literature. $K\bar{a}vya$ literature is generally divided into 2 groups: major $k\bar{a}vyas$ and the minor $k\bar{a}vyas$. The major $k\bar{a}vyas$ are five in number: Cintāmaņi, Silappadikāram, Maņimēkalai, Vaļaiyāpati and Kuṇḍalakēši Of these five, Cintāmaṇi, Silappadikāram and Vaļaiyāpati are by Jaina authors and the other two by Buddhistic scholars. Of these five, only three are available now, because Vaļaiyāpati and Kuṇḍa lakēsi are almost completely lost to the world. Except a few stanzas quoted here and there by commentators, nothing is known of these works. From the stray stanzas available, it is evident that Vaļaiyāpati was composed by a Jaina author²: what the frame-work of

¹ They are generally referred to in Tamil as Aimperun-kappiyangal

² The following additional information pertaining to Valaiyapati is provided by S Vaiyapuri Pillai (A History of Tamil Language and Literature, 1956, pp. 160-61) 'The Valaiyāpati has, except for a few citations, completely disappeared Even the story of the poem is not known. A later Purāna in Tamil, Vaisiyapurānam, gives a story purporting to be the theme of the Valaiyāpati wherein Kāli is made the supreme goddess. But this is impossible. From its stanzas cited by ancient commentators, we might infer that its author was a Jain. There cannot be any (Contd.)

the story was, who the author was, and when he lived are all matters of mere conjecture. Similarly, in the case of Kundalakēši, the Buddhistic work, nothing is known about the author or his time. From the stanzas quoted in the work of Nilakesi it is clear that Kundalakēši was a work of philosophical controversy, trying to establish the Bauddha darsana by refuting the other darsanas such as the Vedic and the Jaina darsanas. Unfortunately, there is no hope to recover these two great kāvyas. Only the other three are now available, thanks to the labours of the eminent Tamil scholar Dr. V. Swaminatha Avyar. Though in the enumeration of the kāvyas, Cintāmāņi occupies the place of honour, because of the unopposed literary eminence of the classic, it could not be supposed that the enumeration is based upon any historical succession. Probably, the two lost works Valaiyāpati and Kundalakēsi deserve to be considered as historically earlier than the others; but since nothing is known about these works, we can-

reasonable doubt that this was a Jaina $k\bar{a}vya$ Some 66 stanzas from it are included in the Purattirațiu. Two other stanzas are found in the commentary of Yāpparungalam and we might surmise that some of the stanzas occurring in the commentary of Silappadikāram belong to this work. The commentary on Takkayāgapparani says that the poet Ottakkūttar thought highly of Vaļaiyāpati for its poetic beauty. It is interesting to note that this work also, like the Silappadikāram, the Manimēkalai and the Cintāmaņi, has incorporated a kuraļ in one of its stanzas. Being one of the earliest works in the viruttam metre, we may be justified in ascribing it to the first half of the tenth century.

not speak with any certainty. Of the remaining three, tradition makes Silappadikāram and Maņimēkalai contemporary works whereas Cıntāmaņi is probably a later one. Maņimēkalai, being a Buddhistic work, cannot be brought in our review, though the story is connected with that of Silappadikāram, which is distinctly a Jaina work.

Silappadikāram¹, 'the epic of the anklet' is a very important Tamil classic, in as much as it is considered to serve as a land-mark for the chronology of Tamil literature. Its author is the Cēra prince, who became a Jaina ascetic, by name llaṅgōvaḍigal. This great work is taken as an authority for literary usage and is quoted as such by the later commentators. It is associated with a great mercantile family in the city of Puhār, Kāvēripūmpaṭṭiṇam, which was the capital of the Cōla empire. The heroine Kaṇṇaki was from this mercantile family and was famous for her chastity and

¹ The following are the editions of the Silappadikäram:

⁽¹⁾ Sılappadıkāram, Puhar-kkāndam—Ed by T E. Srınıvasaraghavacharı, 1872,

⁽¹¹⁾ Sılappdıkāram, text and Adıyarkku-Nallār's commentary—Ed by V Swamınatha Aıyar, Madras, 1892,

⁽III) Sılappadıkāram, text with Arumpadavurai and Adıyārkku-Nallār's commentary—Ed by V. Swaminatha Alyar, Madras, 1920,

⁽IV) The Silappadikāram or The Lay of the Anklet, translated with Introduction and notes by V R. Ramachandra Dikshitar, Madras, 1939,

⁽v) Silappadikāram, text with Venkataswamy Nattar's commentary, Madras, 1942, 1947, 1950 and 1953

devotion to her husband. Since the story is associated with the attempt to sell the anklet or Silambu in Madurai, the capital of the Pāṇḍyan kingdom and the consequent tragedy, the work is called the epic of the anklet or Silambu. Since the three great kingdoms are involved in this story, the author who is a Cēra prince elaborately describes all the three great capitals Puhār, Madurai and Vañji, the last being the capital of the Cēra empire.

The author of this work, llangovadigal, was the younger son of the Cera king Ceraladan whose capital was Vañji. llangovadigal was the younger brother of Senguttuvan, the ruling king after Ceraladan. Hence the name llango, the younger prince. After he became an ascetic he was called llangovadigal, the term adigal being an honorific term referring to an ascetic. One day when this ascetic prince was in the temple of Jina situated at Vañji, the capital, some members of the hill tribe went to him and narrated to him the strange vision which they had witnessed relating to the heroine Kannaki. How they had witnessed on the hill a lady who had lost one of her breasts, how Indra appeared before her, how her husband Kōvalan was introduced to her as a deva, and how finally Indra carried both of them in a divine chariot: all these were narrated to the Cera prince in the presence of his friend and poet Kulavanigan Sattan, the renowned author of Manimekalai. This friend narrated the full story of the hero and the heroine which was listened to with interest by the royal ascetic. The story narrated by Sattan contained three important and valuable truths in which the royal ascetic took great interest. First, if a king deviates from the path of righteousness even to a slight extent, he will bring down upon himself and his kingdom a catastrophe as a proof of his inequity; secondly, a woman walking the path of chastity is deserving of adoration and worship not only by human beings but also by devas and munis; and thirdly, the working of karma is such that there is an inevitable fatality from which no one can escape, and the fruits of one's previous karma must necessarily be experienced in a later period. In order to illustrate these three eternal truths the royal prince undertook the task of composing this story for the benefit of mankind.

In this classic called Silappadikaram or the epic of the anklet, the first scene is laid in Puhār,² the Cōļa capital. This was evidently an important port at the mouth of the Cauvery, and it was the capital of the Cōļa king Karikāla Being an important commercial centre, several great commercial houses were situated in the capital. Of these there was one Māśattuvan, a merchant prince belonging to this noble family of

¹ Silappadikāram, Padigam [This and the following references to this work are to be referred to V Swaminatha Aiyar's edition (1892) and V R Ramachandra Dikshitar's translation (1939)].

Ibid , Puhār-kkandam

³ P. T Srinivasa Iyengar op cit, pp 224, 376.

commercial magnates. His son was Kōvalan, the hero of our story. He was married to Kannaki, the daughter of another commercial magnate of the same city whose name was Mā Nāyakan. Kōvalan and his wife Kannaki set up an independent home on a grand scale befitting their social status and were living happily for some time in conformity with the rules and conduct associated with the householders. Their happiness consisted in lavish hospitality to all the deserving ones among the householders as well as the ascetics.

While they were thus spending their life happily, Kōvalan once met a very beautiful and accomplished dancing girl by name Mādhavī. He fell in love with the actress who reciprocated it; and therefore he spent most of his time in the company of Mādhavī, to the great grief of his wedded wife Kannaki. In this erotic extravagance, he practically spent all his wealth; but Kannaki never expressed her grief, and she was quite devoted to him as she was in the beginning of her wedded life. There was the Indra festival celebrated as usual. Kōvalan with his mistress also went to the sea-shore to take part in the festival. While they were

¹ Silappadikāram, Canto 1: Mangala-vāļttu-ppādal, 'The song of benediction'.

^{2.} Ibid., Canto 2: Manaiyarampadutta-kādai, 'Setting up home'.

^{3.} Ibid., Canto 3; Arangē rru-kādai, 'The debut'.

^{4.} Ibid., Canto 5: Indira-vilāv-ūredutta-kādai, 'The celebration of Indra's festival'.

⁵ Ibid., Canto 6: Kadal-ādu-kādai, 'Sea bathing'.

seated in one corner. Kovalan took out the vina from the hands of Madhavi and began to play some fine tunes of love. Madhavi gently suspected that his attachment to her was waning. But when she took the viṇā from his hand and began to play her own tunes, that aroused his suspicion that she was secretly attached to some other person.1 This mutual suspicion resulted in a break-off, and Kōvalan returned home in a state of complete poverty with a noble resolution of starting life again as an honourable householder. His chaste wife, instead of rebuking him for his past waywardness, consoled him with that kindness characteristic of of a chaste wife and encouraged him in his resolve to start life again by reviving his business. He was practically penniless, since he had lost everything when he was associated with his mistress Madhavi wife had two anklets still remaining. She was willing to part with these if he would care to sell these and have the sale proceeds as the capital for reviving his business. But he was not willing to stay in his own capital any longer. Hence he decided to go to the Pāṇḍyan capital, Madurai, for the purpose of disposing off these anklets. Without the knowledge of anybody, he left the Cola capital the same night accompanied by his wife and started for Madurai². On his way he reached an asrama of the Jaina ascetics on the northern banks of the Cauvery. In that asrama he met the female ascetic Kaundhi who was quite willing to

^{1,} Ibid., Canto 7: Kā nalvarı, The sea shore song'

^{2.} Ibid, Canto 9 Kanāttiram-uraitta-kādai, 'The tale of the dream'.

accompany these two, in order that she might have the opportunity of meeting the great Jaina acaryas in the Pāṇḍyan capital of Madurai. These three continued their march towards Madurai when, after crossing the Cauvery, resting on the banks of a tank, Kōvalan and his wife were insulted by a wicked fellow who was wandering there with his equally wicked mistress. This provoked their ascetic friend Kaundhi who cursed these two creatures to become jackals. But after the earnest requests of Kōvalan and Kaṇṇaki the curse was revoked that they would resume their normal human form in a year.¹

After undergoing the troubles of the tedious journey, they reached the outskirts of Madurai, the Pāṇḍyan capital². Leaving his wife Kaṇṇaki in the company and charge of Kaundhi, Kōvalan entered the city for the purpose of ascertaining the proper place where he could begin his business². While Kōvalan was spending his time in the city with his friend Māḍalan-Kaundhi wanted to leave Kaṇṇaki in the house of Mādhari, a good natured shepherdess of that locality. When Kōvalan returned from the city, he and his wife were taken to Āyarpāḍi and were lodged in the shepherdess' house. Her daughter was placed at the service

^{1.} Ibid, Canto 10. Nādu-kāņ-kādai, 'The sight of the kingdom'.

^{2.} Here starts the second part of the work, viz., Madurai-kkāņļam.

^{3.} Ibid., Canto 14: Ur-kāņ-kādai, 'Seeing the City'.

^{4.} Ibid., Canto 15: Adaikkala-kkādai, 'The Haven'.

of Kannaki who and her husband were the honoured guests in that Ayarpadı. After feeling sorry for the troubles and privations, Kovalan took leave of his wife and returned to the city for the purpose of selling one of the anklets. When he entered the principal market street he met a goldsmith. He spotted him out as a goldsmith patronised by the king and told him that he had an anklet worthy of being worn by the queen and wanted him to estimate the value of the same. The goldsmith wanted to see the value of the anklet which was accordingly delivered by the owner. The wicked goldsmith thought within himself of deceiving Kovalan, asked him to wait in a house next to his own and promised to strike a very good bargain with the king, for the anklet was so valuable that only the queen could offer the price of it. Thus leaving poor Kovalan alone he took the anklet to the king where he misrepresented facts reporting that Kovalan was a thief having in possession one of the queen's anklets which was stolen from the palace a few days before. The king without further enquiry issued orders that the thief must be killed and the anklet must be recovered at once. The wicked goldsmith returned with the king's officers who carried out the orders of the foolish king to the very letter, and thus Kovalan had to end his life, while attempting to start life again, in the foreign country1. In the meanwhile Kannaki who resided in the shepherdess' quarters had observed several evil omens prophetic of the great calamity awaiting her.

¹ Ibid., Canto 16: Kolaikkaļa-kkādai, 'The place of execution'.

When Madhari, the shepherdess, went to bathe in the Vaigai river, she learnt from a shepherdess returning from the city the fate of Kovalan who was killed by the command of the king on a charge of theft of the queen's anklet. When this was reported to Kannaki, she, in a rage entered the city with her other anklet in hand in order to vindicate the innocence of her husband before the king1. Reaching the palace Kannaki intimated through the sentinel that she wanted to have an interview with the king in order to vindicate the innocence of her husband who was cruelly put to death without proper enquiry. She demonstrated before the king that her anklet taken from her husband as the stolen one contained gems inside whereas the queen's anklet contained pearls inside. When this fact was demonstrated to the king by breaking open Kannaki's anklet, the king realised the immensity of his blunder in cruelly putting to death an innocent member of a noble family of merchants. He cried that he was foolishly led into this blunder by the wicked goldsmith, fell down unconscious from his royal throne and lost his life immediately². After vindicating the innocence of her husband, Kannaki, with unabated rage and anger, cursed the whole city of Madurai that it should be consumed by fire and tore off her left breast and cast it away over the city with her curse. The curse

^{1.} Ibid, Cantos 18-19: Tunba-mālai, 'The garland of sorrow' and Urśū vari-kādai, 'The talk of the town'.

^{2.} Ibid., Canto 20: Vajakkurai-kādai, 'The demand for justice'.

took effect and the city was burnt to ashes. Having learnt from the Goddess of Madurai that all this was but the inevitable result of her past karma and being consoled by the fact that she would meet her husband as a dēva in a fortnight, Kaṇṇaki left Madurai and went westwards towards Malainādu. Ascending the hill called Tirucceṅguṇram she waited under the shade of a vēṅgai tree for fourteen days when she met her husband Kōvalaṇ in the form of a dēva who took her in a vimāna to svarga, while being adored by the dēvas themselves. Thus ends the second chapter called Madurai-kkāṇḍam.

Next is the third part of the work called Vanji-kkānḍam relating to the Cēra capital Vanji. The members of the hill tribe, who witnessed this great scene of Kannaki being carried by her husband in the divine chariot, celebrated this event in their hamlet, in the form of kuravaikūttu, evidently a form of inspired folk-dance. Then these hunters wanted to narrate this wonder to their king Senguttuvan and they marched towards the capital, each carrying a present to the the king. There they met the Cēra king Senguttuvan who was with his queen and his younger brother in the midst of his four-fold army. When the king heard this narration as to how Kōvalan was killed in Madurai, how the city was consumed by fire by the curse of Kannaki and how the Pānḍyan king lost his

¹ Ibid, Cantos 21-22 Vanjina-mālai, 'The great wrath' and Aļarpaļu-kādai, 'The conflagaration'

² Ibid, Canto 23: Kattaurai-kādai, 'The Explanation'.

life, he was very greatly impressed by the greatness and chastity of Kannaki. As desired by his queen, he wanted to build a temple for this Goddess of chastity.1 With this object in view he set out with his ministers and army towards the Himālayas for the purpose of bringing a rock to be sculptured into the idol of Kannaki to establish it within the temple built in her name. There he met with the opposition of the several Aryan princes who were defeated by this Cera king and were brought as captives back to the Cera capital. There he had the temple built in the name of Kannaki and celebrated the pratishtha-mahotsava according to which the idol of Kannaki, the Goddess of chastity, was placed in the temple for the purpose of worship.2 In the meanwhile, the parents of both Kovalan and Kannaki, learning the fate of their children, renounced their property and became ascetics.4 When the Cera king Senguttuvan built the temple in honour of the Goddess of chastity, several kings of Aryavarta, the Mālava king, Gajabāhu the king of Lankā, who were all there at the Cera capital, decided to build similar temples for Kannaki at their own capitals and wanted to conduct worship in a similar manner, so that they might also obtain the Goddess of chastity's blessing.

^{1.} Ibid, Cantos 24-25 · Kunrakkuravai, 'The dance of the hill-maidens' and Kāţci-kkādai, 'The decision to march north'.

^{2.} Ibid, Canto 26-28: Kālkōţ-kādai, 'Bringing the stone'; Nīrppaḍai-kkādai, 'Bathing the stone' and Naḍu-kaẓ-kādai, 'The consecration'.

^{3.} Ibid, Canto 29: Vālttu-kkādai, 'The Blessing'.

^{4.} Ibid., Canto 30: Varantaru-kādai, 'The Boon'.

Thus arose the Kannaki worship which brought all prosperity and plenty to the worshippers. Thus ends the story of Silappadikāram.

It consists of three great divisions and 30 chapters on the whole. The great work has a very valuable commentary by one Adiyarkkunallar¹. Nothing definite is known about this commentator. Since he is referred to by Naccinarkkiniyar, another commentator of a later period, all that we can say is that he is of a period earlier than Naccinarkkinivar's. That he must have been a very great scholar is evident from his valuable commentary on this work. That he was well versed in the principles of music, dance and drama is very well borne out by the elaborate details given by him in his commentary elucidating the text relating to those topics. This work, the epic of the anklets, contains a lot of historical information interesting to the students of South Indian history. From the time of Kanakasabhai Pillai, the author of the Tamils 1800 years ago up to the present day, this work has been the source of information and guidance to the research students in the Tamil land. The information that Gajabahu, the king of Ceylon, was one of the royal visitors to the Vanji capital is emphasised as an important point for determining the chronology

¹ For additional information on this accomplished commentator, see *The Silappadikāram* (Madars, 1939), V R. Ramachandra Dikshitar's Introduction to his translation, pp. 71-73.

² Sılappadıkāram, Canto 30 Varantaru-kādai text line 153, The Silappadıkāram (V R Ramachandra Dikshitar's Translation), p 343

of the work. This Gajabahu is assigned to the 2nd century A.D. according to the Buddhistic account contained in Mahāvaṃsa¹. Relying upon this, critics are of opinion that the Cera king Senguttuvan and his brother llangovadigal must have lived somewhere about 150 A. D. and hence the work must be assigned to that period². All are not agreed on this point, but those who are opposed to this view would bring down the period several centuries later to the period of another Gajabahu mentioned in the same Mahavamsa³. Mr. Logan in his Malabar District Manual states several important points indicating the Jama influence over the people of the Malabar coast before the introduction of Hinduism. Since we are not directly concerned with the chronology, we may leave that topic to the students of history. In our opinion the view associating this work with the earlier Gajabahu of the 2nd century is not altogether improbable But we want to emphasise one important fact.

¹ The Muhāvaṃsa (Translated by Wijesinha Mudaliar, 1889), part II, p iv, List of kings, V R Ramachandra Dikshitar. The Silappadikāram (Translation, 1939), Introduction, p. 14

² V Swaminatha Iyer (ed) Sılappadıkāram (1892) Introduction, pp 10-11, V R Ramachandra Dikshitar: The Sılappadıkāram (Translation, 1939), Introduction, pp. 8-10; K V Jagannathan. Tamıl-kkāppiyangal (1955), p. 221; M. Rajamanikkanar op. cit, p 276

³ P. T Stinivasa Iyengar op cit, pp. 602-03, K. N. Sivaraja Pillai. The Chronology of the Early Tamils (1932), p. 42; S Vaiyapuri Pillai A History of Tamil Language and Literature (1956), pp. 142-50.

Throughout the work we find doctrines relating to ahimsā expounded and emphasised; and in some places we have reference to the form of temple worship described according to this doctrine. About the time, worship with flowers was prevalent throughout the Tamil land. This is referred to as pūppali, that is, bali with flowers. The term bali refers to such sacrificial offering and pūppali is interpreted by the commentator as worship of God with flowers.

The Cera prince is complimented by his brāhmaņa friend Māḍalan as one who introduced the purer form of pūppali in temple worship. Incidentally we may mention another interesting fact. There are two terms in early Tamil literature, andaṇan and parppāṇ, each with a story behind. It is generally assumed that these two are synonyms. In several places they are probably used as synonyms. When in the same work these two terms are used in slightly different connotations, they must be taken as different. In this epic of the anklet the term andanan is interpreted by the commentator to mean srāvaka, the householder among the Jainas. This is a very interesting piece of information. These two terms again occur in the famous kural where the term pārppān is interpreted to mean one

¹ Sılappadıkāram, Vañjı-kkāndam, Canto 24 Uraippāfļumadai, line 18, Canto 28 Nadukar-kadai, line 231

² Unfortunately, a discrepancy has crept in here In Silappudikaram, Canto 16, Kolaikkaļa-kkādai, line 71 reads-Aravorkk-aļittalum-ombalum and the commentator interprets the word Aravor, and not andanar, as Savaka-nonbigaļ

^{3.} The pārppān and his Vedic lore are mentioned in kural

who makes $v\bar{e}d\bar{a}dhyayana$ whereas the term andanage is defined in a different manner as "as one who is all love and mercy to all the living creatures." Evidently the term andanan was conventionally used by early Tamil authors to describe the followers of the ahimsā doctrine irrespective of birth, while the term updanad was reserved by them to designate the social caste of the brāhmaṇas. This suggestion is worth investigating by scholars interested in the social reconstruction of the early Tamils.

Jīvaka-Cintāmaņi: This work, the greatest of the five mahākāvyas, is undoubtedly the greatest existing Tamil literary monument. In grandeur of conception, in elegence of literary diction and in beauty of description of nature it remains unrivalled in Tamil literature. For the later Tamil authors it has been not only a model to follow but an ideal to aspire to. The story is told of Kamban, the author of the great Tamil

^{1.} Kural 30 which mentions the andanar, reads as follows:

Andanar-enbor-aravor-marr-evv-uyırkkun-

Cendaņmai-pūņḍ-olugal-ān

^{&#}x27;Towards all that breathe, with seemly graciousness adorned they live,

And thus to virtue's sons the name of 'Anthanar' men give' - (G. U. Pope)

² The following are the editions of the Jīvaka-Cintāmaņi.

⁽¹⁾ Jīvaka-Cintāmaņi, part I—Ed. by Rangaswami Pıllaı, Madras, 1883;

⁽¹¹⁾ Jivaka-Cintāmuni, text and commentary—Ed. by V. Swaminatha Iyer, Madras, 1887,

⁽¹¹¹⁾ Jīvaka-Cintāmaņt, 1888.

Rāmāyaṇa that when he introduced his Rāmāyaṇa before the academy of scholars, when some of the scholars remarked that they discerned traces of Cintāmaṇi there, Kamban, characteristic of intellectual courage and honesty, acknowledged his debt with the following words: "Yes, I have sipped a spoonful of the nectar from Cintāmaṇi."

This indicates with what veneration the classic was held by the Tamil scholars. 'This great romantic epic which is at once the Iliad and the Odyssey of the Tamil language' is said to have been composed in the early youth of the poet named Tiruttakkadeva. Nothing is known about the author except his name and that he was born in Mylapore, a suburb of Madras, where the author of the Kural also lived The youthful poet together with his master migrated to Madurai, the great capital of the Pandyan kingdom and a centre of religious activities. With the permission of the teacher the young ascetic-poet got introduced to the members of the Tamil Academy or Sangam at Madurai While in social conversation with some of the fellow members of the academy, he was reproached by them for the incapacity of producing erotic work in Tamil language. To this he replied that few Jainas

I V. Swaminatha lyer (ed) Jivaka-Cintamani (1922), Preface to the 3rd edition According to the learned editor, this incident involving Kamban was found noted down on an old manuscript copy of Jivaka-Cintamani

² For the traditional biographical account of Tirutta-kkadevar, see also M S Ramaswami Ayyangar, op cit, pp 95-96.

cared to write poetry in spigara-rasa. They could very well do it as well as the others, but the fact that they did not indulge in such literary compositions was merely the result of their dislike of such sensual subjects and not due to literary incapacity. But when his friends taunted whether he could produce one, he accepted the challenge. Returning to his asrama he reported the matter to his master. While himself and his master were seated together there ran a jackal in front of them which was pointed out by the master to his disciple who was asked to compose a few verses relating to the jackal. Immediately Tiruttakkadeva, the disciple, composed verses relating to the jackal, hence called Nari-viruttam, illustrating the instability of the body, the ephemeral nature of wealth and such other topics. The master was pleased with the extraordinary poetic ability of his disciple and gave him permission to compose a classic describing the life history of Jivaka. It contained all the various aspects of love and beauty. To mark his consent the master composed an invocatory verse to be used by the disciple as the first verse of his would-be work. Then his disciple Tiruttakkadeva started composing another verse in adoration of Siddha which was accepted by his guru as much more beautiful than his own and instructed him to keep this as his first verse while his own was assigned a second place. Thus the verses containing Siddha-namaskāra starting with the words mūvāmudalā is the first verse in Jıvaka-Cintāmaņı while arhan-namaskāra composed by the guru beginning with the words semponvaraimel

is assigned the second place in the work. Thus as the result of the challenge from his friendly poet of Madurai Sangam, the Cintamani was composed by Tiruttakkadeva to prove that a Jama author also could produce a work containing sringara-rasa. It was admitted on all sides that he had succeeded wonderfully well. When the work was produced before the academy, the tradition says, the author was asked by his friends how he, from his childhood pledged to perfect purity and celibacy, could compose a poem exhibiting such unequalled familiarity with sensual pleasures. In order to clear up this doubt it is said he took up a red-hot ball of iron with these words "Let this burn me, if I am not pure"; and it is said he came out of the ordeal unscathed, and his friends apologised to him for casting doubt on the purity of his conduct1

Unilke the previous work Silappadikāram which is supposed to deal with the historical events which took place during the life-time of the author, this classic deals with the purānic story of Jīvaka. The story of Jīvaka is found in Sanskrit literature in plenty. The continuation work of the Mahāpurāṇam by Jinasēna, composed by his disciple Guṇabhadra², contains the

¹ V Swaminatha lyer, who also nairates this tradition in detail in his edition of the *Jivaka-Cintamani* (1923), says (Introduction, pp 12-14) that the tradition is current among the Jains of the Tamil country

² The Mahāpurāna, also known as Trişaştilak şaṇa-Mahāpurāna, consists of the Ādipuiāna in fortyseven chapters and the



story of Jivaka in a chapter of Mahāpurāņa. The story is again found in Srīpurāṇam which is a prose in maṇipravāļa style, probably a rendering of this Mahāpurāṇam. In Kṣatracūḍāmaṇi, in Gadyacintāmaṇi and Jīvandhara-campū we have the same story worked out. Whether the author of the Tamil work had any of these Sanskrit works as the basis for his composition we cannot assert with any definiteness.

Of all these Sanskrit works, Mahāpurāṇa is certainly the oldest and we have definite information that it belongs to the 8th century A.D., since it was composed by Jinasēna, the spiritual teacher of Amōghavarṣa of the

Uttarapurana The first 42 chapters of the Ādipurāṇa are by Jinasēna while the last 5 chapters as also the whole of the Uttarapurāna are by his disciple Guṇabhadra. Critically edited with Hindi translation and introduction by Pt Pannalal Jain, Sanskrit Grantha Nos 8, 9 and 14, II edn., 1963-68

¹ Both the Kşatracüdamanı and Gadyacıntamanı are by the Digambara Jama author Odeyadeva-Vadibhasimha of the beginning of the 11th century (Winternitz op. cit, p. 535)

² The Digambara Jaina Haricandra is the author of Jivandhara-campū. This work has been edited with Sanskirt commentary, Hindi translation and introduction by Pt. Pannalal Jain, Sanskrit Grantha No 18, 1958

³ M S Ramaswami Ayyangar (op. cit., p 95) and S. Vaiyapuri Pillai (History of Tamil Language and Literature, 1956, p 160) are of the opinion that the work is noticeably influenced by the two works of Odeyadeva-Vadibhasimha referred to above. Pillai, as a matter of fact, draws attention to the fact that there are in the Jivaka-Cintamani, literal translations from the two works of Vadibhasimha.

Rāṣṭrakūṭa dynasty.¹ But Jinasēna himself speaks of several previous works on which he bases his own composition. Anyhow it is generally agreed by scholars that this Tamil classic Jīvaka-Cintāmaṇi is probably later than the 8th century A.D.² We may accept this verdict for the present. The work is divided into 13 ilambakas or chapters, the first beginning with the birth and education of the hero and the last ending with his Nirvāṇa.

1. Nāmagaļ-ilambakam—The story begins with the description of the country Hēmāngada in Bharata-khanḍa Rājamāpuram is the capital of Hēmāngada. The ruler was Saccandan of the Kuru dynasty This Saccandan married his maternal uncle Śrīdattan's daughter named Vijayā This Śrīdattan was ruling over the country of Vidēha. Since the king Saccandan was so much in love with his wife who was extremely beautiful, he spent most of his time in her apartment without attending to his state affairs. He delegated to one of his ministers Kaţtiyangāran the royal privileges to be exercised. This Kaţtiyangāran, when once he

I Amoghavarsha I who reigned from A D 814 to 886 was a mere boy of twelve at the time of his accession and hence his teacher Jinasena should properly be assigned to the 9th century

² T A Gopinatha Rao (Sentamil, Vol V, p 15), T S Kuppuswami Sastri (Tamilaham, Vol I, p 130) and S Vaiyapuri Pillai (History of Tamil Language and Literature, 1956, p 161), among others, assign this work to the early decades of the 10th century

tasted the power and privilege of royalty, desired to usurp the same. The king, ignorant of such a Machievallian policy of his minister, to whom he foolishly entrusted the state affairs, discovered the mistake a little too late. In the meanwhile, the queen had three dreams of rather an unpleasant nature. When she wanted their interpretation from the king, he somehow consoled her not to worry about the dreams. Anticipating troubles from his ungrateful minister, it is said that he had constructed for him a sort of aerial vehicle like the modern aeroplane in the form of a peacock. This peacock machine was secretly constructed within the palace in order to carry two persons in the air, and he instructed his queen on how to manipulate this machine. When the queen was in the state of advanced pregnancy, the ungrateful Kattiyangaran wanted to realise his wish to usurp the kingdom and thus besieged the palace. Since the peacock machine was constructed to carry the weight of two persons alone and since the the queen was in an advanced state of pregnancy, the king thought it advisable to place the machine at her disposal and himself stayed behind. When the machine started up with the queen on it, the king with the drawn sword in his hand came out to meet the usurper. In the melee of the fight the king lost his life and the wicked Kattiyangaran proclaimed himself the king of Rājamāpuram. The queen, who had by that time reached the outskirts of the city, heard this royal proclamation resulting from the death of her royal husband and lost control of the machine which descended and landed on the cremation ground in the outskirts of this city. In the darkness of night she gave birth to a son in those pitiable surroundings. The queen had nobody to help her and the child was crying helpless in the pitch-dark night on the cremation ground. It is said that one of the devatas, taking pity on the queen, assumed the form of one of her attendants in the palace and did service to her. Just then one of the merchants of the city carrying his dead child to be buried came there. There he met the beautiful child Jivaka which was left alone by his mother at the advice of the devata. The merchant, by name Kandukkadan, was very much pleased at the sight of the royal baby which he recognised as such from the ring in the child's finger and took the live baby, the royal child, back to his house and gave it back to his wife, saying that her child was not dead. His wife gladly accepted this gift from her husband and brought him up thinking it her own. This child was Jīvaka, the hero of our story

The queen Vijayā, accompanied by the dēvatā, went to Daṇḍakāraṇya where she assumed the form of a female ascetic and stayed in a tāpasa-āṣrama. Jivaka was brought up in the merchant's house with a number of his cousins. As a youth he was educated by one Accaṇandi-ācārya and also learnt archery and other arts requisite for a prince. The guru who was attracted by the ability of his student one day narrated to him the tragic story of his royal family and took a promise from the youthful prince that he should not rush to revenge and recover his state till the expiry of one complete year. After getting this promise from the youthful disciple, the guru blessed the prince that he

would recover his kingdom after that period and discovered to him his own identity. Afterwards the guru left him and went his own way to perform tapas and attain Nirvāna after worshipping at the feet of the 24th Tirthankara Mahāvīra. Thus ends the first chapter devoted to the education of the prince Jivaka, hence called Nāmagaļ-ilambakam, Nāmagaļ meaning Sarasvatī, the Goddess of tongue or speech.

- 2. Gövindaiyār-ilambakam---While the prince was spending his time with his chetty cousins in the family of Kandukkadan, the hill tribes from the borders carried away the cattle belonging to the king. The shepherds in charge of the cows, being unable to prevent this, ran to the king for help. The king immediately ordered his 100 sons to go and fight the hunters and recover the cattle. But they were all defeated by the hill tribes. The king did not know what to do next. But the chief of the shepherds had it published in the city that he would give away in marriage his daughter Govinda to any one who could successfully recover and and bring back the king's cows. Jivaka heard this proclamation, went in pursuit of these vēdars, and recovered all the cows. Since it would not be proper for a kşatrıya to marry a shephed maid, he, with the consent of Nandakon, the shepherd chief, had Govinda married to his friend and associate Padumuhan. Thus ends the second chapter dealing with the marriage of Govinda.
- 3. Gāndharvadattaiyār-ilambakam—Gāndharvadattā was the daughter of a Vidyādhara king named

Kaluşavega. Learning from an astrologer that his daughter would marry someone in Rajamahapura, he wanted to send his daughter to that city. When he was waiting for an opportunity for this a merchant from that city Rajamahapura, by name Śridatta, was returning home with ship-loads of gold as a result of his seaborne trade. Just like the magic ship-wreck effected by Prospero in Shakespeare's Tempest, this Vidyadhara king did create a magic ship-wreck and managed to bring the merchant Sridatta to his court. There he was intimated why he was brought to the Vidvādhara capital; and he was instructed to take with him the princess Gandharvadatta to be given in marriage to anybody who would defeat her in a viņā contest. Returning to his capital with this Vidyādhara princess, Sridatta proclaimed to the citizens the conditions of vīnā-svavamvara and offered the Vidvādhara princess to one who would succeed in this contest. This contest was arranged with the permission of Kattiyangaran the then ruling king Members belonging to the first three varnas were invited for the contest. Every one got defeated by this princess Gandharvadatta. Thus elapsed six days. On the seventh day the prince Jīvaka, who was taken by the citizens for merely a merchant's son, wanted to try his chance in this music contest. When Jivaka exhibited his musical skill in this contest, the Vidyādhara princess acknowledged him to be victorious and accepted him as her husband. Several princes who were assembled there, out of jealousy, wanted to fight Jivaka but all these were defeated and finally Jivaka took Gandharvadatta home where he celebrated the regular formal marriage. Thus ends the third chapter of the marriage of Gändharvadattā.

4. Gunamalaiyār - ilambakam—On another day during the vasanta festival, the youth of the city went to the adjoining park for play and enjoyment. Among these were two young ladies Suramañjari and Gunamala. Between them there arose a discussion as to the quality of the fragrant powder used for the purpose of bathing. Each claimed that her powder was superior. The matter was referred to the wise youth Jivaka, who gave a verdict in favour of Gunamala Hearing the decision Suramañiari was sorrow-struck and decided to shut herself up in the kanyāmāda with a vow that she would never see a male's face, till this very Jīvaka would come begging for her hand in marriage. While Suramañjari desisted from taking part in the vasanta festival, Gunamala, encouraged by the verdict in her favour, went out to enjoy the festival Jīvaka himself on his way observed a dog beaten to death by some brāhmanas whose food was touched by this poor dog. When he saw the dying dog, he tried to help the poor creature and whispered to him the panca-namaskara with the hope that it would help the creature to have a better future. Accordingly the animal was born in dēvaloka as a dēva called Sudanjaņa. This Sudanjaņadeva immediately appeared before Jivaka to express his sense of gratitude and was willing to serve him. But Jivaka sent him back with the instruction that he would send for him whenever he was in need. While he thus dismissed the deva, he witnessed a terrible scene. The king's elephant escaped from its place and immediately ran towards the udayana in front of the people returning home after the festival. Just then he saw Gunamālā returning home with her attendants. were all frightened at the sight of the mad elephant. Jivaka rushed to their rescue, subdued the king's elephant and made it return home quietly; and thus made the way clear for Gunamala and her friends. Gunamālā saw the beautiful prince, she immediately fell in love with him. This was reported to her parents who arranged for the marriage of Gunamala with Jivaka, which was accordingly celebrated. But the king Kattıyangaran came to know of the chastisement of his royal elephant and sent his sons and brother-inlaw Madanan to bring this chetty boy Jivaka. with a number of soldiers, came and surrounded Though Jivaka wanted to Kandukkadan's house fight against them, he remembered his promise to his guru to keep quiet for one full year and therefore was not in a position to defend himself. Thus in difficulty he remembered his friend Sudanianadeva who immediately brought about a cyclone and rain and thus created confusion among his enemies. In this confusion Jivaka was lifted and carried away by his friend Sudanjanadeva to his own place. The king's officers, in their confusion, were not able to find out Jivaka. They killed some one else; and reported the matter to the king that they could not bring Jivaka alive and therefore they had to kill him in the confusion created by the cyclone. The king was very much pleased with this result and rewarded them all amply.

5. Padumaiyār-ilambakam—Since Jīvaka expressed his desire to return home, Sudanjanadeva, before parting with his friend Jivaka, instructed him in three imporant vidyās which might be useful to him in life. These were: (1) the capacity to assume a beautiful form to be envied even by the God of Love, (2) to cure the effects of deadly poison and (3) to take any form desired. After instructing him in these three useful mantras, the deva directed his friend the way he is to take to reach home. Leaving the land of his friend Sudanjanadeva, he roamed about in several places doing useful service to very many who were in suffering. Finally he reached the city of Candrabha in Pallava-dēśa. There he became a friend of Lokapala. the Pallava prince. This prince's sister Padma, one day, when she went to gather flowers, got bitten by a cobra Jivaka saved her from the effect of poison through the mantra given to him by the deva Sudañjana. As a reward of this good service, he had Padma given to him in marriage by the Pallava king. There he stayed for a few months when he left the city in cognito all of a sudden The princess was in great sorrow because of the disappearance of her hushand. The king sent messengers in search of his son-in-law Jivaka. They were told by Jivaka himself in disguise that there would be no use in searching for him now. and that he would of his own accord return after nine months. With this glad tidings the messengers went back and comforted the princess Padma. Thus ends the Padumaivār-ılambakam.

- 6. Kēmasariyār-ilambakam—Then Jīvaka reached Kēmapurī in Ţakka-nādu. In that Kēmapurī there was a merchant by name Subhaddiran. He had a daughter by name Kēmaśari. Astrologers told this merchant that the youth who at the sight produced the emotion of modesty and love in his daughter would become her husband. The merchant in search of a son-in-law tried several times to bring about such a situation to discover the predicted emotion in his daughter. But all cases proved failures till he came upon Jīvaka. When Jīvaka was invited to his house. he observed to his great joy that his daughter Kēmaśari at the first sight fell in love with Jivaka. He gladly gave his daughter Kēmaśari in marriage to Jīvaka who stayed with his wife for some time. Again he left the place in disguise, without the knowledge of anybody, to the great grief of his new wife Kemasari.
- 7. Kanakamālaiyār-ılambakam—Then Jīvaka reached Hēmapura in Madhya-dēśa Reaching the udyāna in the outskirts of the city, he met Vijaya the son of Daḍamittan, the king of Hēmapura This Vijaya was attempting to get a mango fruit from a tree in the garden with the help of his arrow. But he could not succeed. The stranger Jīvaka brought down the fruit at his first aim; at this Vijaya was very much delighted; and he reported the stranger's arrival to the king, his father. The king was very much pleased to receive Jīvaka and requested him to instruct his sons in archery. When his sons became experts in archery as a result of Jīvaka's instruction, the king out of gratitude and pleasure offered his daughter Kanakamālai in

marriage to Jivaka. He was living with Kanakamālai for some time. In the meanwhile, his cousin Nandattan, not knowing the whereabouts of Jivaka, wanted to go about in search of him. Gandharvadatta, the Vidyādhara princess and Jīvaka's first wife, gave the information about the exact whereabouts of Jivaka at Through the help of her vidyā, she managed to lead Nandattan to Hemapura where he stayed with his friends. Jivaka's other friends went in search of him. On their way they met the old queen Vijayā in a tavappatti. She was informed of all that happened to Jivaka after she left the baby on the cremation ground. And she expressed a great desire to meet her son, and they promised to arrange for such a meeting within a month and left the tavappalli to go to Jīvaka. While Jīvaka was living with his new wife Kanakamālai, they pretended to besiege the town in order to meet Jivaka. Jivaka with his cousin Nandattan gathered large forces and went out to meet the besieging army in battle. Padumuhan who was in charge of the army outside and a friend of Jivaka despatched his first arrow with a message tied to it informing Jivaka of his own identity and the object of the visit. When the arrow fell at the feet of Jīvaka, he picked it up and lead the message to his great joy Recognising that they were all his friends he invited them all into the city and introduced them to the king and father-in-law. When Jivaka learnt from his friends about his mother and her eagerness to meet him, he took leave of the king and his wife Kanakamālai who was asked to stay with her father. He started from the city with all his friends to meet his old mother. Jivaka

together with his associates reached Dandakāranya and met his old mother Vijayā. Vijayā embraced her son with great joy because of the separation of several years. Thus he spent 6 days in the tavappaļļi with his mother Vijayā. She advised her son to meet his maternal uncle Gōvindarāja and to take his advice and help for the purpose of recovering his father's lost kingdom. He sent his mother in the company of a few female ascetics to his uncle's place, while he himself with his friends went towards Rājamahāpuram. They all camped in a garden adjoining the city.

8. Vimalaiyār - ilambakain — Next day Jivaka, leaving his friends there, went into the city assuming a beautiful form which could attract even the God of Love. While he was walking along the streets of the city, there appeared before him Vimala who ran into the street to pick her ball which went astray while she was playing At the sight of that handsome Jivaka. she fell in love with him. She was the daughter of a merchant by name Sagaradatta. Jivaka went and sat in Sagaradatta's shop just to take rest. The large stock of sugar, which he had in store for a long time unsold, was disposed off immediately after the visit of the stranger to the shop. This was taken to be a very good omen by the merchant Sagaradatta, as he had learnt previously from the astrologers "that he whose presence would lead to the complete disposal of his unsold goods would be the proper son-in-law for him." He gladly offered his daughter Vimala in marriage to this beautiful youth. Jīvaka accepted Vimalā in marriage and spent with her just two days and the

third morning he went back to his friends' camp in the garden outside the city.

Suramanjari-ilambakam—His friends observed Jīvaka with the marks of a fresh bridegroom and wanted to know the identity of his fresh matrimonial conquest. When Jivaka told them that he had married Vimala, the merchant's daughter, they all congratulated him that he was the veritable Kama. But one of his friends named Buddhisena was not willing to congratulate him for this paltry achievement; for, in the city there was one Suramañiari who would not brook to see a male's face and if Jivaka succeeded in marying her, then he would be congratulated as the veritable God of Love. Jivaka took up the challenge. Next day he assumed the form of a very old brāhmana mendicant and appeared before the gate of Suramañiari. Suramañjari's maid servants informed their mistress of the appearance of the brāhmana mendicant at her gate begging for some food. Suramanjari, thinking that an old and frail brāhmana mendicant would not lead to the violation of her vow, instructed her servants to bring the old man into the house. There the old mendicant was received as an honoured guest and was offered the finest food that she could arrange for. After dinner the old man took rest on a beautiful bed prepared for him. After a few minutes' nap the old man sang a very beautiful song which was identified by Suramañiari as Jivaka's. This roused in her the old desire to win over Jivaka for herself. She decided to go to the temple of Love the next day to offer worship to the God of Love that she might at last

get Jivaka as her husband. Even before Jivaka took the shape of a brahmana mendicant, he arranged with his friend Buddhisena that he should remain hiding behind the God of Love in the temple and that when Suramañjari begged the God to help her to win Jivaka he must answer her favourably from behind the idol. So next day when Suramañjari with her attendants wanted to go to the temple of Love, she took with her in the carriage this old brahmana mendicant. was left in one of the front rooms of the temple, while Suramanjari went into the temple to offer $p\bar{u}j\bar{a}$. After the $p\bar{u}_l\bar{a}$ was over, she begged the God of Love to promise success in her adventure. Immediately there came a voice from inside the temple "Yes, you have won already Jivaka". In great delight she wanted to return home, and when she went to pick up the old mendicant on her way. Lo! she found there the youthful prince Jivaka instead of the old bi ahmana mendicant. There was no limit to her joy She clasped him in great delight and announced that she would marry him. The matter was intimated to her father Kuberadatta who was very glad to have the marriage celebrated immediately From this city of Rajamapura he took leave of his foster-father and went out with his friends in the disguise of a horse dealer

10 Manmagaļ-ilambakam—Thus Jīvaka with his friends entered Vidaiya-nādu, the land of his uncle Govindarāja. He was received by his uncle with great joy. There he discussed with his uncle as to the method of reconquering his country Hēmāngada from the usurper Kaṭṭiyangāran. Gövindarāja tried to

get Kattiyangaran to his place by a stratagem. This Gövindarāja had a beautiful daughter named Ilakkaņai. He proclaimed the conditions of a svayamvara and set up a machine in the form of a boar which was always rotating; he who successfully hit the rotating boar would be accepted as the fitting husband to the Kattiyangaran and several other princes were assembled at the court of Govindaraia in order to try their luck at the svayamvara. But none was really successful. At last Jivaka appeared on the scene on the back of an elephant. The very sight made Kaţţıyangāran frightened. Jīvaka, whom he considered to be dead and gone, was before him fully alive. He got down from the elephant's back and hit at the boar-mark successfully with his arrow, and won the hand of the princess in the svayamvara. Then his uncle Gövindaraja openly announced who this young man was and sent an ultimatum to Kattiyangaran to return back his kingdom. But Kaţţiyangāran accepted the challenge and preferred to fight. He was defeated and killed in a regular battle together with his hundred sons Jivaka was victorious. At the news of the victory, his old mother was in great joy and felt that her life-purpose was fulfilled.

11. Pūmagaļ-ilambakam—Then Jīvaka, after the victory, marched to his own city Rājamāpuram where he had the coronation ceremony conducted in a grand manner to the delight of his friends and relations. This is spoken of as the marriage with the Bhūmidēvī, the Spirit of the earth, because Jīvaka's previous career was one of a glorious stream of marriages.

- the kingship over Hēmāngada-nādu he had the celebration of the marriage with his uncle's daughter Ilakkanai who was won in the last svayamvara, by his successful hitting at the boar-mark, and rewarded all his friends in a fitting manner. His foster-father was elevated to royal honour. His friends were given several presents. He gave away all the wealth of Kaṭṭiyaṅgāran to his uncle Gōvindarāja. He built a temple in honour of his friend Sudañjaṇadēva Thus during his reign all were satisfied and the country enjoyed plenty and prosperity.
- 13. Mutti-ilambakam—While they were all living in happiness, one day his old mother Vijayā expressed her desire to renounce all these worldly pleasures and wanted to live the life of an ascetic. Thus, with the permission of her son and king, she spent her remaining days in a tapasa-āsrama in prayer and spiritual discipline. The king Jivaka, while wandering in the udyāna, one day noticed a curious phenomenon. He observed a monkey with her lover enjoying their happy life. Immediately he saw the male monkey fetching a fine tack fruit to offer to his mate. Just then the gardener, noticing the jack fruit in the hands of the monkey, beat him with his stick and took away the jack fruit which he did eat. When this was noticed by Jivaka, he realised that this was symbolic of all worldly riches, always taken possession of by the mightier at the cost of the weaker. Even royal honour is no exception to this Everywhere he found the principle 'might is right' triumphant. He saw that

in the life of Kattiyangāran and he immediately knew in his own life the same principle illustrated. Royal honour resting on such unethical foundation was certainly not the thing to be coveted for. Therefore he resolved to abdicate his kingdom in favour of his son and retire from sovereignty to spend the rest of his life in penance. So he went away to the place where Mahāvīra was, got instruction in spiritual matters from Gaṇadhara Sudharma who initiated him into spiritual life and penance. Thus Jīvaka spent the rest of his period in meditation and finally attained Nirvāṇa as the fruit of his meditation and penance. Thus ended the glorious life of the great kṣatriya hero Jīvaka in whose honour this monumental Tamil classic was composed by the author Tīruttakkadēva.

This classic contains 3145 stanzas. An excellent edition containing a fine commentary by Naccinār-kkiniyar is now available, and it is by the famous scholar Mahāmahōpādhyāya Dr. V. Swaminatha Ayyar who has devoted all his life to the publication of rare Tamil classics.

Let us now turn to the five minor $k\bar{a}vyas^1$ which are (1) Yasodhara, (2) $C\bar{u}d\bar{a}man^2$ (3) Udayanan-kathai, (4) Nagakumāra-kāvyam and (5) Nīlakesi. All these five minor epics were composed by Jaina authors.

I These are popularly known as Aiñ-jizukkāppiyangaļ.

² Of the five minor kavyas, Cintamaņi has been edited by Damodaram Pillai (1889). Besides, Vacana-Cintāmaņi (1898) by the same editor is a prose rendering of Cintāmaņi in easy style and diction

(1) Yasodhara-kavya:-Unlike Jaina literary works in Sanskrit, where the authors generally give a bit of autobiographic information, either at the beginning or at the end of the work, in Tamil literature, the author maintains absolute silence on that matter. It is very often difficult to know even the name of the author. not to speak of other details relating to his life. We have to depend upon purely circumstantial evidence as to the life of the author. Sometimes such circumstantial evidence will be extremely meagre, and we have to confess our ignorance about the author and his life. Such is the case with this Yasödhara-kavya. Practically nothing is known about the author except that he was a Jaina ascetic. From the nature of the story all that we can infer is that it is later than the Hindu doctrine of vaga as reformed by Madhvācārya Madhvācārya, the famous Vēdāntic scholar, introduced a healthy reform that Vedic ritual could very well be continued without involving animal sacrifice, if a substitute for the animal be introduced in the same form made of rice-flour. The story of Yasōdharakavya is evidently intended to reject this ritualism even The moral value of conduct with this substitute depends upon the harmony between thought, word and deed, manas, vak and kava. In this particular form of ritualism, though the actual deed is avoided. there is still lacking the harmony and co-operation of the other two. The desire to sacrifice an animal and to pronounce the necessary muntras being there, the substitution of a mock-animal would not relieve an agent of any of his responsibility for animal sacrifice.

This seems to be the main theme of the story in which incidentally many of the doctrines relating to the Jaina religion are introduced. Hence the work must be placed after the period of the reformation in ritualism associated with the founder of Mādhva philosophy.

The scene of the story is laid in Rajapura in Oudaya-deśa, in Bharata-khanda. Māridatta is the name of the king. There is a Kali temple in the city dedicated to Canda-Māridēvi. It was the time of a great festival for this Canda-Maridevi. For the purpose of sacrificing, there were gathered in the temple precincts, pairs of birds and animals, male and female, such as fowls, peacocks, birds, goats, buffaloes and so on. These were brought by the people of the town as their offerings to the devi. The king Maridatta, to be consistent with the status and position of raja, wanted to offer as sacrifice not merely the ordinary beasts or birds but a pair of human beings as well. So he instructed his officer to fetch a pair of human beings, a male and a female, to be offered as a sacrifice to the goddess Kālī. The officer accordingly went about in search of human victims. Just about that time a Jaina Sangha consisting of 500 ascetics presided over by Sudattacarya came and settled at the park in the outskirts of the city. In this Sangha there were two youths Abhayaruci and Abhayamati, brother and sister. These two young apprentices, since they were not accustomed to the rigorous discipline characteristic of the grown up monks in the Sangha, were very much fatigued on account of the long travel and were permitted by the head of the Sangha to enter the town for

obtaining alms for themselves. The officer of the king. who went about in search of human victims, was very glad to capture these two beautiful youths and marched them to the Kali temple and informed the king of his capture The king Maridatta gladly went to the Kāli temple with the object of offering his sacrifice with these beautiful youths. The people assembled there asked these two beautiful youths to prev to Kali that, as a result of this great sacrifice, blessings must be showered on the king and the land. ascetics smiled at this request; and they themselves blessed the king that he might be weaned from this cruel form of worship, so that he might have the pleasure of accepting the noble ahimsā-dharma which would lead him to a safe spiritual haven. When they pronounced this with a smile on their beautiful faces. the king was nonplussed for he could not understand how two such young and beautiful persons, in the face of death, could have such peace of mind as to laugh at the whole game as if it were none of their own concern. Therefore the king wanted to know the reason why they laughed at such a grave moment and expressed a desire to know who they were, and why they had come to the city, and so on The sword drawn for sacrifice was sheathed again, and the king was in a mood to know the reason for the queer behaviour of the two youths. As desired by the king the brother Abhayaruci began to answer: "The reason why we laughed, without being in fright, was the result of the knowledge that everything that happens to an individual is but the fruit of his previous karma. Fear to

escape the fruits of one's own karma is but the result of ignorance. Hence we were not afraid of our own fate which is the consequence of our own previous action. We have to laugh simply because the whole scene here is steeped in so much ignorance. As a result of our own conduct that we sacrificed a fowl made up of rice-flour, we had to suffer and endure for seven births, successively taking the form of lower animals and suffering all sorts of pain. Only in this period, we have the good fortune to regain our human form. We know very well that all this suffering was the result of our silly desire to offer sacrifice to Kali, though the actual victim of sacrifices was merely an imitation fowl made of flour. After realising this, when your people asked us to pray to Canda-Maridevi for the prosperity and welfare of yourself and your kingdom as a result of the sacrifice of several animals and birds together with human beings, we could not but laugh at the simplicity and ignorance of the people here."

When the king heard this, he gave up the idea of sacrifice and wanted to know more about the life of the two victims who exhibited such magnificent peace of mind even in the very jaws of death. Thus ends the first section.

In the second section is narrated the story of these two youths and how they brought upon themselves all the troubles on account of sacrificing a mock-fowl. The scene is laid in Ujjain, the capital of Avanti of Mālava-dēśa. The ruler of the country was one Aśōka.

His queen was Candramatī. Yasodhara was their son. It is this prince Yaśodhara that is the hero of this story. This Yasodhara married a beautiful princess by name of Amrtamati. This beautiful queen gave birth to a son Yasomatı. The old king Asoka abdicated the kingdom in favour of his son Yasodhara and instructed him to observe the principles of righteous rule according to rajaniti He instructed his son on how he should safeguard dharma, artha and kama, the three purusarthas He should maintain religion and religious worship at a high level of purity based upon the ahimsā doctrine. Having given all this advice and after establishing his son as the king of the land, the old king adopted the life of an ascetic and spent his time in an asrama While the king Yasodhara and his queen Amrtamati were living happily, one early morning the queen heard the sweet music of the elephant-keeper singing in malapañcama-rāga. The queen was attracted by the music and sent her attendant Gunavati to procure the person who was responsible for such sweet music. This information created a surprise in that attendant who advised the queen to remember her status and prestige; but as she insisted on having the person with whom she fell in love, the attendant had to bring the keeper of the elephant who was a detestable leper. Even in spite of this deformity, the foolish queen entered into an intimacy with that wretch. The king was at first ignorant of the whole affair. But soon the king came to know of this disgusting behaviour of the queen. Noticing the peculiar estrangement in her behaviour, he himself

grew weary of worldly riches and was trying to discard the kingly pleasures and renounce the world. Just then he had an ominous dream in which the moon from the high skies was observed to fall down towards the earth losing all her light and glory. The king feared that this was symbolic of some calamity and wanted to know how to avoid the evil foretold in this dream. The queen-mother was consulted by the king who was advised to offer some animal sacrifice to Kali for the purpose of warding off such a calamity. The king, because he was a faithful follower of ahimsādharma, could not reconcile himself to animal sacrifice. Hence the king and his queen-mother both arrived at a compromise according to which the king had to offer a fowl made of rice-flour as a sacrifice to Kali. So the mock-fowl was offered as a sacrifice to Kali. Thus troubles began In the meanwhile, the queen, knowing that her conduct was discovered by the king and the queen-mother, hated them both and finally succeeded in killing them by poisoning them. Thus, after disposing off the king and his mother, this wicked queen Amrtamati made her own son Yasomati the king of Avanti-deśa. After the death of Yaśodhara and his queen-mother Candramati as a result of the sin of sacrificing to Kali, they were born as lower animals for seven births in succession.

The third section is the description of the various janmas taken by the king Yaśōdhara and his mother as lower animals and birds, and the grief and suffering that they had to undergo.

In the fourth section the narrative of the new king Yosomati is given and also the story of Abhayaruci and Abhayamati who were in their previous births Yośodhara and his queen-mother Candramati. Finally, when Maridatta learnt the whole story, he desired to know more about this noble truth ahimsa; and he was taken to the guru who was camping in the udyana in the outskirts of the city where the king had the initiation into the noble faith of ahimsā. Thereafter, he not only gave up the offering of animal sacrifice to Kālī himself, but also proclaimed to his people that such a sacrifice should not be offered any longer. Thus he elevated the religion and temple worship to a higher and nobler level all over his land This is the story of the Yasodhara-kavya in Tamil about whose author we know nothing, The story is found even in Sanskrit literature There is a Sanskrit Yasodhara-kāvya dealing with the same story. But it is not clearly known which is earlier, the Tamil or the Sanskrit one.

The Tamil Yasōdhara-kāvya was first published by the late T. Venkatarama Iyengar, an esteemed friend of the present writer. Unfortunately the edition is out of print and hence not available to readers at present.

(2) Cūļāmaņi:— It is composed by the Jaina author and poet Tolāmolittēvar. He was evidently under the patronage of the chief Vijaya of Kārveṭnagar. The editor of this work, Damodaram Pillai, is of opinion that it must be earlier than some of the major kāvyas His conclusion is based upon the fact that several stanzas from Cūļāmaņi are quoted by Amṛtasā-

gara, the author of Yapparungalakarikai. Cūjāmani is based upon a Puranic story contained in the Mahapurrāna by Jinasēna. The hero of the story is one Tivittan, one of the nine Vasudevas according to the Jaina tradition, of whom Krsna of Bharata fame is one: Cūlāmaņi resembles Cintāmaņi in poetic excellence. It contains 12 sargas and 2131 stanzas on the whole. The story runs as follows: Prajapati, King of Suramaidesa, whose capital was Potanapura, had two principal queens, Mrgavati and Jayavati. Tivittan, the hero, was the son of the mahādēvī Mrgāvatī, Vijaya the son of Jayavatī and this was the elder of the two. Vijaya and Tivittan were exactly corresponding to Balarama and Kṛṣṇa, the former fair, and the latter dark in complexion. A soothsayer told the king Prajapati that his son Tivittan would marry a Vidyadhara princess very shortly. The Vidyādhara king of Rādānūpura had a daughter by name Svayamprabhā who was very beautiful. This Vidyādhara king also had a prediction made by a soothsayer that his daughter Svayamprabha would marry a kşatrıya prince of Potanapura. The Vidyādhara monarch sent one of his ministers with a letter to the king Prajapati offering his daughter in marriage to Tivittan. King Prajapati of Potanapura, though surprised at first at this offer from the Vidyadhara king, consented to the marriage. In the meanwhile, the matter was known to the Vidvādhara emperor Aśvagrīva to whom both Prajāpati and the father of Svayamprabhā were subordinates. Aśvagrīva, the Vidyādhara emperor, demanded from the father of Tivittan the usual tribute. King Prajapati, fearing the

wrath of the Vidyādhara emperor, ordered the tribute to be paid immediately. But his son Tivittan would not permit this. He denied allegiance to the Vidyadhara emperor and sent the messenger back saying "No tribute will be paid hereafter." One of the Vidyādhara ministers attached to Aśvagrīva's court wanted to kill this foolhardy kşatriya youth Tivittan by a stratagem. He assumed the form of a lion and destroyed the cattle of the land of Suramai belonging to the king Prajapati. The sons of Prajapati, Tivittan and Vijaya, set out to slay the lion. The lion, which was the assumed form of the Vidyādhara minister, cleverly decoyed Tivittan into a cave. Tivittan pursued the lion into the cave. There was a real lion in that cave which devoured the maya lion and wanted to have Tivittan also. Tivittan was not to be frightened by The Vidyādhara lion having disappeared into the mouth of the real lion of the cave, he caught hold of the head of the real lion and killed it easily. This killing of the lion was a part of the prediction given by the soothsayer to the king of Radanupura, the father of Svayamprabha, who was to be given in marriage to Tivițțan. Therefore the king of Radanūpura set out with his daughter Svayamprabha for Pōtanapura where the Vidhyādhara princess was given in marriage to the gallant Tivittan. The Vidhyadhara emperor Aśvagrīva, boiling with wrath because of the treatment meted out to his messenger by his subject's son Tivițțan, had now his anger aggravated because of the latter's marriage with a Vidyādhara princess. He could not brook the idea of an ordinary ksatriya prince, and that too the son of his own subordinate, marrying a Vidyadhara princess of his own noble race. He marched with his mighty force against Tivittan. A war ensued. Tivittan, being a Vasudeva, was in possession of divine magic powers, and with his cakra made a clean sweep of the Vidvādhara army and finally slew the Vidvādhara emperor Aśvagrīva himself. The result of this victory made Tivittan's father-in-law suzerain lord for the whole of the Vidyādhara land. Tivittan himself inherited his father's domain and lived happily with his Vidyādhara bride Svayamprabhā together with his several thousand other spouses He had a son by his Vidyādhara bride Svayamprabhā named Amrtasēna. He gave his sister in marriage to his brother-in-law Arkakīrti and by his sister a daughter was born called Sudarai and also a son. Tivittan had another daughter by name Jötimālai for whose marriage he proclaimed a svavamvara. This daughter chose for her husband her maternal uncle, Arkakīrti, whereas the Vidyādhara princess chose his own son Amrtasena. Thus by these two marriages the alliance between the Potanapura dynasty and the Vidyadhara dynasty was further strengthened. Thus, when the two countries were living in happiness and the people were enjoying prosperity, the old king Prajapati renounced the kingdom in favour of his son and passed the remainder of his life in yoga and meditation. As a result of this Jina-diksā and spiritual penance the king Prajāpati escaped from samsara and attained mukti. Thus ends

the story of $C\bar{u}$ taman, a very important work included in the category of the five minor $k\bar{a}vyas$.

(3) Nilakēši.1—This is also one of the five minor kāvyas which is evidently by a Jaina philosopher-poet about whom we know nothing. It is a controversial work dealing with the systems of Indian philosophy and it has an excellent commentary called Samayadivākara by one Vāmana-muni. This Vāmana-muni is the same as the author of another classic called Mērumandirapurāņam. Nilakēsi appears to be a refutation of the Buddhistic work Kundalakesi which is unfortunately lost now. This Kundalakēsi was included under the category of the five mahākāvvas. Though the Tamil classic of this name is lost to the world, the story of Kundalakesi as found in the Buddhistic work is given below for the simple reason that the related story of Nīlakēsi is modelled after Kundalakēsi and is intended to be a refutation of Kundalakēši's philosophy. The story of Kundalakēši is as follows, taken from The Buddhist Legends (H. O. S.).

A rich merchant of Rājagṛha, it seems, had an only daughter who was about sixteen years of age, and she was exceedingly beautiful and fair to see When women reach this age, they burn and long for men. Her mother and father lodged her on the topmost

¹ The learned author has written and published a detailed introductory treatise on Neelakēsi (Kumbakonam, 1936) making the contents of this work of great literary merit available to the world of scholars.

floor of a seven-storied palace in an apartment of royal splendour, and gave her only a single slavewoman to wait upon her.

Now one day a young man of station was caught in the act of robbery. They bound his hands behind his back and led him to the place of execution, scourging him with lashes at every cross-road. The merchant's daughter heard the shouts of the crowd, said to herself, "What is that?", looked down from the top of of the palace, and saw him.

Straightaway she fell in love with him So great, in fact, was her longing for him that she took to her bed and refused to eat. Her mother asked her, 'What does this mean, my dear daughter?'. 'If I can have that young man who was caught in the act of committing robbery and who was led through the streets, life will be worth living; otherwise I shall die here and now'. 'Do not act in this manner, my dear daughter; you shall have someone else for your husband, someone who is our equal in birth and family and wealth'. 'I will have no one else; if I cannot have this man, I shall die.'

The mother, unable to pacify her daughter, told the father but the father likewise was unable to pacify his daughter. 'What is to be done?', thought he. He sent a thousand pieces of money to the king's officer who had captured the robber and who was accompanying him to the place of excecution, saying, 'Take the money and send the robber to me.' 'Very well' said the king's officer. He took the money and released the

robber, had another man put to death, and sent word to the king 'The robber has an executed, Your Majesty.'

The merchant gave his daughter in marriage to this robber. She resolved to win the favour of her hisband, and from that time on, adorned with all her ornaments, she prepared her husband's meal with her own hand. After a few days the robber thought to himself, 'When can I kill this woman, take her jewels and sell them, and so be able to take my meals in a certain tavern? This is the way.'

He took to his bed and refused to eat. She came to him and asked, 'Are you in pain?' 'Not at all, wife' 'Then perhaps my morher and father are angry with you?' 'They are not angry with me, wife' 'What is the matter, then?' 'Wife, that day when I was bound and led through the streets, I saved my life by vowing an offering to the deity that lives on Robber's cliff; likewise it was through His supernatural power that I gained you for my wife. I was wondering how I could fulfil my vow of an offering to the deity.' 'Husband, do not worry, I will see to the offering, tell me what is needed'. 'Rich rice-porridge flavoured with honey; and the five kinds of flowers including the $t\bar{a}ja$ flower.' Very well, husband, I will make ready the offering'.

Having prepared the whole offering, she said to her husband 'Come, husband, let us go.' 'Very well, wife; let your kinsmen remain behind; put on your costly garments and adorn yourself with your precious jewels, and we will go gaily, laughing and disporting ourselves.' She did as she was told. When they reached the foot of the mountain, the robber said to her, 'Wife, from this point on let us two go alone; we will send back the rest of the company in a conveyance; you take the vessel containing the offering and carry it yourself.' She did as she was told.

The robber took her in his arms and climbed the mountain to the top of the Robber's cliff. (One side of this mountain men can climb; but the other side is a precipitous cliff, from the top of which robbers are flung, being dashed to pieces before they reached the bottom; therefore it is called 'Robber's cliff'). Standing on the top of the mountain, she said, 'Husband, present the offerings'. Her husband made no reply. Again she spoke, 'Husband, why do you remain silent'. Then he said to her. 'I have no use for the offering, I deceived you in bringing you here with an offering'. 'Then why did you bring me here, husband?' 'To kill you, seize your jewels, and escape.' Terrified with the fear of death, she said to him, 'Husband, both my jewels and my person belong to you; why do you speak thus?". Over and over again she pleaded with him, 'Do not do this,' but his reply only was 'I will kill you.' 'After all, what will you gain by killing me? Take these jewels and spare my life, henceforth regard me as your mother, or else let me be your slave-woman and work for you.' So saying, she recited the following stanza:

Take all, and welcome; call me your slave-woman.

The robber, hearing this, said to her, 'Despite what you say, were I to spare your life, you would go and tell your mother and father all. I will kill you. That is all. Lament not with vehement lamentation.' So saying he recited the following stanza:

Lament not over much; the up your possession quickly. You have not long to live; I shall take all your possessions.

She thought to herself, 'Oh, what a wicked deed is this? However, wisdom was not made to be cooked and eaten, but rather to make men look before they leap. I shall find a way of dealing with him' And she said to him, 'Husband, when they caught you in the act of committing robbery and led you through the streets, I told my mother and father, and they spent a thousand pieces of money in ransoming you and they gave you a place in their house, and from that time on I have been your benefactress; to-day do me the favour of letting me pay obeisance to you' 'Very well, wife,' said he, granted her the favour of paying obeisance to him, and then took his stand near the edge of the cliff.

She walked around him three times, keeping him on her right hand, and paid obeisance to him in the four places. Then she said to him, 'Husband, this is the last time I shall see you. Henceforth you will see me no more, neither shall I see you anymore.' And she embraced him both before and behind. Then, remaining behind him, as he stood off his guard near the edge of the cliff, she put one hand to his shoulder

and the other to his back, and flung him over the cliff. Thus was the robber hurled into the abyss of the mountain, and dashed to pieces when he reached the bottom. The deity that dwelt on the top of the Robber's cliff observed the actions of the two and, applauding the woman, uttered the following stanza:

Wisdom is not always confined to men;
A woman too is wise and shows it now and then.

Having thrown the robber over the cliff, the woman thought to herself, "If I go home, they will ask me, 'Where is your husband?" and if, in answer to this question, I say 'I have killed him' they will pierce me with the knives of their tongue, saying 'We ransomed the scoundrel with a thousand pieces of money and now you have killed him.' If, on the other hand, I say, 'He sought to kill me for my jewels,' they will not believe me. I am done with home." She caste off her jewels, went into the forest, and after wandering about for a time came to a certain hermitage of nuns. She reverently bowed and said, 'Sister, receive me into your Order as a nun'. So they received her as a nun.

After she had become a nun, she asked 'Sister, what is the goal of your religious life?' 'Sister, the development of spiritual ecstasy through the employment of the kasinas, or else the memorising of a thousand articles of faith, this is the highest aim of our religious life' 'Spiritual ecstasy I shall not be able to develop, Reverend Sister; But I will master the thousand articles of faith' When she mastered the thousand articles of faith, they said to her, 'You have

acquired proficiency; now go through the length and breadth of the land of the Rose-Apple and look for some one able to match question and answer with you.'

So, placing a branch of Rose-Apple in her hands they dismissed her with these words, 'Go forth, Sister; if any one who is a layman is able to match question and answer with you, become his slave, if any monk, enter his Order as a nun, adopting the name 'Nun of the Rose Apple'. She left the hermitage and went about from place to place asking questions to everyone she saw. No one was able to match question and answer with her; in fact, such a reputation did she acquire that whenever men heard the announcement, 'Here comes the Nun of the Rose-Apple,' they would run away

Before entering a town or village for alms, she would scrape a pile of sand together before the village gate and there plant her rose apple branch. Then she would issue her challenge, 'Let him that is able to match question and answer with me trample this rose apple under his feet.' So saying, she would enter the village. No one dared to pass beyond that spot. When one branch withered, she would procure a fresh one.

Travelling about in this way, she arrived at Sāvatthī, planted the branch before the city gate, issued her challenge in the usual way, and went in to seek alms. A number of young boys gathered about the branch and waited to see what would happen Just then the elder Sārīputta, who had made his round and eaten

his breakfast and was on his way out of the city, saw those boys standing about the branch and asked them 'What does this mean?'. The boys explained matters to the Elder. Said the Elder, 'Go ahead, boys, trample that branch under your feet.' 'We are afraid to, Reverend Sir.' 'I will answer the question; you go ahead and trample the branch under your feet.' The Elder's words supplied the boys with the necessary courage. Forthwith they trampled the branch under their feet shouting and kicking up dust.

When the nun returned, she rebuked them and said, 'I don't intend to bandy question and answer with you, how did you come to trample the branch under your feet?' 'Our noble Elder told us to'. 'Reverend Sir, did you tell them to trample my branch under their feet?' 'Yes, Sister.' 'Well then, match question and answer with me'. 'Very well, I will do so.'

As the shades of evening drew on, she went to the Elder's residence to put her questions. The entire city was stirred up. The people said to each other, 'Let us go and hear the talk of the two learned persons.' Accompanying the nun from the city to the Elder's residence, they bowed to the Elder and seated themselves respectfully on one side.

The nun said to the Elder, 'Reverend Sir, I wish to ask you a question.' 'Ask it, Sister.' So she asked him the thousand articles of faith. Every question the nun asked, the Elder answered correctly. Then he said to her, 'You have asked only these few questions; are there any others?' 'These are all,

Reverend Sir.' 'You have asked many questions; I will ask you just one; will you answer me?.' 'Ask your question'. 'What is one?' She said to herself, 'This is the question I should be able to answer'; but not knowing the answer, she inquired of the Elder, 'What is it, Reverend Sir?.' 'This is the Buddha's question, Sister.' 'Tell me also the answer, Reverend Sir.' 'If you will enter our Order, I will tell you the answer.' 'Very well, admit me to the Order.' The Elder sent word to the nuns and had her admitted. After being admitted to the Order, she made her full profession, took the name Kundalakēśī, and after a few days became an Arhat endowed with supernatural faculties.

In the Hall of Truth the monks began a discussion of the incident. 'Kuṇḍalakēśī heard little of the Law, and yet she succeeded in being admitted to the Order; moreover, she came here after fighting a fierce battle with a robber and defeating him'. The teacher came in and asked them, 'Monks, what is it that you are sitting here discussing now?.' They told him "Monks, we assure not the Law. I have taught as being 'little' or 'much.' There is no superior merit in a hundred sentences that are meaningless; but one sentence of the Law is better. He that defeats all other robbers wins no victory at all, but he who defeats the robbers, his own depravities, his is victory indeed." There he joined the connection and preaching the Law, pronounced the following stanza:

Though one should recite a hundred stanzas Composed of meaningless sentences

Yet one Sentence of the Law were better Which if a man hear he is at peace.

Though one should conquer a thousand times a thousand men in battle,

Yet would he be the mightiest conqueror Who should conquer one himself.

Nilakēsī, which is one of the five minor kāvyas in Tamıl, is evidently an answer to Kuṇḍalakēsī, the Buddhistic work. As is suggested by the author himself, the story is not taken from among the Purāṇic stories. The story is probably an imaginative creation by the author merely to serve as a frame-work for introducing philosophical discussions. The work has not seen the light of day up to the present. The present writer is trying to bring out an edition of this rare classic which is in press. In the course of a few months it may be made available to the public.

The story begins with a scene laid in $P\bar{a}\bar{n}c\bar{a}la$ -dēśa which is otherwise known as $P\bar{a}rtti$ -nāḍu The king of the land is referred to be Samudrasāra and his capital is Puṇḍravardhana. On the outskirts of this city there is a cremation ground which goes by the name of $P\bar{a}l\bar{a}laiyam$. There is also a famous $K\bar{a}l\bar{i}$ temple there. Just about the $K\bar{a}l\bar{i}$ temple there is a Jaina $y\bar{o}gin$ called Municandra. One day people from the town brought as offering to the $K\bar{a}l\bar{i}$ a number of beasts and birds. The Jaina $\bar{a}c\bar{a}rya$ asked them the reason for this extraordinary sacrifice. In answer they gave that these animals and

^{1.} Since edited by him.

birds they had to offer to Kali for the queen had given birth to a child as the result of Kali's blessing. The Jaina ācārya informed those persons that the Goddess would be quite satisfied if baked clay models of animals and fowls were set up as their offerings before the Kāli temple. Such a procedure would be quite enough to satisfy the Goddess and to fulfil their vows. Further, it would relieve a number of animals from death and also save themselves from the sin of himsā. This teaching evidently appealed to the people at large who drove away all their animals back to their homes. This behaviour of the people very much upset the Goddess Kāli who realised that she was not capable of frightening away the Jama ascetic because of his superior spiritual culture. But now she wanted to drive him away from the precincts of the Kālī temple so that he might not interfere with the regular sacrifice. Hence she went about in search of her chief, the great Nīlakēśī, of the southern country, before whom the complaint was placed as to the Jama ascetic's interference with the regular sacrifice and worship at the Kali temple. The great Nīlakēśī marched towards the north in order to get rid of this Jaina yōgin and to restore regular worship and sacrifice at the Kali temple at the city of Pundravardhana Nilakësi created there several frightening situations hoping to drive away Municandrācārya. All her attempts to frighten the yogun proved futile. He was not the person to be easily got rid of. He was firmly rooted in his practice of yoga and no amount of dreadful circumstances created in the environment would affect his calm and peaceful meditation. He went on as if nothing had taken place around him.

Then Nilakesi thought that the only way by which she. could defeat this yogin by some hook or crook, was to deviate him from his spiritual purpose and draw him towards sensual pleasures. She thought that this would be the surest way to spoil his penance. With this object in view, she put on the beautiful form of the princess of the land and began to play the coquette before the vogin. She behaved even as a public courtezan trying to attract the ācārya. Even this attempt proved no more successful. In the meanwhile, Municandrācārva himself told her the whole truth. He made her understand that she was not really the princess from the royal household, that she was merely the chief of the devatas attempting to frighten him away from the place in order to restore their usual animal sacrifice. This plain speaking made her realise the greatness and the wisdom of the vogin, and she confessed before him that all he stated was true and begged him to pardon her. When she was pardoned by the *yōgin*, she, out of gratitude, expressed her willingness to adopt, in future, a more healthy and reasonable course of life and wanted him to help her in this by teaching her the fundamental principles of ahimsā. When she heard the noble religious principles of ahimsā she felt extremely grateful to the guru and begged him to say what would be the best thanks-offering from the disciple. When he told her the best form of thanksoffering that he would have was for her to go about the land preaching this doctrine of ahimsā, she accordingly accepted the task and, therefore, taking the human form she devoted her time in propaganda work in favour of

the ahimsā doctrine. This is the subject matter of the opening chapter Dharmav-urai-carukkam.

The 2nd chapter, Kuṇḍalakēśi-vāda-carukkam, is devoted to the discussion that Nīlakēśī had with Kuṇḍalakēśī who was the representative of Buddhism. Naturally in this discussion Kuṇḍalakēśī is represented to be defeated by Nīlakēśī. Kuṇḍalakēśī is made to acknowledge her defeat and to accept the doctrine of ahiṃsā. Nīlakēśī learns from Kuṇḍalakēśī that her teacher is one Arhacandra, a Buddhistic scholar.

The 3rd chapter is devoted to the discussion with Arhacandra, who is also made to acknowledge his defeat in the discussion. Arhacandra, after accepting Nīlakēśī's religion of ahimsā directed her to Mokkala, one of the chief disciples of Gautama Sākyamuni and one of the early founders of the Buddhistic Sangha

The 4th chapter, Mokkala-vāda-carukkam, is devoted to Nīlakēśi's challenge to this Buddhistic teacher Mokkala who, in his turn, is defeated and made to acknowledge the rival faith. This is one of the biggest chapters in the book because of the important Buddhistic doctrines which are discussed in detail in this chapter. Hence Mokkala himself sends Nīlakēśī to the very founder of Buddhism. Hence the fifth chapter Buddha-vāda-carukkam represents the meeting of Nīlakēśī and the Buddha for the purpose of discussion. The Buddha himself is made to realise that his doctrine of ahimsā is not observed in spirit by his followers. He is made to realise that mere lip-service to ahimsā

is not a satisfactory doctrine of religion, where finally he himself is made to acknowledge the unsatisfactory nature of his religion which must be recast to keep the spirit of *ahimsā*. Thus, next to the introductory chapter, 4 chapters are devoted to this discussion of Buddhism. Then the other *darsanas* are introduced in succession.

The 6th chapter is devoted to the Ajivaka religion. The chapter is called Ajīvaka-vāda-carukkam. founder of the Ajivaka religion was a contemporary of Mahavira and Gautama Buddha. In outward appearance the Ajivakas resembled Jaina Nirgranthas. But, in the matter of religion, they differed very much from both the Jainas and the Buddhists. Though the contemporary Buddhistic writers made no mistake about the identity of the Ajīvakas, later Indian writers very often made the mistake of confounding them with the Digambara sect of Jainas. In this chapter on the Ājīvakas the author of Nīlakēšī distinctly warns the reader against any such confusion and emphasises the fundamental doctrinal differences between the two sects.

The 7th chapter is devoted to an examination of the Sānkhya schools. Hence it is called Sānkhya-vāda-carukkam.

The 8th chapter is devoted to the examination of Vaisēṣika-darsana. The author carefully brings out the points of resemblance between the Jaina and non-Jaina darsanas in philosophical matters, always keep-

ing before his mind's eye his own fundamental concept of ahimsā.

The 9th chapter is devoted to the examination of Vēdic ritualism, hence called Vēda-vāda-carukkam. In this section there is not only a criticism of Vēdic ritualism involving animal sacrifice but also a critical examination of the varṇāsrama-dharma based upon Vēdic ritualism. The author tries to argue that the social differences, based upon birth, have no significance in the spiritual field, and hence are altogether without any importance to religion. From the point of view of religion the only difference to be noted among the human beings is the difference based upon character, culture and spiritual discipline.

The last or the 10th chapter is devoted to the examination of the materialistic school usually called Bhūta-vāda. Hence the chapter is called Bhūta-vādacarukkam. Here the discussion is mainly devoted to establishing the reality of a spiritual principle beyond the materialistic conglomeration of the world. The author tries to emphasise that consciousness or cētanā is an independent spiritual principle and not a mere secondary by-product of the combination of material things, an independent spiritual principle which is recognised as an entity surviving the disintegration of the material element with which it is associated in the life of the individual. Thus the main theme of this chapter is the survival of the human personality after death. This Nîlakēsi demonstrates to the leader of materialism who readily accepts his mistake and acknowledges

that there are more things not dreamt of in his philosophy.

Thus ends the work after vindicating first the reality of the spiritual principle, the human personality and secondly the supremacy of the religious doctrine based upon ahimsā. Thus Nīlakēšī completes her life-task which she intended to be a thanks-offering to her guru from whom she learnt the fundamental principles of religion and philosophy which she adopted as her own, though she, as a Goddess, had been revelling in animal sacrifice. Thus we see that Nīlakēšī is mainly a controversial work intended to vindicate the reality of the soul against materialism, the nobility of ahimsā against Vēdic ritualism, and the dietetic purity of vegetarianism against the Buddhists who preached ahimsā and practised himsā.

We know absolutely nothing about the author of the text though we know that the commentary is written by $V\bar{a}$ mana-muni. Since there are references to the Kural and the $N\bar{a}ladiy\bar{a}r$ in this work it must be later than the age of the Kural. Since it is intended as an answer to $Kundalak\bar{e}si$ it must certainly be later than $Kundalak\bar{e}si$.

Since we know nothing about Kundalakesi itself we cannot build much on this information. All that

^{1.} The learned author elsewhere (Neelakesi, Kumbakonam, 1936, pp. 5-11) assigns the anonymous author to a period between the fourth and fifth century A D, but says "We cannot afford to dogmatically assert anything about the age of the author of Neelakesi"

we can say is that it is one of the very early classics in Tamil literature. It contains 894 stanzas on the whole. This text is certainly very useful to students of Tamil literature in exhibiting several rare grammatical and idiomatic usages, and archaic terms in which the work abounds.

Two other minor $k\bar{a}vyas$ which are still lying in obscurity in palm-leaf manuscripts are (4) *Udayana-kāvya* and (5) $N\bar{a}gakum\bar{a}ra-k\bar{a}vya$. The former, as its name suggests, relates to the life of Udayana, the Vatsa prince of Kauśāmbī Since they are not published, we cannot say much about them.

There is another Tamil classic dealing with the storyof Udayana Probably this is not one of the minor kavyas Judging by the volume of matter and the meter employed in this work, it is probably an independent work not included in any of the traditional lists. It is made available to the Tamil reader by that indefatigable worker in the cause of Tamil, Dr Swaminatha Ayyar, whom we have already referred to This work Perunkathai probably was named after the Brhat-katha of Gunādhya written in what is known as Pišācabhāṣā, a Prākrit dialect. The author is known as Konguvēl, a prince of the Kongu-dēśa. He lived in Vijayamānagar, a place in Coimbatore District, where there were a number of Jainas in former days. This work is quoted by several famous commentators

¹ Perunkathai, Ed by V Swaminatha Ayyar, Madras, 1924

in Tamil to illustrate the grammatical and idiomatical usage in Tamil literature. The book now published is unfortunately incomplete. The editor with all his attempt was not able to obtain the missing portion in the beginning as well as at the end of the work. Instead of waiting indefinitely, it is good that the work is published though incomplete. From Gunādhya's Brhatkathā, which contains a lot of other stories, the author of Tamil Perunkathai has taken only the portions relating to the life of Prince Udayana. story consists of 6 main chapters. Unjaik-kandam, Lāvānak-kāndam, Magadak-kāndam, Vattavak-kāndam Naravanak-kandam and Turavuk-kandam, all relating to the rich life of Udayana. Udayana was the son of Satānīka of the Kuru dynasty who ruled over Kau-Satānīka's queen was one Mṛgāvatī. When she was in an advanced state of pregnancy she, with her attendants, was playing in the upstairs of her palace. She had herself and her attendants and the whole background adorned with plenty of red flowers and red silk clothes After play she fell asleep on her cot. The most powerful bird of Hindu mythology, Sarabha, mistaking the place to be strewn with raw flesh on account of the red flowers strewn across, carried away the cot with Mṛgavati sleeping on it to When Mṛgāvatī woke up she was Vipulācala. surprised to find herself in strange surroundings. bird which carried her there, realising that what she carried was not a mass of flesh but a live human being, went away leaving her there. Just at that moment she gave birth to a son, the future Udayana.

To her welcome surprise there was her father Cetaka, who, after renouncing his kingdom, was spending his time there as a Jaina yōgin. When he heard the cries of the baby he went there and found his daughter Mrigavati. Since the child was born about sunrise he was named Udayana. On the same hill Vipulācala there was living one brāhmaņa Ŗṣi, Brahmasundara by name, with his wife Brahmasundari. Cetaka-muni, the father of Mrigavati, placed his daughter and her child in the care of the brahmana muni where they were looked after as members of his own family. This brahmaņa Rşi had a son by name Yūgi, and Yūgi and Udayana became very intimate friends from their childhood which friendship lasted through their life. After some time Cetaka-muni's son, who was ruling over his kingdom after his father's abdication, himself wanted to renounce it and wanted to become a tapasa. He went to his father to appraise him of his intention, met there the beautiful youth Udayana whose identity was revealed by the grandfather. When Udayana was known to be his sister's son he was gladly taken back to the city to rule over his grandfather's kingdom. He took with him his playmate and friend Yugi who was always of great help to him throughout his career. While he was living with his foster-father Brahmasundara-muni he was taught by that brahmana Rsi a valuable mantra with the help of which even the most violently mad elephant could be made as quiet and harmless as a sheep. He also had as a gift, from the same brahmana Rşı, a divine musical instrument whose notes would subdue and tame even the wildest of

elephants. With the help of this mantra and the musical instrument, while living in the forest atrama, he once subdued a famous elephant which afterwards was known to him to be a divine one and capable of immense service to him for several years. When Udayana went to Vaisāli, his grandfather's place, he took with him not only Yugi, his playmate and friend, but also this elephant who was willing to serve the prince Udayana. While Udayana was thus ruling at Vaisāli his father Satānika, who was in great sorrow because of the loss of Mrgavati, after searching for her in various lands went to Vipulacala where he discovered his queen under the protection of her father. With the permission of her father she was taken back to Kauśambi by Satanika. After some time Udayana inherited his father's kingdom also and thus he became the lord of both Kausambi and Vaiśāli.

Then begin the real adventures of Udayana. By carelessness he loses the divine elephant. He roams about in the forest with his vinā in hand in search of his elephant. Just then the emperor of Ujjain, Pracchōdana by name, sends messengers to collect tribute from the kings of Vatsa and Kausāmbī. His minister Sālankāyana advises him to desist from such an adventure and asks him to wait for a better opportunity. When Udayana is roaming about in the forest, which is the best time to capture Udayana as a prisoner, Pracchōdana sends a machine in the form of an elephant within which are hidden soldiers with weapons. This mechanical elephant, like the

Trojan horse, is taken to the forest in which Udayana is searching for his lost elephant. Imagining that nt is some wild elephant Udayana approaches this machine-elephant and suddenly soldiers jump out of its body and capture Udayana as a prisoner. He is taken as a captive to Ujjain. While he is kept as a prisoner for some time, his friend and minister Yūgi, learning that the prince Udayana is kept as a prisoner by the king of Ujjain, makes up his mind to somehow release him from imprisonment and to punish the king of Ujjain for his impertinence. So he goes there in disguise with other friends and lives in the outskirts of Ujjain, waiting for an opportune moment. While in disguise he informs Udayana secretly of his presence in Ujjain and promises him that very soon he would be released. To create an opportunity he, with the help of his friends, used the mantra to make the royal elephant mad and uncontrollable. The elephant breaks loose the chains and rushes into the streets of the city causing tremendous damage on its way. No one is able to control it. Then the king Pracchodana learns from his minister Sālankāyana that the only person who can control such a wild elephant is Udayana who is kept in prison. The king sends for him immediately, and promises him freedom if he will only control the wild mad elephant Udayana, with his musical instrument, makes the mad elephant as tame as a cow and thus pleases the king very much. Udayana obtains his freedom and is kept by the king of Ujjain as a musical instructor to his daughter Vāsavadattā. With the help of his minister Yūgi,

Udayana, who wins the heart of Vāsavadattā, manages to run away from the capital carrying Vāsavadattā with him on the back of the elephant Nalagiri. Thus ends the first chapter called Uñjaik-kānḍam narrating the adventures of Udayana in the city of Ujjain.

The next chapter is called Lavanak-kandam because it pertains to the incidents in Udayana's life in the city of Lavana, one of the cities in his own kingdom. Here he marries Vāsavadattā and makes her his queen. In his attachment to his beautiful wife, he forgets and completely neglects his duties as a king This is not liked by his friends who realise there is much to do yet; because, during the absence of Udayana as a prisoner in Ujjain, his kingdom had been captured by the ruler of Pancala who was not friendly disposed towards the kingdom of Kauśambi Hence Yugi arranges to separate Vasavadatta from her husband Udayana. By a clever trick he makes Udayana believe that his whole palace is burnt to ashes and the queen Vasavadatta is also burnt to death. Before setting fire to the palace Vasavadatta with her attendant is taken away through underground passage to a safe place where they are kept in concealment These are some of the important items of Udayana's life narrated in the second chapter.

The third chapter Magadhak-kānḍam deals with Udayana's adventures in Magadha-dēśa. Udayana was very much dejected because of the loss of the queen Vāsavadattā and goes to Rājagṛha, the capital of Magadha, for the purpose of winning back the

supposed dead wife Vasavadatta through the help of a great yōgin who is reputed to be able to revive dead persons with the help of mantra. There he happens to meet the Magdha king's daughter, Princess Padmavati. At the first sight they fall in love with each other. Udayana, who is living in disguise as a brahmana youth, manages to win the princess Padmavati completely and thus has a gandharvavivāha with her without the knowledge of the king. While so living in disguise, Rajagrha was surrounded by enemies. Udayana manages to defend the city against the enemies with the help of his friends and thus manages to win the confidence and gratitude of the Magadha emperor. Finally Padmavati, the king's daughter, is given in marriage to Udayana, and he was living happily in Rajagrha with this queen Padmāvatī.

Then begins the fourth chapter called Vattavak-kāṇḍam. This refers to Udayana's reconquest of his own Vatsa kingdom with the help of his father-in-law, the king of Magadha. There he is welcomed by his old people who had the bitter taste of the tyranny of the Pāñcāla king. Thus securing the confidence of his subjects he settles down in his own kingdom Vatsa-dēśa, living happily with his queen Padmāvatī. One day he dreams of meeting Vāsavadattā and this dream revived his attraction to his former queen Vāsavadattā. In the meanwhile, his friend Yūgi, who always comes to his rescue in difficulties, appears before the gates of Rājagṛha with Udayana's former queen Vāsavadattā. Udayana was delighted to meet

his wife whom he supposed to be dead and takes her to his palace with the consent of Padmavati and is living happily in Rajagrha with his two wives.

While he was spending his life happily with his two queens, Vasavadatta and Padmavati, he happens to meet Mananika, the beautiful young playmate of the queens. He falls in love with this stranger and arranges with her secretly to meet at an appointed place in the night. Vasavadatta comes to know of this, and imprisons Mananika and, herself dressed in disguise as Mananika, waits for the appearance of Udayana according to the appointment. Udayana is received coldly by Vasavadatta in disguise when Udyana, imagining her to be Mananika, his lady-love, begs her in various ways to accept him. Then Vasavadatta discovers herself to the chagrin of Udayana who escapes back to the palace just about the time of dawn. Early in the morning Vasavadatta sends for Mananika in order to punish her for her impudence in aspiring for the king's hand. In this excitement a messenger from the king of Kōśala brings a letter to Vāsavadattā. In this letter the king of Kosala narrates the story of his sister who was carried away as a captive by the Pancala king, of how she was released with a number of attendants by Udayana when he reconquered the country by defeating the king of Pancala and how she was got as an attendant to Vasavadatta herself with the assumed name of Mananika and finally requesting Vasavadatta to treat this Kośala princess with the kindness and consideration becoming her status. When Vasavadatta reads this letter, she apologises

to Mānanīkā for her conduct and restores her to the status and position befitting a princess. Finally Vāsavadattā herself arranges for her marriage with Udayana who is found to be in love with this Kōśala princess.

The fifth chapter deals with the birth of a son and heir to Udayana. After some time the queen Vāsavadattā gives brith to a son called Naravāṇadatta. Even before his birth astrologers predicted of his greatness and that he would become an emperor of the Vidyādhara kingdom though born in an ordinary kṣatriya family. In course of time this Naravāhana inherited from his father the Kaušāmbī and Vatsa kingdoms and from his grandfather the Vidyādhara kingdom of Ujjain. In due course his father Udayana renounces the world and becomes an ascetic devoting his time in meditation and voga. This Udayana's renunciation forms the subject-matter of the 6th and the last chapter of this Tamil classic Perunkathai.

Mērumandira-purāṇam — This Mērumandira-purāṇam is an important Tamil classic though it is not included in the category of kāvyas. It resembles in excellence of literary diction the best of kāvya literature in Tamil. It is based upon a Purāṇic story relating to Mēru and Mandira. The story is narrated in Mahāpurāna as having taken place during the time of Vimala-Tīrthaṅkara. The author of this Mērumandira-purāṇam is one Vāmana-muni who is the same as the Vāmana-muni, the commentator of Nīlakēsī. This Vāmana-muni lived about the time of Bukkarāya of

the 14th century. In this also the story is used as a frame-work for expounding important philosophical doctrines relating to Jainism.

The story is connected with the city of Vitasoka, the capital of Gandhamālini in Vidēha-kṣētra. name of the king who ruled over this country was Vaijayanta, and that of his queen, Sarvasri. He had by this queen two sons Sanjayanta and Jayanta. The elder Sanjayanta, heir to the throne, was married to a princess by whom a son was born to him called Vaijayanta after the grand-father. The old king, who now had his namesake grandson, thought it better to abdicate the kingdom in favour of his son, himself desiring to enter tapasa-asrama as a yogin. But his two sons did not care much about the royal splendour and hence expressed their desire to renounce the kingdom and follow their father. Thus the grandson Vaijayanta was made the king and the three, father and two sons, adopted asceticism and went to spend their life in yoga. While the three were engaged in penance, the father Vaijayanta, because of his success in yōga, soon managed to get rid of his karmas and became a sarvajña. As is usual at such times all the devas assembled there to offer worship at the feet of this Jivan-mukta. Among those assembled there was a beautiful dēva, Dharanendra by name, who appeared with all his divine paraphernalia. The younger brother Jayanta, who was also engaged in penance, noticed this beautiful deva and desired to become: one like him in his next birth. As a result of this desire and also as the fruit of his incomplete yōga, he soon

became a Dharanendra himself. But the elder brother Sañjayanta continued his tapas without any wavering even after his father's attainment of mukti. While he was thus engaged in tapas, a Vidyādhara, who was going in his own vimāna in the sky, noticed this vogin beneath. He also noticed that his vimana would not cross beyond the region where this yōgin was standing. This roused his anger. He picked up this yogin, Sanjayanta-bhattaraka, and carried him to his own land. Dropping him in the outskrits of his country he told his people that Sanjayanta was their enemy and instigated all his countrymen, the Vidyadharas, to treat this vogin in all possible forms of cruelty. These Vidyādharas in ignorance ill-treated this mahāmuni as bid by the wicked Vidyādhara, Vidyuddanta. In spite of these cruelties the yōgin did not lose his meditation. Nor did he get angry at the enemies who did all this in ignorance. As a result of this supreme spiritual isolation and peace in the midst of sufferings caused by his enemies he attained samādhi On account of this spiritual victory he was, in his turn, surrounded by devas for offering him adoration and worship. In the midst of these devas was found his own brother, the new Dharanendra. This young deva, Dharanendra, noticed that his elder brother was cruelly treated by the Vidyādharas who were still there staring in dismay at the wonderful sight of the devas gathered there to offer service and worship to their former victim Sanjayanta-bhattaraka, and he was in a rage. wanted to bundle up all these Vidyadharas and cast them in a body into the ocean as a punishment for their mischief. But all the Vidyadharas openly confessed

their mistake and appealed to him for mercy, for it was all due to the mischievous instigation of their leader Vidyuddanta and not of their own free will. Hence Dharanendra forgave them all. He would not, however, let go this wicked Vidyuddanta without proper punishment. Hence he wanted to bind this one wicked fellow at least for the purpose of ducking him to the sea. Just then one of the devas assembled there, Adityapadeva; advised this young Dharanendra not to do any such thing. Dharanendra in reply said 'How could I brook the suffering inflicted on my brother by this wicked fellow, and how could I accept your advice even in the presence of inexcusable evil?' To which Adityapadeva replied: 'In this spiritual realm evil is not to be requited with evil. You attach so much importance to your relationship to your brother. But if you would only known the inter-relationship that you had in your previous births you would clearly realise the silliness in emphasising one particular relation in a long chain of multifarious relations that one has in series of births. Further, hatred and love are important factors in determining the future births; the former gives a bad turn and the latter a good turn to one's future. Hence I would advise you not to worry yourself about this wicked Vidyadhara, Vidyuddanta. Even the yōgin Sañjayanta who had to suffer so much pain at the hands of this wicked person had forgiven him because all this was done in ignorance. Hence why should you bind yourself with karma created of hatred by attempting to punish this wicked Vidyādhara?' Hearing this advice from his friend Ādityāpadēva, Dharanendra requested him to give

more details about his previous births. Adityapadeva narrated the following story for the edification of Dharanendra.

There was a king named Simhasena ruling over Simhapura. He had a queen named Ramadattadevi. His minister was one Sribhūti who was also called Satyaghōşa because of his honesty and truth-speaking. Just about that time there was a merchant, by the name Bhadramitra, belonging to another land. He went out to Ratnapura with his ship-load of goods, returned with an enormous quantity of wealth in the form of jewels and precious stones. This Bhadramitra visited Simhapura on his way. Seeing the prosperity and the beauty of the town, hearing the good nature of the king and his minister, he made up his mind to settle down in that city Simhapura. Hence he wanted to go to his native place to bring all his people to this city. In the meanwhile, he thought of leaving all his wealth obtained by the sea-borne trade in the safe custody of some one in the city. He could not think of anybody except the minister Satyaghoşa. He went and told him of his resolution to settle down in this beautiful city of Simhapura and requested him to keep in his safe custody the several jewels and precious stones which he had with him. The minister Satyaghōşa consented to this. A box containing the jewels was deposited with the minister and the merchantprince went to his native place for the purpose of returning with his relations and friends. In the meanwhile, even the honest minister Srībhūti, at the sight of valuable precious stones deposited with him

by the merchant, became covetous. He wanted to misappropriate the whole thing for himself. When the merchant returned to Simhapura, he bought for himself a palatial building for his residence. Leaving his people there, he went to the minister to get back his jewels. But Bhadramitra found the minister Satyaghōşa completely changed. Instead of gladly returning the casket containing the jewels, Satyaghosa treated the merchant as an utter stranger as if he had not heard anything of him before and denied all knowledge of the casket of jewels. This completely upset the poor merchant, and he went about the streets crying of this injustice and begging for help. Nobody in the town would believe anything against the minister, Satyaghōṣa, because he was famous for his integrity and honesty. Naturally people thought that this foreign merchant was a mad fellow falsely accusing the minister of misappropriation. But this merchant Bhadramitra even in his ravings was quite consistent, which consistency could not be associated with any mad man. Hence the queen was attracted by this merchant's cries. She made inquiries and found to her surprise that the minister was really a culprit. But there was no evidence for the deposit of the casket with him; and nobody would come forward as a witness in favour of the merchant. But the queen Rāmadattādēvī, being sure about the casket, requested the king to intervene on behalf of the merchant. The king would not listen to this. As an alternative the queen wanted permission to deal with the case herself. This was readily granted. Then the queen

Rāmadattādēvī invited the minister Satyaghōşa for a game of chess. In the first game she won the minister's yajñöpavīta and the signet ring as stakes. Having won these two important things, insignia of the minister, she secretly sent these two things through her attendant to the treasurer. She instructed the attendant to show these two things to the treasurer and to get from him the casket of jewels belonging to the merchant deposited in the royal treasury in secret by the minister. When the attendant brought the casket it was an eye-opener to the king. Then he realised the crime committed by the minister. The minister himself now knew that he was discovered by the queen. Still the king wanted to test the honesty of the merchant. Therefore, he had this casket placed in the midst of several others belonging to the royal treasury and asked the merchant Bhadramitra to take all these. would not touch the others except his own. within the casket there were other precious stones put together with those belonging to the merchant. The merchant took up his own things and rejected the others as not belonging to him. This behaviour of the merchant impressed the king and others assembled there. They all praised the honesty of this merchant and condemned the minister for his avarice. The king dismissed the minister from service and banished him from the city after disgracing him. The minister went out nourishing hatred towards the king and the queen. As a result of this hatred, he was born as a serpent in the royal treasury room; and when the king entered the treasury, he was bitten by this snake and killed. As a result of

this animosity these two were born as enemies in several successive births. This wicked Vidvadhara whom you want to punish at present was that Satyaghōşa, the minister, who was disgraced on account of his dishonesty. The king Simhasena, after a series of births and deaths, appeared as Sanjavanta who just attained mukti. We are all assembled here to offer pūjā to this Sanjayanta who was in his former birth Simhasena-maharaja. The queen Ramadattadevi is myself, I, born at present as Adityapadeva, and you are the younger brother of this Sañjayanta for you, because of your longing for dēva-glory, became Dharanendra. Hence it would be advisable on your part to give up this hatred and pursue the path of righteousness. Dharanendra accepted this advice given by his brother deva, got rid of this hatred, and began to meditate upon dharma. The wicked Vidyadhara Vidyuddanta, who was listening to this story, was also ashamed of his past and resolved to lead a better life thereafter. Then the two dēvas, Adityapadēva and Dharanendra, who were formerly the queen Ramadattadevi and her son respectively, after a period of devahood, were born as sons to the king Anantavirya who ruled Uttara-Madura. This king had two queens, Mērumālinī and Amrtamatī. Ādityāpa was born as a son to Mērumālinī and was named Mēru. Dharanendra was born to the second queen Amrtamati and was named Mandara. Just about time Vimala-Tirthankara appeared in an udyana adjoining Uttara-Madura with the object of teaching the dharma. These two princes, Meru and Mandara.

went on their royal elephant to offer pājā to this Tirthankara and to listen to his preachings. Listening to this dharma-upadēta these two princes became his disciples and were accepted as gaṇadharas, chief disciples, of the Lord. They, in their turn, spent their life in propounding dharma and finally, by the performance of yōga, attained mukti. The classic is named after these two princes, Mēru and Mandara, and hence called Mērumandira-purāṇam. It consists of 30 chapters of 1405 stanzas on the whole. Some ten years ago the present writer published this work with introduction and notes, and it is available to the reading public.

Srīpurāņa:—This Srīpurāņa is a very popular work among the Tamil Jamas. I do not think there is anybody who has not heard the name Sripurana. It is written in an enchanting prose style in manipravata, mixed Tamil and Sanskrit. It is based on Jinasēna's Mahapurāna and is also further called Trişaştısalakapuruşa-purana dealing with 63 heroes. It is by an unknown author. Most probably it is a corresponding work to the Kannada Trisastisalakapurusapurana by Camundaraya Hence it must be later than the Jinasena-Mahapurana and Camundaraya's Kannada Purana. The 63 heroes whose history is narrated in this work are the 24 Tirthankaras, the 12 Cakravartins, 9 Vāsudēvas. 9 Baladēvas and 9 Pratīvāsudēvas. In the case of the Culamani story we already noted Tivițța, the Vāsudeva, Vijaya, the Baladeva and Aśvagrīva, the Prativāsudēva. Similarly Rama, Lakşmana and Ravana of Ramayana fame are included in these nine groups as Kēśava, Baladēva and Prativāsudēva. Similarly Śrī Kṛṣṇa of Bhārata fame is one of the nine Vāsudēvas, his brother Balarāma is one of the Baladēvas and Jarāsandha of Magadha one of the nine Prativāsudēvas. While narrating the life of each Tīrthankara, stories of the royal dynasties are also given. Thus this work Śrīpurāṇa, since it contains the story of these 63 heroes, is considered to be the Purāṇic treasure-house from which isolated stories are taken by independent authors. Unfortunately it is not yet published. It still lies buried in palmleaves manuscript, and it is hoped that some day in the near future it will be made available to the students of Tamil literature.

Next we have to notice some works on prosody and grammar contributed by Jama authors.

Yāpparungalakkārikai :—This work on Tamil prosody is by one Amṛtasāgara. Though it is not definitely known at what period he lived, it may be safely asserted that the work is old by 1000 years. Since the invocatory verse is addressed to Arhatparamēṣthi, it is obvious that the work is by a Jaina author. The author himself suggests that the work is based on a Sanskrit work on the same topic. Probably

^{1.} The following are the editions of Yapparungalakkarıgai:

⁽¹⁾ Pañcalakṣaṇa Mūlam, Ed. by Ramaswamıgal, Madras, 1886, includes the texts of Nannūl, Agapporul-vilakkam, Pura-pporul-veṇbā, Yāpparuṅgalakkārigai, Daṇḍiyalankāram, Nēminātham, Vīraśōliyam, etc.

⁽²⁾ Yāpparungalakkārigai with Kumaraswami Pulavar's commentary. Ed by Ambalavana Pillai, 1908, 1925 and 1938.

it is a translation of that Sanskrit work. There is a commentary on this work by one Guṇasāgara who was probably a contemporary of this Amṛtasāgara. Probably they both belonged to the same Jaina Saṅgha. That it is an important work on prosody, that it is considered as an authority on metres and poetic composition, and that it is used as such by later writers are evident from the references to it found in Tamil literature.

Yāpparungala Virutti¹:—This is also a work on Tamil prosody written by the same author, Amṛtasāgara. There is an excellent edition of this Yāpparungala-virutti by the late S. Bhavanandam Pillai.

Nēminātham²: A work on Tamil grammar by Guņavīra-paņdīta. It is called *Nēminātham* because it was composed at Mylapore, the seat of the Jaina temple of Nēminātha. The author Guṇavīra-paṇdīta was a disciple of Vaccananda-muni of Kalandai. The object of this work is to give a short

^{1. &}quot;Yāpparungalam is a rare and comparatively old Tamil treatise on Tamil prosody. Besides treating completely of the ancient and pure Tamil metres, it analyses the whole extent of the new Kalithurai and Virutiam metres, classifies and groups them all scientifically. The text contains only ninety-six aphorisms ranging from one to twentynine lines in length.

.....There is clear evidence to prove that the composition of the text of Yāpparungalam must have taken place either at the beginning or in the middle of the tenth century A.D" [Yāpparungalam, Text and commentary, Ed by S. Bhavanandam Pillai, Madras, 1916, Editorial Preface, pp. vii—viii]

^{2.} See p 127, footnote 1, item (1) above.

and concise account of Tamil grammar, because the earlier Tamil works were huge and elaborate. From the introductory verses it is clear that this was composed before the destruction of the Jaina temple at Mylapore by a tidal wave. Hence it must be placed in the early centuries of the Christian era. It consists of 2 main chapters Eluttadikāram and Solladikāram. It is composed in the well-known veņbā metre. It is printed together with a well-known old commentary in the Tamil journal Sentamil issued by the authorities of the Tamil Sangam at Madurai.

The next work on Tamil grammar we have to notice is $Nann\bar{u}l^1$, 'the good book.' It is the most

- 1) Nannūl, Text and commentary, Ed. by Arumuga-swamigal, 1873;
- 2) Nannūl, Text and commentary, Ed. by K. Rajagopala Pillai, 1880,
- 3) Nannul, Text and commentary, Ed. by Arumuga Navalar, Madras, 1880 and 1886;
- 4) Nannül, Eluttadıkāram, Text and commentary, 1886;
- 5) Nannul, Text and commentary, Ed. by Ponnuswamy Mudaliar, 1886;
- 6) Nannūl, Text and commentary, Ed. by Srinivasa Mudaliar, Madras, 1888;
- 7) Nannūl, Text, Ed. by Namachchivaya Chettiyar, Madras, 1889,
- 8) N: nnūl, Text and commentary, 1889,
- 9) Nannūl, Eļuttadikāram, Text and commentary, Madras, 1889,
- Nannūl, Colladikāram, Text and commentary, Madras, 1890.
- Nannūl, Text and commentary, Ed by Sathagopa Ramanujachariyar, Madras, 1892; III edn. Ed. by Sathagopa Ramanujachariyar and Krishnamachariyar, Madras, 1900;

¹ The following are the editions of Nannul-

popular grammar in Tamil language. It is held only next to the Tolkappiyam in esteem. It is by one Bavanandimuni who wrote this grammar at the request of a chief called Siya-Ganga. The author was well versed not only in the Tamil grammatical works, Tolkappiyam, 'Agattivam and Avinayam, but also in the Sanskrit grammar, Jainendra, being a great scholar both in Tamil and Sanskrit. This grammar, Nannūl, he wrote for the benefit of the later Tamil scholars. It is prescribed as a text book for schools and colleges; hence we may say without exaggeration that no Tamil student passes out of school or college without some knowledge of this Tamil grammar. There are a number of commentaries on this work. The most important of these commentaries is the one by the Jaina grammarian Mailainathar. Mailainathar is another name for Neminathan who was the God at the Mylapore Jinalaya. We have an excellent edition of this Nannūl with this Mailainatha's commentary made available to the public by Dr V. Swaminatha Ayyar The work consists of two parts, Eluttadhikāram and Solladhikaram which are sub-divided into five minor chapters.

In this section on grammar we may also notice the work called Agapporuļviļakkani, by one Nārkavirāja Nambi His proper name is Nambi or Nambi Nainār; because he was expert in 4 different kinds of poetic composition he was given the title of Nār-kavirāya. He was a native of Puļiyangudi on the banks

¹ See p 127, (footnote 1, item 1) above.

of the river Porunai in Pandi-mandalam. This work Agapporulvilakkam is based upon the chapter on Porul-ilakkanam in Tolkappiyam. It is an exposition of the psychological emotion of love and allied experiences.

The contribution by Jainas to the Tamil lexicography is also worthy of note. There are three important works on Tamil lexicography; the three nighantus are the Divākara-nighantu. Pingala-nighantu and the Cūdāmani-nighantu. All the three are dictionaries in verse which traditional scholars got by heart in order to understand the more intimate classics in the language. The first is by Divakara-muni, the second by Pingalamuni and the third by Mandalapurusa. Tamil scholars are of opinion that all the three were Jainas. The first, Divakara-nighantu, is probably lost to the world; but the other two are available. Of these the last is the most popular. From the introductory verses written by the author of the third work, Cūdāmani-nighantu, it may be learnt that he was a native of the Jain village Perumandur which is a few miles distant from Tindivanam, the headquarters of the Taluk of the same name, in South Arcot District. The author further refers to Gunabhadrācārya, a disciple of Jinasēnācārya. This Gunabhadra is the author of Uttara-purana which is the continuation volume to Jinasena's Mahapurana. Hence it is clear that this Mandalapurusa must be later than Gunabhadra. He also refers to the other two nighantus which ought to be, therefore, earlier to Cūdāmani-nighantu. The work is written in viruttam

metre and contains 12 chapters^1 . The first section deals with the names of $D\bar{e}vas$, the second with the names of human beings, the third with lower animals, the fourth with the names of trees and plants, the fifth with place-names, the sixth dealing with the names of several objects; the seventh deals with the several artificial objects made by man out of natural objects such as metals and timber, the eighth chapter deals with names relating to attributes of things in general, the ninth deals with names relating to sounds articulate and inarticulate, the eleventh section deals with

^{1.} Of the three nighantus referred to above, the following are the editions, in part or in full, of the Cūḍāmani, which, since it contains 12 chapters, is also known as the Pannirandunighantu

¹⁾ Cūdāmaņi-Pannırandu-nighanţu, Text and commentary, Ed. by Kesava Mudaliar, 1870,

²⁾ Cūḍāmaṇi-Paṇṇiranḍu-nighaṇṭu, Text and commentary, Ed by Arumugaswamigal, Tiruvorriyur, 1873,

³⁾ Pannirandu-nighantu, Text and commentary, Ed by Tandavaraya Mudaliar, 1877,

⁴⁾ Cuḍāmani-Pannirandu-nighantu, Text and commentary, Ed. by Shanmuga Mudaliar, 1877,

⁵⁾ Cudamani-Pannirandu-nighantu, Text and commentary, Ed by Saravana Panditar, 1880;

⁶⁾ Pannirandu-nighantu, Text and commentary, Ed by Maunagurusvami, Chittoor, 1883;

⁷⁾ Pannirandu-nighantu, Text and commentary, Ed by Ponnusvami Mudaliar and Dase Gavundar, 1886,

⁸⁾ Cūḍāmani-nighaṇţu, Text of the first ten chapters, Ed. by Vythilingam, Madras, 1875,

Cūdāmaņi-nighanţu, Text and commentary of the first ten chapters, Ed by Arumuga Navalar, Madras, 1867, 1873 and 1880,

words which are rhyming with one another, and hence relating to a certian aspect of prosody; the twelfth section is a miscellaneous section dealing with the groups of related words. We have a useful edition of this $C\bar{u}d\bar{a}mani-nighantu$ with an old commentary by the late Arumukha Navalar of Jaffna. Similarly there

- Cūḍāmaṇi-nighaṇţu, First chapter, Ed. by Arunachala Iyer, Konnur, 1873;
- 11) Cūḍāmaṇi-nighaṇţu, First chapter, Ed. by Arumuga-svamigal, 1873 and 1887;
- 12) Cūdāmaņi-nighantu, First chapter, Ed. by Subramaniasvamīgal, Madras, 1889,
- 13) Cūḍāmani-nighanţu, Second and third chapters, Ed. by Ratna Chettiyar, Madras, 1889;
- 14) Padinōrāvadu-nighanţu (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed by Karunanandasvamigal, 1868;
- 15) Padinōrāvadu-nighanţu (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed by Arumugasvamıgal, 1869, 1873;
- Padinorāvadu-nighanţu (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed. by Kesava Mudaliar, 1870;
- 17) Padinōrāvadu-nighanju (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed by Arumuga Mudaliar, 1875;
- 18) Podinōrāvadu-nighaņļu (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed by Tiruvengadam Pillai and Kumara svami Iyer, 1880,
- 19) Padinōrāvadu-nighaņţu (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed. by Subramaniasvamıgal, 1881;
- Padinōrāvadu-nighaņţu (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed by Vırabhadra Iyer, 1882;
- 21) Padinōrāvadu-nighaņţu (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed. by Appavu Pillai, 1882;
- 22) Cūḍāmaṇi-nighaṇṭil Padinōrāvadu (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed. by Manikka Upadhyayar, Madras, 1883, 1889;

is an edition of *Pingala-nighanțu* by a Tamil pandit by name Sivan Pillai.

Having disposed of grammar and lexicography, let us turn our attention to one or two miscellaneous works: Tirunurrantādi by Avirodhi Alvar. The antādi is a peculiar form of composition where the last word in the previous stanza becomes the first and the leading word in the next stanza. Antadi literally means 'the end and the beginning.' This constitutes a string of verses connected with one another by a catch-word which is the last in the previous stanza and the first in the succeeding stanza. Tirunūr rantādi is such a composition containing 100 verses. It is a devotional work addressed to God Neminatha of Mylapore. The author Avirodhi Alvar was a convert to the Jaina faith. It is said that one day, while he was passing by the side of the Jinālaya, he heard the Jama ācārya within the temple expounding to his disciples the nature of moksa and moksa-marga. Attracted by this exposition he entered the temple and listened to the teacher's discourse. Desiring to learn more about this he requested the acarya to permit him to attend the

²³⁾ Padinōrāvadu-nighanţu (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed by Arunachala Mudaliar, 1883;

²⁴⁾ Cūḍāmani-nighanţil Padinōrāvadu, (11th chapter), Text and commentary, Ed. by Shanmuga Mudaliar, Madras, 1886,

²⁵⁾ Padinōrāvadu-nighanţu (11th chapter), Text and commentary, 1887,

²⁶⁾ Cūḍāmāṇi—nighaṇţu (11th chapter), Text and commentary and 12th chapter, Text, Ed by Ponnam bala Pıllaı, 1892,

lectures, which permission was readily granted. Finally he became a convert to the faith and in recognition of this change in his life, he composed this Tirunar rantadi dedicated to the god Nēminātha of Mylapore. It is a very beautiful devotional work containing a few facts relating to the author himself. It is published with notes in the Sentamil Journal conducted by the Tamil Sangam, Madurai.¹

Tirukkalambagam¹ is another devotional work by a Jaina author by name Udicideva. He belonged to the country of Tonda-mandalam. He was a native of Arpagai, a place near Arani in Vellore Taluk. The term kalambagam implies a sort of poetic mixture where the verses are composed in diverse metres. This Tirukkalambagam by Udici, besides being devotional, is also philosophical in which the author tries to discuss the doctrines of the rival faiths such as Buddhism. It probably belongs to a period later than that of Akalanka, the great Jaina philosopher, who was responsible for undermining the supremacy of Buddhism in the south, and who was probably a contemporary of Kumārila-bhatta, the Hindu reformer.

Jainas were also responsible for contribution to Mathematics, Astronomy and Astrology. Probably several works relating to these topics have been lost. We have at present one representative in each. Encuvadi, a popular work on Arithmetic, and Jinendramālai, an equally popular work on Astrology. Traders

^{1.} Tirunūr rantādi, Text, Kāhchīpuram, 1883.

Tirukkalambagam (Arungalacceppu), Kanchipuram, 1883.

who are accustomed to keep accounts in the traditional form get their early training by studying this mathematical work called *Encuvadi* and Tamil astrologers similarly get their grounding in *Jinendramālai* which forms the mainstay for their predictions popularly known as ārūdha.

This completes our cursory survey of Tamil literature with special reference to Jaina contributions thereto. The prevalence of Jamism in ancient Tamil land and its usefulness to the Tamil people are not merely vouchsafed for by Tamil literature but are also evidenced by the customs and manners prevalent among the upper classes of the Tamil society. Even after the Saivaite revival, when several Jainas were made, under penalty, to embrace Hinduism for political reasons, these converts to Hinduism, who went back to their own respective castes in the Hindu fold, zealously preserved their customs and manners acquired while they were Jamas. Though they changed their religion, still they did not change their acaras. It is curious that the Tamil term saivam, which primarily means the follower of the Saiva faith, means in popular parlance a strict vegetarian. A strict vegetarian among the Hindu vēļāļas is said to observe saivam in the matter of food. Similarly the brahmanas in the Tamil land are saivam, i.e., strict vegetarians. In this respect the Tamil brahmana is distinguished as the Dravida-brahmana from the Brahmins in other parts of India who are brought under the category of Gauda-brāhmaņas. The Drāvida-brāhmaņas, wherever they be, are strict

vegetarians, whereas the Gauda-brahmanas all eat fish and some eat meat also. Bengal brahmanas who belong to the Pañca-gauda group eat fish and meat. It is normal with Bengal brahmanas to offer goat or buffalo as sacrifice to the goddess Kāli and carry home meat as Kāli's prasāda. Such a thing is unthinkable in any of the Hindu temples in the south, whether dedicated to Visnu or Siva. Hence it may not be altogether an exaggeration to state that in the matter of purity of temple worship the Jaina doctrine of ahimsā has been accepted and preserved by the upper class of Hindus in the Tamil land even up to the present day. Of course there are scattered places where animal sacrifice is offered to the Village Gods. But it must be said to the credit of the upper classes among the Tamil Hindus that they have nothing to do with this grosser form of Kāli worship. With the growth of education and culture, it may be hoped that even these lower orders in Tamil society will give up this gross and ignorant form of religious worship and elevate themselves to a higher religious status actuated by purer aud nobler ideals.

APPENDIX

JAINA EPIGRAPHS IN TAMIL

In this appendix are brought together, for the first time, the texts of eightyfive Jama inscriptions in Tamil, published over the decades in the pages of many different volumes, most of them now out of print, and hence not readily available to the world of scholars and students. Though the present collection is by no means exhaustive, its utility lies in the fact that it enables readers to understand, though only on broad lines, the course of the history of Jainism in the Tamil country. Some of the published Jaina Tamil epigraphs have been left out of the present collection owing to their damaged or uncertain nature. Since quite a few Jaina epigraphs are merely cursorily noticed, without their texts being given, they too have been excluded. Nevertheless. anv conclusion pertaining to the prevalence and chronological and regional history of Jainism in Tamitnadu, drawn on the basis of this appendix, is not likely to be seriously affected or modified by any such exhaustive collection which may be rendered possible by future publications

It will be clear from the sequel that nearly two—thirds of the eightyfive inscriptions included here hail from the Tirunelveli and Madurai districts. The provenance and dates of these inscriptions lead to the following conclusions, viz,

that Jamism was at its zenith in the Tamil country during the 8th and 9th centuries A. D., particularly in the Madurai-Tirunelveli region;

that the Madurai-Tiruchirappalli region had received this noble faith at least as early as in the 2nd century B. C.; that this region continued to patronise Jainism till at least the 9th century A. D.;

that Jaina followers were very active in the Tirune-leveil and North Arcot regions during the 8th—11th centuries;

and that the incidence of Jaina epigraphs in Tamil in the other districts of Tamilnadu is rather sporadic.

The topographical distribution of the inscriptions included in the present appendix is given below.

District	Serial No. of the inscriptions
Madurai	1-3, 20, 24-40
Tıruchirappalli	4, 13, 22, 82-83
Tanjavur	5
South Arcot	6, 14, 45
North Arcot	7-9, 21, 42-44, 76-81, 85
Ramanathapuram	10-11, 23
Tırunelveli	12, 15-19, 41, 46-75
Chingleput	84

Explanatory notes have been added at the end wherever found necessary and the source of publication mentioned in all cases.

TAMIL BRAHMI INSCRIPTIONS

MÄNGUĻAM, MADURAI TALUK, MADURAI DISTRICT

No 1

On a boulder on the Kalugumalaı hill near Mangulam (Arittapattı).

Dynasty:..... King:....

Date: 2nd-1st cent. B.C. Language and Alphabet:

Tamil, Brahmi

Purport: Records that the monastery (pafi) was given in dedication to the menk (Asiriyika) Kanı Nanta by Katalan Valuttı, a servant of Netuncaliyan.

TEXT

Kanıy Nanta asırıyık= uvanke dhammam itta-a Netuncaliyan pana-an katalan Valuttıy kottupitta-a pali-iy

No. 2.

On another boulder on the	same hill.
Dynasty	King:
Date 2nd-1st cent. B. C.	Language and Alphabet : Tamil, Brāhmi

Purport: Records that the monastery (palī) was given in dedication to the monk Kanı Nanta by Caţikan, the father of Ilancaţıkan and brother-ın-law (sālakan) of Neţincalıyan

TEXT

Kaniy Nant = asiriyak = uan dhamam ita Netincaliyan salakan Ilancatikan tandaiy Catikan ce-iya paliy

No. 3

On a tunia position on the same in	
Dynasty '	King:
Date . 2nd-1st cent. B. C.	Language and Alphabet:
	Tamil, Brahmi

Purport. Records the gust of the lattice (pinaū) to the monk Kaņi Na[n]ta by Kālitika, son of Antai and merchant prince of the mercantile guild (nigama) of Vejarai.

TEXT

Kaņi i Na[n]t= āsiriyak= uva .

On a third houlder on the same hill

Veļarai-y nikamatu kāviti-iy Kāļitika Antai-a sutaņ piņa-ū kotupitōņ

No. 4.

PUGAĻŪR, KARUR TALUK, TIRUCHCHIRAPPALLI DISTRICT.

On the brow of the first cavern on the Agunattar hill near Velayudampalaiyam.

Dynasty

Date: 3rd-4th cent A D

King · Ātan Cellirumporai Language and Alphabet

Tamil, Brahmi

Purport: Records that Ilankatuńkō, the son of Peruńkatuńkōn, the son of the king Ātan Cellirumpogai, caused the stone abode (ugai) of the Jama monk (amannan) Ceńkāyapan ofyārrūr to be cut on becoming heir-apparent.

TEXT

..tā amannan Yārrūr Cenkāyapan ugaiy kō Ātan Cellirumporai makan Peruńkatuńkōn makan Ilańkatuńkō [1*] lańkō āka agutta kal

Notes: The word pali which is used in the sense of a monastery in Nos 1 and 2 above came to be written in later Tamil inscriptions as palli.

The reference to the nigama in No. 3 above shows that, as in later times, Jainism enjoyed the support of the merchant community of Tamilnādu even in the early period.

[Published in Seminar on Inscriptions (Madras), 1966, pp. 60-73].

No. 5

PALLANKŌVIL, TIRUTTURAIPPUNDI TALUK, TANJAVUR DISTRICT

Copper-plate set recovered at the time of ploughing a field in the village.

Dynasty: Pallava King: Simhavarma
Date: Regnal year 6
(6th Century A.D.)
Sanskrit in Grantha,
Tamit in Tamit

Purport: Records the royal grant of the village Amaņšērkkai (Śramaṇ-āṣrama in the Sanskrit portion), situated in Perunagaranāḍu, a sub-division within Veņkunga-kkōṭṭam, as paļļiccandam to Vajranandi-kuravar (Vajranandi-gaṇi in the Sanskrit portion) of Paruttikkungu (Vardhamāna-dharmatīrtha in the Sanskrit portion) Also records the grant of some land in Tāmar as paļļiccandam to the same donee. The said grants were made for the worship of Jinēndra and the Jainēndras.

TEXT

[Lines 1 to 26, 24 of them engraved on three sides of the first two plates, and the remaining two engraved on the first side of the third plate, are in Grantha characters and Sanskrit language.]

Third Plate: First side

- 27. kõ Višaiya-Simhavarmmarku yand-aravadu Ven-
- 28. kunga-kköttattu-Pperunagara-nättu nättar
- 29. kānga [1*] Tan-nāţţu Amanierkkai Parutti-
- 30. kkungil Vajranandi-kkuravarkku-ppalliccandam-ā-
- 31. ga-kkudutton-tangalum padagai nadandu kal-
- 32. lun-kallıyun-natti araıy-olai seydu ko-

APPENDIX

Third Plate: Second side

- 4uttu vidudagav-engu nättärkku-ttirumugam vida nättä-
- 34. run-tirumugam kandu toludu talaikku vaittu padä-
- 35. gai nadandu kallun-kalliyu-nätti nättär vidunda
- 36. agaiy-ölai-ppadikk-ellai-kilpä-
- 37 I-ellai Endal-ēriyin kilai-kkadarri-
- 38. n mērkum-omai-kkollai elai innu-
- 39 m tenpal-ellai Velvadugan keniyi-
- 40 n vadakkum kadarrin-ellai innun-Nilapadi

Fourth Plate First side

- 41 ellai innum Viladan kurr-ettatt-ellai
- 42 ınnum melpal-ellai mav-ettattu
- 43 vadıyın kılakkum mum-mülai ettatt-ellai
- 44. ınnu-märr-ellai innum vadapal-el-
- 45 lai peruń-kollaiyin-zerku innum
- 46 Pandiyan-kayatt-ellai innum murukkan-
- 47. kēņi ellai [| *] Ivv-isaitta peru-nāng-el-
- 48 luy-agattum-agappatta nilam nir-nilamum

Fourth Plate: Second side

- 49. punsaiyyum kalarum kangu-mey-palum kadu-
- 50. m pidiligaiyum kidangun-keniyum manai-
- 51. yum manaippadappum udumbodi āmai tava-
- 52. Indad-ellam-unnilan-oliv-ingi
- 53. kovum pogiyum mārgi kudi-nikki ivv-ūr
- 54. perra Vajranandi-kkuravarkke palliccandam-aga Ta-
- 55. marilum padināgarai-ppatţi nilań-kodut-
- 56. töm [| *] In-nılattırk-ellai kilpāl-e-

Fifth Plate: First side

- 57. llai Puli-kijārpattiyin mērkum tenpā-
- 51. l-ellai Mullerı-pä[kka*]tt-ellaiyin vada-
- 59. kkum mēlpāl-eliai pokkuvāy-ccegu-
- 60. vin kilakkum vadapāl ellai pallāngu-
- 61. likkāvin terkum in-nang-ellai-
- 62. y-agattum agappatta bhūmiyum Paruttikkugii-
- Vajranandı-kkuravarkkē palliccandam-āga parada-
- 64 tti senradu [| *] Narabhayan-ānattiyāl

Notes The reference to Paruttikkungu as Vardhamana-dharmatirtha in the Sanskrit portion is interesting. There are in Tamilnadu a number of places named differently as Paruttiyur, Paruttikkudi, Paruttippattu, etc It has been suggested elsewhere (Pallavar Cheppēdugal Muppadu, pp. 25-26) that the ancient place-name Paruttikkunru should be taken as alluding to some hitherto unknown incident in the life of Vardhamana-Tirthankara since his name is given to that village in the Sanskrit portion Paruttikkungu is the same as modern Tirupparuttikkunram, a village in the vicinity of Kanchipuram and known in the past as Jina-Kānchi. It was formerly one of the four ācāryapīthas of the Digambara-Jainas. There stands in that village even today a temple dedicated to Vardhamana-Tirthankara. But scholars are of the opinion that this temple is later in date than the one dedicated to Chandraprabha-Tirthankara and located in the same village. Nevertheless, the present inscription attests to the fact that there existed in that place a temple of Vardhamana from early times.

> The village granted is named Amanserkkai and Sramanasrama in the Tamil and Sanskrit portions respectively. (cf Inscription No. 82 below, note 2 for a similar instance).

146

APPENDIX

The donec Vajranandi-kuravar is stated, in the Sanskrit portion, to belong to the Nandi-sangha

This inscription contains one of the earliest references to the term palliccandam signifying a grant of land given in particular to a Jaina temple or institution or preceptor.

[Published in Pallavar Cheppedugal Muppadu, pp 1-32]

۲

No. 6

Singavaram, Gingee Taluk, South Arcot District On a rock in the Tirunatharkungu near the village

Dynasty King

Date 6th century A D Language and Alphabet

Tamıj

Purport Commemorates the death of Candiranandi-asirigar after observing fast for 57 days

TEXT

- l Aimbattel-ana-
- 2 sanan-norra
- 3 Candiranandi-ā-
- 4 sirigar nisidigai [| *]

Notes. The Sanskrit word acaryaka has taken the tadbhava form of asiriga in this inscription. Cf Nos. 1-3 above where the tadbhava occurs as āsiriyika and asiriyaka.

[Published in SII, Vol XVII, No. 262]

Nos 7-8

Kilkättamangalam, Wandiwash Taluk, North Arcot District Natural took surface called Perun al-parai near the Jaina temple of Chandranathasvami. No. 7.

Dynasty: Pallava
Date . 14th regnal year
(747 A D.)

King: Nandivarman II³
Language and Alphabet:
Tamil

Purport Records the gift of seven gold coins (kalanju) by Andai Ilaiyar Pavanandi for feeding the Jaina ascetics who were not in the regular establishment of the temple (palli) The villagers undertook to protect the provisions of the grant

TEXT

Sri-Nandippöttare-1 2 sarkku-ppadināng-āvadu i-3 p-palli-udaiārai nīkki irun-4 da tavasıgalukku-ppiccai elukalanju pon ivv-ur-Andai I-5 6 laiyar Payanandi vaitta agam 7 kalanjınal ulakk-arısı munkuttı 8 vaittadu ivv-aram-ūromuń-kap-9 pom-aga ottınal Kamakko-10. ttam-alitta pavam-aga ottir-na-11. 1 vay-ulakkarısıum muttir . . 12.

No. 8.

Dynasty: Pallava King: Nandivarman II

Date: 56th regnal year Language and Alphabet:

(789 A D.) Tamil Clin and State

Tamil Clin an

Purport: Records the gift of seventeen kalanju of coins for providing one ulakku of rice per kalanju to the same palli by Pūndi-Muppāvai, the daughter of Jinadiyār of Vilukkam, u. This

grant along with that of Pavanandi (recorded in No 7 above) was to be protected by the villagers.

TEXT

- 1. Srī-Nandippöttaraisarkku
- 2. yandu aimbattanavadu
- 3. Vılukkattu Sınadiyar magalar
- 4. Pündi Muppāvai [vai*]tta kāšu padineļu
- 5. kalanju mēl ip-pallikku
- 6. kalañiināl-ulakk-arisi vai . .
- 7. attuvār-ivv-agam Pavaņa-
- 8. ndiyar vaitta paņam ūrom kā-
- 9. ppom-anom [| | *]

Notes: The gifts made are referred to in the two inscriptions as agam which is the Tamil rendering of Sanskrit dharma and Prakrit dhamma. Cf. dēva dhamma occurring in Prakrit donative records It is stated in lines 9-10 of No 7 above that those who flout the grant recorded therein would incur the sin of having destroyed the Kama-kkottam While in Tamil inscriptions of 11th-13th centuries, the word kāma-kkōt tam signifies Saivite amman shrines, in the above inscription it obviously refers to a Jaina temple since, in early Tamilnadu, the word kottam was exclusively applied to Jaina temples. In this connection, it is interesting to note that the recently discovered Gudnapur inscription (Srikanthikā, Mysore, 1973, pp 61-72) of Kadamba Ravivarman (6th cent A D) mentions a Kāma-Jinālaya built by that ruler.

[Published in Seminar on Inscriptions (Madras, 1966), pp. 158-59]

No. 9

Panchapandavamalai, Wandiwash Taluk, North Arcot District.

On the front face of the rock which overhangs a natural cave containing a pool of water.

Dynasty: Pailava King: Nandipõttaraiar (Nandivarman)

Date: Regnal year 50 Language and Alphabet:

(c. 767 A.D.) Tamil

Purport: Records that Nāraṇaṇ, the son of Maruttuvar of Pugaļālaimaṅgalam, caused to be engraved an image of Poṇṇiyakkiyār attended by the preceptor (guravar) Nāganandi.

TEXT

- Nandippöttarasarkku aymbadāvadu Nāganandiguravar
- 2. irukka Ponniyakkiyar padimam kottuvittan
- 3. Pugalālaimangalattu Maruttuvar magan Nāraņa-
- 4. n[| +]

Notes. "Ponniyakkiyār is the honorific plural of Ponniyakki (Sanskrit: Svarņa-yakşi), which consists of the Tamil word pon, 'gold' and iyakki, the Tamil form of Sanskrit yakşi There is hardly any doubt that, of the group of five figures which are engraved in the cave below the inscription, the sitting female figure represents Ponniyakki".

P B Desai (Jamism in South India, p. 40) identifies Ponniyakki with Siddhāyikā, the characteristic attendant deity of Mahāyīra.

[Published in Ep. Ind, Vol. IV, pp. 136-37]

No. 10.

Pallimadam, Aruppukkottai Taluk, Ramanathapuram District.
On the wing-stone at the entrance to the Kālanāthasvāmin temple.

APPENDIX

King · Maganjadaiyan Dynasty: Pāndya Date: Regnal year 26 Language and Alphabet: (782 A.D.) Tamil, Vatteluttu

Purport: This fragmentary inscription appears to record a gift of 100 sheep by Sattan-Gunattan of Kunnur in Karunilakkudi-

nadu for supplying ghee to a deity in the temple of Tirukkattamballi at Kurandı.

TEXT

- 1. Srīmat-kō-Māranjadaiya
- 2. irubattāgu iv-vāņļu Veņ .
- 3. k-Kurandi-Ttirukkattambal[li] . .
- 4. tingat-padināli neykku . .
- 5. nilakkudı-nättu-Kkunnür-Cca[tta]-
- ń-Gunattan attina adu nuru [| *]

Notes: "From other records it is known that at Kurandi there flourished in the olden days an important Jaina temple named Tirukkāttāmballi. This stone may have belonged originally to that temple and removed thence and placed Kurandi may be identified with the in this temple. village of the same name in the Aruppukkottai Taluk"

> "Kurandi has been alternately identified with a place in Agastisvaram Taluk of the old Travancore State (Trav Arch, Series, Vol. III, p. 2) "

> > [Published in SII, Vol. XIV, No 32]

No. 11

Pallimadam, Aruppukkottai Taluk, Ramanathapuram District Wing-stone at the entrance to the Kälanāthasvāmin temple

Dynasty: Pāndya King . Maranjadaiyan Date: Regnal year 35 Language and Alphabet: (791 A.D) Tamil, Vatteluttu

Purport: Records a gift of 50 sheep to Tirukkāttāmballi at Kurandi in Venbu-nadu in order to supply to the temple 5 nali of ghee everyday.

TEXT

- 1. Śrimat-kō-Māganjadaiyagku yān-
- 2. du muppattaiñju idan-edi[r*]
- 3. [āgu] ivv-andu Venbu-nā-
- 4. ttu-Kkurandı Tırukkattamba-
- Ilikku allattu idu Oladū-
- 6. r-kil Pambarur tingal an-
- ñāļi ney atta adutta ādu ai-
- 8. mbadu [| *]

Notes Like the stone bearing inscription No. 10 above. this wing-stone also must have been brought from the Tirukkāttāmballi Jaina temple at Kurandi and inserted in the Kālanāthasvāmin temple at some later date.

[Published in *ibid*, No. 39]

No. 12.

Eruvadı, Nanguneri Taluk, Tirunelveli District. On a boulder in the Irattaipottai rock.

Dynasty Pandya Date . Regnal year 43 King, Marahiadaiyan

Language and Alphabet:

(799 A D)

Tam:1

Purport This weather-worn inscription seems to record a gift of land by Irambattu-velan Sattan as palliccandam to the god (bhatāra) of Tiruviruttalai-Aruvājam in Nāţţāgguppōkku and a gift of sheep for a lamp. A Tamil verse at the end, composed by the donor himself, contains an exhortation to all to offer worship to the Aruhan on the hill

TEXT

- Śii-kō-Māgañjadaiyagku yāndu 43 ivv-ându Nāţţāgguppōkku-Tti-
- ruvıruttalaı-Aruvāļattu Paţārakarkku-ppaļļiccandamāga Nāţţukku-
- 3 gumbin Kilcceri Pappacangarey ivv-ūrongal bhūmi biggeyig-ka-
- 4 lır-pırında arumandaıkkālāl nīr-aţţu nel viļaivana iļamakkaļ še-
- 5 ydad-ın-nılam 22 v-ıjamperuńkālāl nīr-aţţu nel vıjaıvana kānam
- 6 tadı 2 Kāyalūr-kkıjān tadı 2 nılan 4 ıkkālāl nīr-aţţu nel vıļaıvana muda-
- 7 ccey mēl-adu tadı. nılan 5 vagaıyıl vayalıl adam perra. ma . ye-
- 8 n-enra kālāl nir-atţu nel vilaivadu kilpulaccey tadi . nilan
- 9 ērri-ttadi onpadu nilan 1 kālun-Karaikkāņa-nāţţu Irambāţţu-
- 10 vēļāņ Sāttan pakkal in-nilattāl yirai-vilai-pporuļ koņdu in-
- 11 nilattāl irai-kkadaņum vaļi irai veţţi evvagaippaţţadum Nāţ-
- 12 tukkurumbır-Kilccerı- Ppappacanrome katt-uttvadagavum [| *] 1-
- 13. ppūmi ipparišė̃y vilaikkura virru-pporulara-kkondu vi
- 14 laıyavana- pporuţ celav-olaıy aga-cceydu kuduttom Pappacangaro-
- 15 m Vēļān Sāttanukku [| *] ipparišu ippūmi kāttūttuva. . . in-nila-[kaḍa]mai-
- 16 yıl acc-añju palankāsu vevvēggu-vagai iraţţi poruļumagak

- nattu-ttandamum pattu-ppinnaiyum ippimi ivva . muttāmal attu-
- 18. ń-Kilccēri-Ppāppācāngārōn-Truviruttalai-Aruvāļattu rvv-āņķu ittē-
- varkkēy ivaņē vaitta tiruviļakku oņriņukku niyadi
 muţţāmal aţţu-
- 20. vidāga-Pperumbaļanji irukkinga padai-ttalaivan Koraikkādanukku ... 5 ū
- 21. . ńkön Māgań-kuditāńgiyum puņaiy-āga adutta šāvāmūvā-ppērādu aimbadu šrī-Silacciga-
- 22. ri * Antam-il Pal-gunatt-ādi Aruhantan-en-tam-idar-
- 23. norāta munnēy tiruttalai toļumin šeņru-vand-an-malar ... naivē toļumin .
- 24. tēvar šendiņam-avi-polir-colai tigaļvāņ-andada . . . yuļi-vandu maņiy-engo
- 25. manattu-ninaippilan-engö malar-unda makarandam pa . . .
- 26 ney [| | *] ivaiyum Vēļān Sāttan sollina i ivaiyum .
 nduin-nād-ā-
- 27. lu-nättu näsakanmär #

Notes: Lines 12 and 17-18 above mention pāppacānīār of Kilccēri in Nāttukkurumbu as the protectors and executors of the grant recorded in the epigraph. The word sānīār means "learned", "wise", etc. It thus appears that the learned brāhmaṇas (pārppār) of Kilccēri were entrusted with the task of managing this grant made to a Jaina deity.

In the vesse occurring in lines 22-26, the donor-poet eulogises the Arhat as 'one without end' (antam-il), as the 'source of many qualities' (pal-gunatt-ādi) and as the 'healer of voes' (idar-tīrkkum).

[Published in SII, Vol. XIV, No. 41]

APPENDIX

No. 13.

Tēnimalaı, Tırumayyam Taluk,	Tiruchirappalli District.
On a rock in front of the Anda	r-matha.
Dynasty:	King:
Date: 8th century A.D.	Language and Alphabet:
	Tamil

Purport States that while the Jaina ascetic Malayadhvaja was performing penance on Tenurmalai, a certain Irukkuvel (ie, a local chieftain belonging to the Irukkuvel family) visited the place and gave an endowment of land as palliccandam for the maintenance of the sage

TEXT

- 1 Svastı śri [| | *] Malaiyadhvajan Te-
- 2 nūr-malai-il tavañ-je-
- 3 yya-kkandu Irukku-
- 4. vēļ vandīttu avīppu-
- 5 rañjeyda palliccanda-
- 6 n-nālēkāl [| *] ivv-agan-kā-
- 7 ttan adı nittanjen-
- 8 ni ala [| *]

[Published in Inscriptions (Texts) of the Pudukkottai State, No 9]

No 14

Kilsättamangalam, Wandiwash Taluk, South Arcot District Natural rock surface called Perumāl-pāgai near the Jaina temple of Candranāthasvāmi

Dynasty Pallava King Kampavarman

Date 6th regnal year Language and Alphabet.

(876 A.D.) Tamil

Purport: Records that Mādēvi, the wife of Kāṭakatiyaraiyar, renovated the Jaina temple (turukkōyil) and monastery (pāli) built a mukha-maṇḍapa, constructed a temple for the Yakṣa-bhaṭāri and gifted a big bell to that temple.

TEXT

- 1. Svasti šrī [| | *]Kampapanmagku yāņ-
- 2. d-ārāvadu Kāţakatıyaraiyar ma-
- 3. navātti Mādēvi it-tirukkō-
- 4. yil pudukki muka-mandagam-e-
- 5. duppittu pāļi pudukķi Yak-
- 6 ka-paţţārı-ttırukkoyill-edu-
- 7, ppittu ipp-pallikk-itta
- 8 perumanı onru üttuvittä] [| | *]

Notes. This inscription bears ample testimony to the fact that Kilsattamangalam flourished as an important Jaina stronghold during the latter part of Pallava rule.

No 15

On the rock at Kalugumalai.	,
Dynasty:	King :

Kalugumalai, Kovilpatti Taluk, Tirunelveli District.

Date: 8th century A.D. Language and Alphabet:

Tamil, Vatteluttu

Purport: Records that the sacred image (trumē ni) was caused to be made by the preceptor Nāgaņandi, the pupil of the preceptor Singanandi of Āṇanūr.

TEXT

1. Sri [| [*] Ananur Singanan-

APPENDIX

- 2. di-kkuravadigal mā-
- 3. "pākkar Nāgapandi-kkurava-
- 4. digal leyvitta tirumēni [| *]

Notes: Vide No. 9 above, an inscription of the 8th century, which also alludes to the preceptor named Naganandi.

[Published in Ep. Ind., Vol.IV, p. 156, f.n. 6].

Nos.16-17.

No. 16.

Tamil

Purport: States that the work (of having the image made) was that of Ajjanandi

- 1. Śrī-Accaņan-
- 2 di seyal [| *]

[Published in SII, Vol XIV, No. 129]

No. 17

Purport: States that the image (below which the inscription is engraved) was to be protected by the assembly of Na¢azuppokku

- 1. Sri-Nādā-
- zuppök[ku]
- 3. šabhaiyār-rakşai [| | *]

For more information on Ajjanandi, figuring in No 16 above, see Notes under No. 40 below.

[Published in SII., Vol. XIV, No. 130]

IAINA EPIGRAPHS IN TAMIL

No. 18.

Kalugumalai, Kovilpatti Taluk, Tirunelveli District.

Between the second and third sections on the rock at Kajugumalai.

Dynasty · Pāņķya King : Māgaājadaiyan

Date: Regnal year 3 Language and Alphabet:
(A.D. 865) Tamil, Vatteluttu

Purport: This inscription, worn out in parts, refers to the Jaina god Tirumalaidevar of Tiruneccuram in Neccura-nadu and records an arrangement made by Gunasagara-bhatara for feeding ten ascetics (vairagyar) including one bhatara who expounded the sacred doctrine (siddhantam uraikkum bhatarar).

TEXT

- 1 Sri-kō-Māranjadaiyarku yāndu [3 vadu] Neccu-
- 2 ga-nāţţu-Ttıruneccugattu Tırumalaıttē-
- 3. varkku Gunasāgara-bhaţārar šeyvitta [Ku]-
- 4 Juva [paina] llur Tumbur-kkurgattu Kadan-
- 5. daikudi Dharmmacittan-ana. yamarar Tiruma-
- 6. l-adaiñjirundu siddhantam uraikkum pa-
- 7 tārar-uļļīttu-ppadinmar vayirāgyarkku [ā]-
- 8 hāra-dānam-āga-ttan-mudal kudutt-adippit-
- 9. ta kiņagu mēlai Vīranāraņa ēgi . . na
- 10. naduvil Dharmmacittanum Kilaittirumälu[ga]
- 11 vāraņai niccilu sīda-ppatārarē niccilu-
- 12 m Uttamasilanum Varasundharie
- 13. niccilum Tirumāl ta . e. nicci-
- 14. lum . ngavīraņum nāţţu-ccerue [nicci]-
- 15. lum [niccilum] šeyvi[k*]ka kadvar [| . | *]

Notes: Among those entrusted with the task of implementing the provisions of the 'grant is mentioned the sida-ppajārar

(= Sanskrit. sişya-bhatāra an expression by which the disciple/disciples undergoing training as Jama teacher/teachers is/are obviously meant.

No. 19.

Kajugumalai, Kovilpatti Taluk, Tirunelveli District.

Between the second and third sections of the rock at Kajugumalai

Dynasty Pāndya

King. Māranjadaiyan

Date. Regnal year 3

Language and Alphabet:

(A D 865)

Tamil, Vatteluttu

Purport This inscription, worn-out in parts, refers to the Jaina god Tirumalaidēva of Tiruneccuram in Neccura-nādu and records an arrangement made by Guṇasāgara-bhaṭāra for feeding five ascetics (vairāgyar) including one bhaṭāra who expounded the sacred doctrine (siddhāntam uraikkum bhaṭārar)

- 1 Srī-kō-Māgañjadaiyagku yāndu [3 vadu] Neccuga-nā-
- 2 ttu Tiruneccugattu Tirumalaittevarkku Gu-
- 3 pasagara-bhaţatar seyvitta Kuluvanainailū-
- 4 r Mılalaıkürgattu Peruna[va]lür .dasa-
- 5 n-agiya Madevanakkan njirundu siddhantam
- 6 uraikkum bhatarar ullitt-aivar vayirakki-
- 7 yarkku ähara-danam-aga tan-mudal kuduttu adi-
- 8 ppitta-kinagu . niccilum . . ni-
- 9 ccilum . niccilum palavarai .
- 10 tinaikkalattal niccilun-Tirumalai Viranum
- 11 ka . . šuņakan ševvaka ..
- 12. c-cevittan konda

13.	ivvūr	•		•	•		•	
14								

[Published in SII., Vol V, No. 406]

No. 20.

Ayyampājaiyam, Palani Taluk, Madurai District Above the natural cave on the hill called Aivarmalai.

Dynasty · Pāṇḍya King · Varaguṇa II
Date : Saka 792, Regnal year 8 Language and Alphabet ·
(A D. 870) Tamil, Vaṭṭeluttu

Purport · Registers a gift of 505 kāṇam of gold by Sāntivīra-kkuravar of Kāļam, the disciple of Guṇavīra-kkuravadīgaļ for offerings to the images of Pārsva-bhaṭāra (i.e. Pārsvanātha) and of the attendant yakṣīs at Tiruvayīrai, which he had renovated, and for the feeding of one ascetic (adīgaļ)

TEXT

- 1 Sakarai-yandu elunürgu-ttonnügg-irandu
- 2 pondana Varagunarku yandu ettu Kunavira-kku-
- 3 ravadıgal manakkar Kalattu Santivira-k-
- 4 kuravar Tiruvayırai Pärisva-paţāraraiyum-lyak-
- 5 kı-avvaıgalaıyum pudukkı ırandukku-muţ-
- 9 tav-aviyum-or-adigalukku sor-aga amaittana
- 7 pon aiññurr-aindu kanam [| | *]

Notes: "The images sculptured on the brow of the cavern on this hill, as well as the reference in this record indicate that a Jaina colony flourished on this hill in the 9th century A.D."

[Published in SII, Vol XIV, No 22]

No. 21

Vedāl, Wandiwash Taluk, North Arcot District On a boulder in front of a natural cave at Vedāl.

Dynasty Cola

King . Aditya I

Date 885-86 A D

Language and Alphabet
Tamil, Tamil and Grantha

Purport: Records that, a dispute having arisen between Kanaka-vira-kurattiyār, a female disciple of Guṇakirti-Bhaṭāra of Viḍāl alias Mādēvi-Ārāndimaṅgalam and her five hundred lady pupils on the one and a group four hundred nuns on the other, the inhabitants of the locality, who were the lay disciples of the school to which Kanakavīra-kurattiyār belonged, undertook to feed her and her lady pupils

- 1 Svasti šrī [| | *] kov-Irāšakēšarīparmarkku yāņļu padinālāvadu Si-
- 2 ngapura-nattu-kkî jva ji Vidal-Madevi-Ārandi mangala-
- 3 mudaiya Guņakirtti-Bhaţārar-vali māņākkiyār Kanakavīra-kkuratti-
- 4 yaraiyum-avar-vali manakkiyaraiyum Tapasigal nanuguvarkkum
- 5 kollādamaiyil ikköyir-pillaigal-aiññürruvarkkum vali-ilāruń-
- 6. kātt-ūţţuvom-ānom[| [*] Engaludaiya sva-rakşai idu iraksippār-adinilai engal
- 7 talai-mēl-ana [| | *] Mādēvi-Ārāndimangalam-udaiya Kanakavīra-kkurattiyār-
- 8. ttangaj-[u]r-magaj-adanamaiyil
- 9 mukkiyarum-ıdu kappar [| | *] Avarga! sva-rakşai idanaı ırakşıppar-a-

- 10. dinilai en talai-mēl-ana [| *]
- 11. . . danum-Kālanum mu-
- 12. dal-āgiya Mādēvi-Ā-
- 13. randımangalam-udaiya
- 14. Kanakavīra-kkurattiyār tan-
- gal magalār-ādanamayil
- 16. iduv-ellan-tan-
- 17. gaļ kāval [| *] Idaņai tin-
- 18 gu ninaittar Gangaiy-idai-Kkumarı idai
- 19 elunürru kādamuñ-ceyda pāva-
- 20 n-kolvār kāvalanukku pilaittā-
- 21 r-āvār

Notes (1) In the name Kanakavira-kurattiyār, kurattiyār is the honorific plural feminine form of Sanskrit guru.

(2) In the place-name Mādēvi-Ārāndi (or Ārandi)-mangalam, Ārāndi or Ārandi is, in all probability, a derivative of Sanskrit *Arhantikā*, 'a Buddhist or Jaina nun'.

[Published in SII, Vol III, No 92]

No. 22

Sittannavašal, Kulattur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli District. On the rock south of the rock-cut Jaina temple

Dynasty Pandya

King Srīvallabha

Date 9th century A D

Language and Alphabet Tamil (verse), Tamil

Purport This partly damaged inscription in Tamil verse states that on behalf of the king Srivalluvan, who had the title of avanipasēkhara, a certain Ilan-Gautaman, who is described as an āśiriyan (=ācārya) of Madurai, repaired the aga-mandapa of the Arivar temple at Annalvāyil and built the mukha-mandapa in

front of it Some gifts of land were also made to the priests of the temple

- 1. Svasti śri [| | *] Tirundiya perum-pugal-Ttaivadarišanatt-aruntava-munivanai-pporut-celav-araiyara
- 2. . . kkula-nılaımaı-ljan-Gautaman-enum vajankeju tırunagar Madıraı-asırıyan avaney pa-
- 3 r-mujud-āņda Pañcavar-kula-mudal-ārkeļu vaivēļ-avaņipašēkaraņ širkeļu šeṅgōr-Cirival-
- 4 luvanukk-enr-i-Ppalavuń-kuriköl-inid-avai on[diral] nadivaniyatt-aranbi-mūdūr-k-
- kaduvalam perukki-ppann-amar-köil pängura-cceyvittärana-pperum mikk-olukkavi-
- 6 kkum-pūraņa-mālai poliyav-öngi anda 1 viļakkiņkamaņaņ-kāņiyuñ-cā-
- 7. tti-vayakkalun-guna-migu-sirappin kurittu melukkuppuram-oru muntu mavum palukkara mu-
- 8 şaiyal valipaduvağukk-arai-kkağı mamai nokki Ağğalvail-Ağıvai köiğ
- 9 munnāļ maņdagań-kallālēy nirii-kkaņdōr maiuļuņ-kāmaru viļuccir-uļ-
- 10 lodu purambal-olimiga-ppökki marrum-ellänkurra-nikki ädi-vēndar
- 11. agañ-cē valakkı māšara nirii-ttiru-vargañjey pāvai ne-
- 12. du-tūņ-orupa . . . nagar-amaittu nīd-oļi viļakkineyppugam-āg-ena
- dai vayalöd-et . . kūriya vagaiyān-ādi nanramaitta valiy-aravö-
- 14. rku nila-mummäcce aliyā vagaiyār-kandanan-e kikkol -

- 15. gai-Ppalgunattonei | | Tinni nalan pagappi rrenaga
- 16. mannila . sir Madirai asiriyan-Annalagamandaga-
- 17. m pudukki äng-Azıvar-köil mugamandagam-cduttan mun | | -

Notes: The reference to the renovated temple twice (lines 8 and 17 as Agivar-köyil. i.e., the temple of Agivar is interesting. Agivan which means 'wise', 'knowing', etc., also stands for the Arhat (See Winslow's Tamil-English Dictionary, s v.).

In line 6 of the text above a plot of land is called amanan- $k\bar{a}ni$ where amaṇan is the tadbhava of sramana.

The reference to Ilan-Gautaman as Madirai-āsiriyan shows that the Pāndyan capital continued to be an important Jama centre even in the middle of the 9th century A D

The findspot of the inscription is mentioned in line 8 as Annalvāyil In the place-name Sittannavāsal (Sittan (Skt Siddha) +Annal + vāyil) both Sitta and Annal stand for the Arhat

[Published in SII, Vol XIV, No 45]

No. 23.

Pallima¢am, Aruppukkottai Taluk, Ramanathapuram District On a stone in the northern wall of the mandapa in the Kālanātha-svāmin temple

Dynasty · King

Date . 9th century A D Language and Alphabet .

Tamil, Vatteluttu

Purport. Registers a gift of 5 sheep by Sattan Karı for one perpetual lamp to be burnt in the temple of Tirukkattamballidevar at Kurandı in Venbu-nadu.

APPENDIX

TEXT

- 1. Svasti šrī [| | *] Veņbu-nāţţu-Kkurandi Tirukkāţţāmballi-ttēvarkku tiruno-
- 2 nda-vilakk-onfinukku ivvur-Ccattan-Kari attina adu ai-
- 3. mbadu |-- ldu ıvvür ürāruń-gaņattārum kāval [| *]

Notes The text ends with the stipulation that the gift is to be protected by the residents and ganattar of Kurandi indicating thereby that the village was predominantly, Jaina by persuasion

This slab also appears to have originally belonged to the Jama temple named Tirukkāṭṭāmballi at Kuraṇḍi nearby, and to have been removed thence along with the wingstones bearing inscriptions Nos 10 and 11 above

[Published in SII, Vol XIV, No 34]

Nos 24-28

Anaimalai, Madurai Taluk, Madurai District Below the images of the Jaina gods sculptured in half-relief on the rock overhanging a natural cave.

Dynasty

King

Date 9th century A D

Language and Alphabet.

Tamil, Vaţţeluttu

No 24

Purport · States that the image beneath which the inscription is engraved) is to be protected by the officials of the administrative departments (tinaikkaļattār)

- 1 Sri-Tinai-
- 2. kkalattār
- 3 rakşaı [| *]

[Published in SII, Vol XIV, No 100]

No. 25.

Purport: States that the image (beneath which the inscription is engraved) is to be protected by the official accountant(s) or arbitrators of Porködu.

TEXT

- 1. Sri-Pogko-
- 2 ttu-kkarana-
- 3. ttar rakşaı [| | *]

[Published in SII., Vol. XIV, No. 101]

No. 26.

Purport. States that the sacred image (beneath which the inscription is engraved), caused to be made by Ajjanandi, was to be protected by the 'assembly' (sabhā) of Narasingamangalam The revenue accountants (puravuvariyār) are also mentioned

TEXT

- 1 Sri-Narasıngamangalattu
- 2 sabhaiyār rakşai [| *] Accaņan-
- 3 dı seyvitta tırumenıyum parıvara-
- 4. puravu-variyār pē[ramu]m [| | *]

[Published in SII, Vol. XIV, No. 102]

No. 27.

Purport: States that the image of the Yakşa (iyakkar) was caused to be made by Ceduliya-Pāndi of Peruvembārgūr in Tenkaļavaļinādu.

- 1. Srī Tenkalavalı-nāţţu-
- 2 Pperuvembargur-Ccedu-
- 3 jiya-Pandi seyvi-
- 4 cca iyakka[r pa*]dumam [| | *]

 [Published in SII, Vol XIV, No. 103]

No 28

Purport. States that the sacred image (beneath which the inscription is engraved) was caused to be made by Eviyampūdi of Vēţṭañjēri in Venbaikkuḍi in Venbaikkuḍi nad that it was to be protected by the department of revenue accountants.

TEXT

- 1 Sri-Venbaikku-
- 2 di-nattu Ven-
- 3 baikkudi Vēt-
- 4 ţañjēri pudumai
- 5 Eviyampūdi
- 6 seyvitta ti-
- 7 rumeni | * livai
- 8 puravuvarı-
- 9 kkaņattā-
- 10 rrakşaı[| | *]

[Published in SII, Vol XIV, No 106]

Notes The fact that government departments and officials were entrusted with the protection of these images is further evidence for the fact that Jainism enjoyed great popularity in the Pāndya kingdom even as late as towards the close of the 9th century A.D

No. 29-32.

Uttamapāļaiyam, Periyakulam Taluk, Madurai District. Below the images of Jaina deities sculptured in low relief on the face of the rock called the Karuppannasvāmin rock.

Dynasty:....

King:......

Date: 9th century A.D.

Language and alphabet Tamil, Vatteluttu

No. 29

Below the second image

Purport: This damaged inscription contains reference to Kuranditirtha, the ancient Jaina stronghold, and to Candraprabha

TEXT

- 1. Sri-Venbunattu
- 2. Villi Kurandı-tti-
- 3 ruma ka mava
- 4. . candrapravan
- 5.. kku

[Published in SII., Vol. XIV, No 121]

No 30.

Below the third image.

Purport: Registers that the sacred image was made by Arişţanēmi-periyār, the disciple of Aşţōpavāsı-Kanakavīra.

- Śri-Aţţōpavāsi Ka[ŋakavi]-
- 2. ra-māņākkar Ariţţa-

APPENDIX

- 3. nēmi-pperiyār sey-
- 4. da [tirumēṇi] [| | *]
 [Published in SII, Vol. XIV, No. 122]

No. 31

Below the tenth image

Purport. Records that the work (of having the image made) was that of Aijanandi.

TEXT

- 1 Sri-Accanan-
- 2 di seyal [| *]

[Published in SII, Vol XIV, No. 126]

No 32

On a boulder near the Kiruppannasvāmin rock

Purport Registers a grant of 11 kasu by Anantavira-adigal for a lamp to the god Tiruguṇagiridēva and states that the adigal in charge of the temple (palli) should burn the lamp with the interest accruing out of the money granted

- 1 Sri-Tirukkunagiri-ttevarkku-ttiruvilakku-
- 2 kku Anantavira-adıgal attına [kasu] padino-
- 3 nru ikkāšin poli kondu dēvarkku muţ-
- 4 ţāmai-cceluttuvār-ānār ippaļļiy-udai a-
- 5 digal [| *] aram vēņduvār-idu pilaiyāmai-cceyga [||*]
 [Published in SII, Vol XIV, No. 128]

Notes: No. 29 above affords one more instance to illustrate the predominance of Kurandı as an important Jains stronghold in the 9th century A D.

For more details on Ajjanandi (No. 31 above), see notes under No. 40 below

In No 32 above, the hill is called Tiru-guna-giri and the deity is described as the Lord $(d\bar{e}va)$ of the hill.

Nos. 33-40

Ayyampāļaiyam, Palani Taluk, Madurai District.

Inscriptions engraved below images near the natural cavern in the hill called Aivarmalai.

No 33

Below the second image

Purport. States that the image in question was caused to be made by Varadapāņi of the village Vaḍapalļi-āramvicci

TEXT

- 1. Srī-Vadapaļļi-ā-
- 2 ramvicci Vara-
- 3 dapāņi šeyal [||*]
 [Published in SII, Vol XIV, No 107]

No 34

Below the fourth image.

Purport States that the image in question was caused to be made by Ajjapandi.

APPENDIX

TEXT

- 1. Sri-Accapan-
- 2. di seyal [| *]

[Published in SII, Vol. XIV, No 108]

No 35

Below the seventh image.

Purport States that the image in question was caused to be made by Indrasēna

TEXT

- 1. Sri-Indirasenan
- 2 seyal [| *]

[Published in SII, Vol XIV, No 110]

No 36

Below the eighth image

Purport states that the image in question was caused to de made by Mūvēndan, a resident of Nālkūr in Venbu-nādu.

TEXT

- 1 Sri-Venbunātţu Nā-
- 2. lkur kudiyan
- 3 [Mūve]ndan seyal [| *]

[Published in SII, Vol XIV, No 111]

No 37

Below the ninth image

Purport · States that the image in question was caused to be made by $\bar{A}ri$, a $p\bar{a}dam\bar{u}latt\bar{u}\eta$ of Tiruvarambam

- 1. Triuvarambattil [Pāda]-
- 2. mulattan Arı seyda
- 3. šeyai [| *]

[Published in SII., Vol. XIV, No. 112]

No 38.

Below the tenth image

Purport States that the image in question was caused to be made by Mallisena-pperiyan of Perumadai, belonging to the Vira-samhga

TEXT

- 1 Sri- Vira-sangattu-Pperumadai
- 2 Mallisēna- pperiyān
- 3 seyal [| *]

[Published in SII, Vol XIV, No 113]

No. 39

Above the natural cave

Purport. States that the image of the god $(d\bar{e} \, var)$ was caused to be made by Avvaṇandi-kkurattiyār, the lady disciple of Paṭṭini-kkurattiyār of Perumbatti- \bar{u} r

- 1 Sri-Perumbattı-
- 2. ur Pattini-kkura-
- 3. ttıyar manakkıya-
- 4 r Avvanandı-k-

- 5. kurattıyar sey-
- 6. vitta devar

[Published in SII, Vol. XIV, No. 117]

No. 40

Above the natural cave

Purport. Registers a gift of 2 gold kaļanju coins by Vaţţam-Vadugi, the śāvikūti (śrâvikā) of Kuvanaccēri for conducting the avippuram service to the god Ayırai-malai-dēvar.

TEXT

- 1 Kuvaņaccēri-ttattār-ccā-
- 2 vikitti Vattam-Vadu-
- 3 gi krī-Ayirai-malai-dēva-
- 4 rkk-avippuram-atti-
- 5 na ponn-iru-kalanju | --

[Published in SII, Vol XIV, No 116]

Notes Ajanandi, figuring in No 34 above, finds mention in Tamil Jaina inscriptions of the 9th century from Vallimalai, North Arcot District, Anaimalai, Aivarmalai, Karungalakkudi and Uttamapalaiyam, Madurai District, Eruvadi, Tirunelveli District and Tiruccanattumalai, former state of Travancore For a discussion on his place in the history of Jainism in Tamilnadu, see P B Desai Op cit., pp 62-64

The name Paţţini-kkurattiyār, paţţini meaning 'fasting', occurring in No 39 above, is interesting. A certain Paţţini-kkuratti-adigal figures in another Tamil inscription written in 945 A.D in the reign of the Cola king Parantaka I (See, PB, Desai. Op cit, pp 41-42)

No. 41.

Kajugumalai, Kovilpatti Taluk, Tirunelveli District. On a stone lying near the Ayyanar temple.

Dynasty:...... King:......

Date: 9th-10th centuries A.D Language and Alphabet:

Tamil, Vaţţeluttu

Purport Records some gifts including two perpetual lamps and 25 sheep to the deity Tirumalaimer-bhatarar of Tiruneccuram by Sattan Kali of the ceri of Kalakkudimangalam. Another grant of one lamp and 25 sheep is also recorded.

TEXT

- 1 Srī [||*] Tiruneccugattu-Ttirumalaimēg-
- 2 paţāra[r]kku Kaļakkudımangala-
- 3 ttu-cceri-Ccattan Kalı itta nonda-
- 4 vilakk-irandu [ca]rum ongu adu iru-
- 5 pattainju [| *] ivai ūrār rakşai [| *]
- 6 Ivan-adiyarai siyvita yi
- 7 Vilakk-onru ādu irupattaindu [| *]

Notes The deity is named herein as Tirumalaimer-paţarar, i e., the Bhaţara (installed) on the Tirumalai (the sacred hill), i e, Kalugumalai

[Published in SII, Vol. V, No. 308]

No. 42.

Kilsattamangalam, Wandiwash Taluk, North Arcot District Natural rock-surface called Perumal-parai near the Jaina temple of Candranathasyami

Dynasty Cola
Date · 10th regnal year

(995 A D.)

King: Rajaraja I Language and Alphabet: Tamil Purport: Records a gift of land for a perpetual lamp to be burnt in the palli of the god Vimalasri-Āryatīrtha-palli-ālvār by Baladēva-bhatāra, the disciple of Ādidēva

TEXT

- 1 Svastı şri[||*] Köv-Irājarājakēsarıvarmarku yāņļu 13 āvadu Veņkunta-kköttattu Veņkunta-nāttu- Ccāttamangalatt-ūrōm engal-ūr-ppalļi Vimalasrī-
- 2 y-Āryatīrtta-paļļi-āļvārkku srī-Nandidēvar māņākkar ip-paļļiy-udaiya Baladēva-pidāraņ vaitta triunandāviļakkukku engaļ-ūr-ppūmi perum tūmbu pāyum nilam Ilādaiyār ceruv-iraņ-
- 3 dırkum tenpark-ellar Tanıkkundıgalukkum kidangalceruvir-kolurkuttikku vadakkum melpark-ellar tiruvadıgal-pogattırku-kkilakkum vadapark-el-
- 4 lai karambaikkum vilādupāraikkut-terkun-kilpārkellai karambaikkum palippattiyin kolukkuttikku mērku in-nār-poiellaiyul- igappattu un-nilam-olivinri vilaiyir-kudutt-irai-
- 5 y-ilicci köyil-variy-iladu ponda kudimaiyum nir-nilaiyum in-nilam-uluda kudiyaiyum palliyaiyum kattapperadad-agavum in-nilattukku talai-nir-kad ii-nir paya-pperuvad-agavum [||*]

Notes While narrating the boundaries of the gift land, there is a reference, in line 3, to tiruvadigal-bhōgam which stands for lands given for the enjoyment of Jaina ascetics (adigal)

This inscription makes it clear that Kilsattamangalam continued to be a live Jama centre even in the end of the 10th century A D

[Published in Seminar on Inscriptions (Madras, 1966), pp 160-61 [

No. 43.

Pañchapāndavamalai, Wandiwash Taluk, North Arcot District.

On the western face of the rock which overhangs a natural cave containing a pool of water

Dynasty · Cōla

Date · Regnal year 8

(10th century A D)

King · Rājakēsarīvarman Language and Alphabet · Tamīl

Purport: Records that, at the request of his queen, Ilāḍa-mahādēviyār, Vīra-Cōļa re-assigned to the god of Tiruppāņmalai certain incomes from the village of Kūragaņpāḍi

- I Svastı šrī [| *]
- 2 Köv-Irāja-Rājakēšarīvarmarku yāņņu 8 āvadu Paņuvūr-kköţtattu-Pperun-Timirināţţu-Ttiruppāņ-malaip-ppō-
- 3 gam-ägiya Küraganpädi iraiyili-palliceandattai kilppagal-ända Ilädaräjargal karpüra-vilai kondu iddharaman-ke-
- 4 ttu-ppogingad-engu udaiyar-Iladarajir Pugalvippavar-Gandar maganar Vira-Solar Tiruppanmalai-deva rai-ttiruv-a-
- 5 di-ttolud-elund-aruli irukka ivar deviyar-Ilada-mahadeviyar karpura-vilaiyum-anniyaya-vavadandav-iraiyum-o-
- 6 lind-arula-vendum-enru vinnappañ-jeyya udaiyār-Vira-Solar karpūra-vilaiyum-anniyāya-vāvadandavirai-
- 7 yum-oliñjom-engu arucceyya Agiyur kilavan-agiya Vira-Solav-llada-ppēraraiyan-udaiyar kanmiyēy-ā-
- 8 nattıy-agav- idu karpula-vilaiyum-anniyaya-vavadandairaiyum-olinju sasanan-ceyda-padı []*] Iduv-a-

- 9 Iladu karpūra-vilaiyum-anniyāya-vāvadandav-iraiyum ip- palliccandattai-kkolvān Gangaiy-i-
- 10 dai-Kkumariy-idai-cceydar-seyda pavań-kolvar-iduvallad-ip-palliccandattai keduppar vallavarai
- 11 .. .nruva [| *] Id-dharmmattaı rakşıppān pāda dhūļiy-en-galaı mēlana [| *] Ara-magavarka aramalla tunaiy -illai ||

Notes Though the shrine to which the inscription refers is only designated as that of 'the god of Tiruppānmalai (Tiruppānmalai-dēvar), the expression palliccandam in line 3 and again in the imprecatory passages in lines 9 and 10 proves that the shrine must have been a Jaina one For, palliccandam usually means a gift to a 'palli', a Jaina religious institution

P B Desai (Jainism in South India, pp. 39 and 41) suggests that Tiruppāmmalai-devar must be the name of the seated Jaina carved above the figure of a tiger on the same rock which bears the inscription

[Published in Ep Ind, Vol IV, pp-137-40]

No 44

Tirakkol, wandiwash Taluk, North Arcot District On the east face of the boulder containing Jaina images

Dynasty Cola
Date 10th century

King Parakesarivarman Language and Alphabet Tamil

Purport This partly damaged inscription records some provision, including a gift of 200 sheep, for the daily supply of 2 nali of ghee and 10 nāli of oil to the Jama temple mai-sitta-Perumballi at Srīdandapuram in Ponnūr-nādu, a subdivision of Venkunra-kköttam, by one Era-Nandi alias Naratonga-Pallavaraiyan of

Nelvēli in Nelvēli-nādu of Paņaiyūr-nādu, a division of Cojanādu.

TEXT

- 1. Svasti śrī [| *] Kō-Pparakēśaripa-
- 2. nmarku yandu mungavadu Cola-nattu-
- 3. ttenkarai-Ppanaiyūr-nāţţu Nelvēli-nāţţu
- 4. Nelvēliy-udaiyān Era-Nandiy-agiya Naratonga-
- 5. Ppallavaraiyan Venkunga-kköttattu
- 6. Pponnur-nattu Sridandapurattu-
- 7. . mai-sitta-Perumballi irukkun-Gura . narkku candiradi-
- 8. ttar-ullayalavun-nısadıppadı attu ney iru-nāliyum
- 9. ennei padināļiyum nišadippadi tuvadāga vai-
- 10 ttän idu muţţāme aţţuvippa. runuru ādu-
- 11. m kondu attuvippān nāna. idu mu-
- 12 ttuvadāyil i-ppal-
- 13 likkum-i-ccavaiyārk-
- 14 kum pilaittär-ävar
- 15. 1-ppalliyār [[*]

[Published in SII, Vol XIX, No. 51]

No. 45.

Singavaram, Gingee Taluk, So On a rock in the Tirunatharku							
Dynasty	King						
Date: 10 century A.D.	Language and Alphabet						
	Tamil						
Durnort : Day and the death	of House Photograp often absend						

Purport: Records the death of Ilaiya-Bhatarar after observing fast for 30 days.

APPENDIX

TEXT

- 1 Muppadu-nāļ-anašana norta
- 2. I[lai]ya-Bhaţarar nisidigai [| *]

[Published in SII, Vol XVII, No 261]

Nos 46-75.

Kalugumalaı, Kovilpattı Taluk, Tirunelveli District.

On the first section of the overhanging rock in the Kalugumalai hill Below the rock-cut Jaina images

King Language and Alphabet

Tamil, Vatteluttu

No. 46

Purport Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Sattan Devan of Pereyirkudi, the disciple of Gunasagara-bhatara.

TEXT

- 1 Srī-Guņasāgara-paţāra-
- 2 r sidan Pereyi-
- 3 rkudi Sattan De-
- 4 van seyvitta ti-
- 5 rumēni [| | *]

[Published in SII, Vol V, No 310]

No. 47.

Purport Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Puspanandi born of Padamulattan of Tirukköttaru

- 1. Sri-Tirukköttā-
- 2. gru Pādamūla-
- 3. ttan sammam Pu-
- 4. tppanandi se-
- 5. yvitta tırumeni [| | *]

[Published in ibid., No. 313]

No. 48.

Purport [Records that] Srinandi, the senior disciple of Sri-Vardhamāna of Malaikkuļam [caused the sacred image to be made].

TEXT

- 1 Sri-Malaikkulat-
- 2. tu Sri-Vattamā-
- 3. na-pperumāņā-
- 4. kkar Stinandı
- 5

[Published in ibid, No 314]

No. 49.

Purport. Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Santisena-pperiyar, the disciple of Uttanandi-kkuravadigal of Tirukköttäru.

- 1. Sri-Tirukköttäggu
- 2. Uttanandı-kku-

APPENDIX

- 3 ravadıgal manakkar
- 4 Santisena-pperi-
- 5. yar seyvitta tiru[mē]-
- 6 [n1][| *]

[Published in ibid, No 316]

No 50.

Purport Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Kanakavīra-adīgaļ, the disciple of Baladēva-kkuravadīgaļ of Tirunagungondai

TEXT

- 1 Srī-Tirunarungo-
- 2 ndai [Bala]deva-k-
- 3 kuravadīgaļ māņāk—
- 4 kar Kanakavira-adigal
- 5 seyvitta tistumēni] [| *]

[Published in thid, No 317]

No 51

Purport Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by the (lady teacher) Piccai-kuratti of Sirupolal in Idaikkalanādu

TEXT

- 1 Sri-Idaikkala -nā-
- 2 ttu Sirupo-
- 3 [a[1] Piccai-ku-
- 4 [ra]tti šeyvit-
- 5 [ta] tuumeni [1]

[Published in ibid, No 319]

No. 52.

Purport: Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Siri... kurattıyar, the (lady) disciple of (the lady teacher) Kāṇikkuratti of Palayigai.

TEXT

- 1. Sri-Palayıraı-Kkā-
- 2 ni-kkuratıy
- 3. manakkıyar Sırı
- 4. . . kurattıyar
- 5. [seyvitta] tiru[mēni] [||*]

[Published in ibid No. 320]

No. 53.

Purport. Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Tiruchchāranattu-bhaṭārigal, the (lady) disciple of (the lady teacher) Milalūr-kkurattiyār.

TEXT

- 1. Sri-Milalur-kku-
- 2, rattıyar maņa-
- 3. kkıyār Tıruchchā-
- 4. raņat[tu-paţāri]gaļ śe-
- 5. yvitta ti[ru]mē]ni] [||*]

[Published in ibid., No. 321]

No 54.

Purport. Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by

Sirivišaiya(= Srīvijaya)-kkurattıyār, the (lady) disciple of (the lady teacher) Taccan-Sanga-kkurattıgal of Venbaikkuşli, for the merit of Sēndancātti.

TEXT

- 1. Sri-Venbaikkudı Ta-
- 2. ccap-Sanga-kkura-
- 3. ttigal manakkiyar
- 4 Sirivisaiya-kkurat-
- 5. tıyar Sendancattı-
- 6. yaı carttı seyvitta ti-
- 7. [rumēni] [| *]

[Published in ibid, No 322]

No. 55

Purport Records that the image was caused to be made by Tiruccāraņattu-kkurattigal for the merit of Sadaiyan Korri of Kottūr-nādu

TEXT

- 1. Sri-Koţţūr-nāţţu
- 2. Sigu Itali
- 3 Sadaiyan-Korriyai
- 4. cartti Tiruccarana-
- 5. ttu-kkurattigal sey-
- 6. vitta padimam [| | *]

[Published in ibid, No 324]

No. 56

Purport: Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Sigu-bhaṭāra, the disciple of [.] tori-bhaṭāra of Kugaṇḍi.

TEXT

- 1. Sri-Kugandi . . to-
- 2. ri-patārar māņākka-
- 3. r Sıru-ppatārar se-
- 4. yvitta tırumenı [| | *]

[Published in ibid., No. 325]

No. 57.

Purport: Records that the image was caused to be made by Tiruccāraņattu-kkurattīgaļ for the merit of Kūttan Kāman of Perumbaggūr in Kottūr-nādu

TEXT

- 1. Śri-Koţţūr-nāţţu Pe-
- 2. rumbaggur Kü-
- 3. ttań-Kāmanai-cāt-
- 4. ti Tiruccaranat-
- tu-kkurattigal ácy-
 - 6. da padımam | | | --

[Published in ibid, No. 326]

No. 58.

Purport: Records that the image was caused to be made by Santisena-adigal, the disciple of Vimalacandra-kkuravadigal of Tirukkottaru.

- 1 Sri-Tirukköt-
- 2 tāggu Vima-
- 3 lacandıra-kku-
- 4. ravadigal maņā-
- 5 kkar Santise-
- 6 na-adigal
- 7 seyvit[ta]
- 8 padimam [||*]

[Published in ibid, No 333]

No 59

Purport Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Aditya-bhatara, the disciple of Kanakanandi-bhattaraka

TEXT

- 1 Sri-Kanakanandı-
- 2 paţţārakar-mä-
- 3 nakkar-Adit-
- 4 ta-paţārar śeyvi-
- 5 tta-tirumēni [||*]

[Published in ibid, No. 341]

No 60

Purport: Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Kanakanandi-pperiyar, the disciple of Tirtha-bhatara of Kurandi.

- 1 Sri-Kugandi-Tirt-
- 2. ta-paţārar māņā-
- 3 kkar Ka[naka]nandı-
- 4 ppe[ri]yār śey-
- 5 vitta tirumēņi [||*]

[Published in ibid, No 345]

No. 61

Pnrport. Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Nāţţiga-bhaţārar, the (lady) disciple of (the lady teacher) Nālkūr-kkurattigaļ

TEXT

- 1 Śri-Nālkūr-kk-
- 2 rattıgal mā-
- 3 nākki Nāţ-
- 4, tıga-ppaţärar
- 5 śāra śeyvitta
- 6 tirumēni [||*]

[Published in ibid, No. 355]

No. 62

Purport Registers the name of Nālkūr-kkurattīgaļ, the (lady) disciple of Amalanēmi-bhaţāra of Nālkūr The Text may also be taken to refer to the lady disciples (mānākkigaļ) of Amalanēmi-bhaṭāra, who were also the lady teachers (kurattīgaļ) of Nālkūr.

- 1. Sri-Nälkür-Amala-
- 2 nēmi-paţāra-mā-
- 3. nākkigaļ Nāl-
- 4. kūr-kkurattigal [| *]

[Published in ibid, No. 356]

No 63.

Purport Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Purppacandran, the disciple of Kanakanandi-bhaţāra of Kurandi

TEXT

- 1. Sri-Kurandı Kanaka-
- 2. nandı-paţārar māŋā-
- 3 kkan Pürnnacandran
- 4 seyvitta tiru-
- 5 [mēni] [| *]

[Published in ibid, No 359]

No. 64

Purport Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by the lady teachers (kurattigal) of llaneccuram, who were the disciples of Tirtha-bhatāra. The text may also be interpreted to mean that the sacred image was caused to be made by llaneccurattu-kkurattigal, the lady disciple of Tirtha-bhatāra.

- 1. Sri-Tirtta-paţā-
- 2. rar māņākkigaļ
- 3. Ilaneccurat-
- 4. tu-kkurattigal [se]-
- 5. yvitta tirumen: [| *]

[Published in ibid., No 369]

No. 65

Purport Records that the image was made for the merit of *Enādi* Kuttan, the disciple of (the lady teacher) Tirumalai-kkurattigal (or, the disciple of the lady teachers of Tirumalai)

TEXT

- 1 Sri-Tıruma[laı]-k-
- 2. kurattıgal
- 3 manakkan E-
- 4 nādı Kutta-
- 5 [nai-c]cātti-c-
- 6. ceyvitta pa-
- 7 dimam [| | *]

[Published in ibid., No. 370]

No. 66

Purport: Records that the work (of having the image made) was that of Araţṭanēmmi(Arıṣṭanēmi)-kkurattıgaļ, the lady disciple of Mammai-kurattigal

- 1. Sri-Mammai-
- 2. kurattıgal
- 3 māņākkigaļ
- 4 Arattanem-
- 5 mi-kkuratti-
- 6. gal-(c)ceyal [| *]

[Published in ibid, No 371]

No 67

Purport Records that the work (of having the image made) was that of Tirupparutti-kurattigal, the lady disciple of Pattini-bhatāra The expression Tirupparutti-kkurattigal may also stand for the lady teachers (hurattigal) of the place called Tirupparutti

TEXT

- 1 Srī-Pattini-pa-
- 2 ţāra-māņāk-
- 3 kigal Tirup-
- 4 parutti-kku-
- 5 rattıgai se-
- 6. [yal] [| *]

[Published in ibid, No 372]

No. 68.

Purport Records that the work (of having the image made)

was that of Pavanandi (Bhavanandi)-bhatara, the disciple of Padikkamana (Pratikramana)-bhatara.

TEXT

- 1. Sri-Padikkama-
- 2. na-paţārar mānā-
- 3. kkar-Pavanandi-ppe-
- 4 .rıyār śeyal [[*]

[Published in ibid, No. 380]

No. 69.

Purport Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Dayāpāla-pperiyār, the disciple of Tirumalaiyar-Moni-bhaţāra of Kadaikkāţţūr.

TEXT

- 1 Sri-Kadaikattu-
- 2. r Tırumalaıya-
- 3 r-Moni-paţarar ma-
- 4 nākkar Dayāpāla-
- 5 pperiyār śeyvi-
- 6 tta tirumēni [||*]

[Published in ibid, No 383]

No. 70.

Purport Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Kunnür-yögiyär, the disciple of Vimalacandra-kkuravadigal of Tirunättür.

- 1, Sri-Tirunattur
- 2 Vimalacandıra-
- 3. kkuravadıgal māņā-
- 4. kkar Kunnur-yögi-
- 5. yār seyvitta tiru-
- 6. [mēni] [||*]

[Published in ibid, No. 384]

No. 71

Purport Records that the image was caused to be made by Santisena-adigal, the disciple of Vimalacandra-kkuravadigal of Tirukkottaru.

TEXT

- 1 Sri-Tirukköt-
- 2 tärgu Vima-
- 3 lacandıra-k-
- 4 kuravadıgal
- 5 manakkar Sa-
- 6 ntısēna-a-
- 7 digal sey-
- 8 vicca padima-
- 9 m [||*]

[Published in ibid, No- 390]

No 72

Purport · Records that the sacred image was caused to be made by Peranandi-bhatara, the disciple of Puspanandi-bhatara

- 1. Sri-Putppapandi-
- 2. paţārar māņākkar
- 3. Peganandi-pagarar se-
- 4 [yvicca] tirumēni [||*]

[Published in ibid, No 391]

No 73

Purport · Records that the image was caused to be made by Mılalür-kkurattigal, the lady disciple of Pērūr-kkurattiyār, the daughter of Mingaikumān of Pidangudi in Karaikkāna-nādu.

TEXT

- 1 Svastı śrī [||*] Karaikkāna-nāţţu-Ppidangudi Mingai-
- 2 kumānār magaļār-āna Pērūr-kkurattiyār mānā-
- 3 kkıyar Mılalur-kkurattıgal seyvitta padı-
- 4. mam !—

[Published in ibid., No 394]

No. 74

Purport Records that Kūdarkkudi-kurattiyār caused the two sacred images to be made both on her own behalf and on behalf of Sēndan of Ilavenbaikkudi.

TEXT

- 1. Sri-Ilavenbai[kkudi] Sendan perä-
- 2. lum tam-pērālum Kūdagk-
- 3. kudı-kurattıyar seyvi-
- 4. tta tırumeni irandum [||*]

[Published in ibid., No. 395]

No 75

Purport: Records that the work (1e of having the image made) was that of Milalūr-kkurattiyār and she had it made for the merit of Guņanandi-periyār, the disciple of Mūtta-Araţţa [Ariţta] nēmi-bhaṭāra of Veļarkkuļi

TEXT

- 1 Sri-Velarkkudı-Mütta-
- 2 Araţţanēmi-paţārar
- 3. manakkar Gunanandı-pe-
- 4 riyārai-ccārtti Mi-
- 5 lalur-kkurattıya-
- 6 r seyal [| *]

[Published in ibid, No 398]

Notes On the same rock surface there are nearly a hundred such label inscriptions (SII, Vol. V. No. 309-56, 358-60 and 362-404) which are all Jama as is evidenced by the fact that they are all engraved below rock-cut Jama figures. Of these texts of only 29 inscriptions have been given above selecting them on the basis of the state of their preservation and also their contents.

These inscriptions bear testimony to the fact that Kalugumalai was an important holy centre of Jainism in the 9th-10th centuries and that Jaina teachers, disciples and lay followers, both male and female, used to visit that place on pilgiimage

The Male teachers and disciples are referred to as pattaraka (= bhattaraka), patara (bhattara), kuravadigal (from Sanskrit gurupaduḥ), adigal (from Sanskrit padah), pertyār (= Sanskrit mahan) and sōgiyar while female teachers and

disciples are referred to as kurattiyār or kurattigaļ (seminine form of kuravar from Sanskrit guravaḥ) and paṭārigaļ (from Sanskrit bhaṭṭārikā). The images which are carved out of the rocks by and for the merit of monastic or lay individuals are mentioned either as tiru-mēṇi (sacred image) or as paḍimam (=Sanskrit pratimā).

It is interesting to note that the female teachers are mostly mentioned, not by any proper name, unlike as in the case of the male teachers, but with the vocational term kurattiyār or kurattigaļ meaning 'lady teacher' suffixed to the name of a place Examples are Milalūr-kurattiyār (No 75), Tiruccāraņattu-bhaṭāri (No 53) Tiruccāraṇattu-kurattigaļ (Nos 55 and 57), Nālkūr-kurattigaļ (Nos 61 and 62), Iļaneccurattu-kurattigaļ (No 64), Tirumalai-kurattigaļ (No 65), Tirupparutti-kurattigaļ (No 67), Perūr-kurattiyār (No 73) and Kūḍarkuḍi-kurattiyār (No 74) It may be reasonably concluded that these places were important Jaina strongholds and that female lay followers were the mainstay of the Jaina faith in the Tamil country

For further information on Kalugumalai and its inscriptions and their importance, see P B Desai Jainism in South India (Sholapur 1957), pp 64-68

No. 76

Tirumalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District.

On a buried rock in front of the gopura at the base of the hill

Dynasty Cöla
Date Regnal year 21
(1005 A D)

King Rājarāja I Language and Alphabet Tamil

Purport. The inscription records that the great monk (ma-munivan) Gunavira constructed a sluice near Vaigai-malai and named it after the Jaina teacher Ganisekhara-Maru-Porcūriyan. Gunavira is eulogised as one whose feet are worshipped by kings

APPENDIX

TEXT

(Lines 1-5 are in the nature of royal prasasti)

- 6. Arumolikku yandu irubattongavad-engun-kalai-puriyumatinipunan ven-kitan
- 7. Gaņiccēkara-Maru-Pogcūriyan-gan nāmattāl vāmanilai-nigkun-
- 8. kalıng-ıttu nımır Vaıgaı-malaıkku nidüli iru-marungum nel vılaıya-
- 9. kkandon kulai-puriyum-padai-araisar kondādum pādam Guņavīra-māmunivan
- 10 kulir-Vagai-kkövei [| *]

[Published in SII, Vol I, No 66]

No 77

Tirumalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District On a rock buried underneath the steps between the gopura and the painted cave

Dynasty Côla

King Parakēsarīvarman
(Rājēndra l)

Date Regnal year 12
Language and Alphabet ·

(1024 A D)

Tamīl, Tamīl and Grantha

Purport Records the gift of a lamp to the god of the Tirumalai temple, called Arambhanandin, and allots money for the maintenance of this lamp and of another lamp, donated by Sinnavai, the queen of the Pallava king.

TEXT

(Lines 1 to 21 and a part of line 22 contain the usual Tamil prasasti of the ruler)

- 22 kō-Pparakēšarīpanmar-āna udaiyār
- 23. śri-Rājēndracoladēvarku

- yāņņu 12 āvadu Jayangoņņa-soja-maņņalattu-Ppāngaja-nāttu naņuvil-vagai Mugai-nāttu-ppalji-
- 24. ccandam Vaigāvūr-Tirumalaidēvarku Iļaiya-maņinangai vaitta tiru-nandāviļakk-ongu [1*] Idarku bhūmi tirutta-kkudutta
- 25. kāšu irubadu [1*] I-ppūmiyāl candrādīttavar i-vviļakku i-ppaļļi udaiya Ārambhaņandikku nittam nandāviļakk-ogru-
- 22. m [1*] Pallavaraśar dēviyār Sinnavaiyār candrādittavar vaitta nandāvijakk-onrukku kudutta kāśu onbadu [||*]

Notes: P. B. Desai (Jainism in South India, pp. 43-44) suggests (p. 44, f. n. 2) that the name Ārambhaņandi may stand for Rşabhanātha, the first Tirthankara.

[Published in ibid., No. 68]

No. 78.

Tirumalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District.

On a smooth piece of rock near a rock-cut Jaina figure on the top

of the hill.

Dynasty: Cola King: Paraketarivarman (Rajendra I)

Date: Regnal year 13 Language and Alphabet:

(1025 A.D.) Tamil, Tamil and Grantha

Purport: Records a gift of money for a lamp and for offerings to to the Jaina temple, called Kundavai-Jinālaya, on the hill by Cāmundabbai, the wife of the merchant Nannappayan of Malliyūr in Perumbānappādi.

TEXT

(Lines 1 to 11 and part of line 12 contain the usual Tamil prasasti of the ruler)

- 12. ... kö-Pparakēśarıpanmar-āna udaiyār śrī-Rājēndracöladēvarku yāndu 13 āvadu Jayangonda-śolamandalattu Pāngala-nāttu naduvil
- 13. vagai Mugai-nāţţu-ppalliccandam Vaigāvūr-Ttirumalai śri-Kundavai-Jinālayattu dēvarku-Pperumbāṇappāḍi-Kkaraivali Malliyūr irukkum vyā-
- 14. pārī Nannapayan maņavāttī Cāmundabbai vaitta tirunandāviļakku onrīnukku-kkāśu irubadum vaitta kāśu pattum [| | *]

Notes: The name of the temple suggests that 'the shrine owed its foundation to Kundavai, the daughter of Parantaka II

(), elder sister of Rajaraja I (
) and paternal aunt of Rajardra I

Tirumalai is stated to have formed part of Vaigavur, a palliccandam, i.e., 'a village belonging to a Jaina temple'

palliccandam, i e, 'a village belonging to a Jaina temple' The names Cāmuṇḍabbai (Cāmuṇḍabbe) and Naṇṇappayaṇ clearly show that the donor and her husband were of Kannaḍa origin

[Published in SII Vol I, p 98, Ep Ind, Vol IX, pp 229-33]

No. 79

Tirumalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District

On a rock to the left of the painted cave

Dynasty Cola

Date 11th century

King Parakeśarivarman

Language and Alphabet

Tamil

Purport Records a gift of gold for the daily offerings to Palliyālvār (Jaina Tirthankara) and for feeding one ascetic (adigaļ) in the palli at Tirumalai near Vaigāvūr in Pāngaļa-nādu, a subdivi sion of Palkunga-kkōttam, by Vircēvagan Pidāran Būttugan ai d Vircamanāyakan Candayan Ayiravan belonging respectively to Irumadisola-Karunādaga-Kaduttalai and Madhurāntaka-Karunādaga-Kaduttalai, two regiments of the king

TEXT

- 1 Svastı śrf [| | *] Kō-Pparakēśaripanmarkki yāndu nālā-
- 2. vadu Palkunra-kköttattu-Ppangala-nättu Vai-
- 3 ygavur-Tirumalai-ppalliyil nısadam-oru adigalmarkku-
- 4. ccoru vaittār Irumadisola-Kkarunādaga-Kkaduttalai Vir-
- 5 cēvagan Pidāran Būttuganum Madhurāntaka-Kkarunādaga-k-
- 6 Kaduttalai Vigcamanayakan Candayan-Ayiravanum-
- 7 ruvon-candiradittar-ullalavum nirka vaittom-ida-
- 8 nukku närkkalanju ponnal vanda palisaiyyäl-ap-Pa-
- 9 Iliy-āļvārai ūttuvittom [| | *]

Notes The two personal names Būttuga and Candaya as also the names of the two regiments to which they belonged suggest that the donors huled from Karnātaka It thus becomes clear that the Jaina temple (palli) of Tirumalai was of widely acknowledged sanctity

[Published in ibid, Vol. III, No 97]

No 80.

Tirumalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District.

On the outer wall of the doorway, which leads to the painted cave

Dynasty · Cēra Kings · Adıgaımān Elini

and

Vıdukādalagıya-Perumāl

Date: C. 11th century A D Language and Alphabet

Tamil and Sanskrit, Tamil and

Grantha

Purport States that the images of a Yakşa and Yakşī, originally set up on the holy mountain of Arhat by Adigaimān Elini of the

Cēra family having become decayed in course of time, they were restored and placed under worship by Vidukādaļagiya-Perumāļ, son of Rājarāja and a later descendant of Elini. The Sanskrit verse in lines 3-7 names Elini as Yavanika and describes him as the ruler of Kēraļa while the name Vidukādaļagiya is rendered into Sanskrit as Vyāmuktaśravaņōjvala.

- 1 Svastı śrī [| | *] Cēra-vamśattu Adigaimān Elini ceyda dharmmam [| *]
- Yakşaraıyum Yakşıyāraıyum elund-arulu vittu erimanıyum i-
- 3 ttu-kka¢appēri-kkaluri-kaņģu kuģuttāņ | | Srimat-Kēraļa-bhūbhr-
- 4. tā Yavanıka-nāmnā sudharmmātmanā Tuņdīr-āhvaya maņdal-Ārha-sugirau
- Yakşēśvarau kalpitau [|] paśchāt-tat-kula-bhūşaņādhika-
- nṛpa-śrī-Rājarāj-ātmaja-Vyāmuktaśravaŋōjvalēna Takaṭā-nāthēna jīrŋŋō-
- 7. ddhṛtau [| | *] Vañjiyar kulapatiy-Elini vaguttavlyakkar-lyakkiyarō-
- 8. d-enjiyav-alivu tiruttiy-iv-Engunav-irai-Tirumalai vait-
- 9 ncıtan valı-varum-avan valı mudal-ıgalı [adiganavagan]. nul vinjaiyar
- [stha] la punaitāga maiyar kāvalan Vidukādalagiya-Perumāļēi [] | *]

Notes The Sanskrit verse names the hill on which the images were carved as Arha[t*]-sugiri while the Tamil portion names it as Engunav-igai-Tirumalai "En-gunan is an attribute of the Arhat The eight qualities are, according to Jaina books infinite wisdom, omniscience, omnipotence, boundless happiness, being nameless, without descent, without age and unobstructed."

[Published in SII, Vol. I, p. 107, footnote 1.]

No. 81.

Tirumalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District. In a small shrine below the painted cave,

Purport Records that Arıştanemi-acaryar, the disciple of Paravadımalla of Kadai-kkottur-Tirumalai, had the image of Yaksi made.

TEXT

- 1. Svasti śrī [| *] Kadaikkot-
- 2 ţūr-Ttırumalaı-Pparavādıma-
- 3 llar māņākkar Arışţanē-
- 4 mi-ācāryyar sey-
- 5. vitta yakşı-ttiru-
- 6, mēņi 11

Notes (1) It is interesting to note that a Jaina teacher named Paravādimalla figures in an inscription from Sravaņabeļagoļa and was a contemporary of Kṛṣṇarāja

[Published in SII, Vol. I, No 73]

No 82

Ammāsattıram, Pudukkottai Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District At the entrance of the natural cave, east of the rock known as Āļuruţţimalai

Dynasty . Pāndya King : Māgavarman

Sundarapandya I
Language and Alphabet
Tamil

Date · 1216 A D Language a

Purport: This damaged inscription seems to record a grant of land in Periyapallivayal as palliccandam to Nāyapār Tirumānai-malai-Āļvar by Pāmbaiyūr-uḍaiyān Senguḍi Ānainaditēnār Perumagan alias Gangādhara, a merchant Kanakacandra-pandita and his disciple Dhanmadēva-ācārya are mentioned

TEXT

	1	ruvanmarăna Tribhuvanaccakravartiga
		Sundarapāņģiyadēvarkku yāņģu
	2	di-konda-sola
	3	Udaiyar Kanakacandira-panditar manakkar Dhanmadeva-acariyanum Tiruppalli . 1 Alvar manakkar Alvan pari
	4,	. nagarattu viyapari Pambaiyür-udaiyan Sengudi Anainaditenar-perumagan-ana Gengadharar kkukalvetti i-ppira
	5	. dutta paris-āvadu Periyapallivayalil Nāyanār Tirumanaimalai-Āļvār palliccandamāy-enga- lukku arccanā-bhogamāy valugira nilam iraņdu māvuk-
	6	lai ävadu kilpäkkellai ikkulattil karaikku merkum tenpäkkellai kal-kulumiyi na terku nökki po mekk-ödiya väkkälukku
Notes	1)	The hillocks near Ammäsattiram served, in days of yore, as the abodes of the Jaina ascetics. Two other inscriptions from the village call the local deity as Tiruppallimalai-Alvar (See SII, Vol XVII, Introduction, p vii)
		It is very probable that the village name Ammāsattiram is a corrupt form of the earlier name Amaņ-sattiram

[Published in SII, Vol., XVII, No. 397]

(Sanskrit Sramanasattra)

No. 83.

Bommaimalai, Kulattur Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District. On the Bommaimalai rock.

Dynasty: Pāndya King: Kōnērinmaskondān Date: (Hijra?) year 675 Language and alphabet:

(1276 A.D.) Tamil

Purport: Registers a royal gift of the village Korramangalam in Tensiruvāyil-nādu along with tax-exemptions and privileges to the trustees (udaiyārgaļ) of the two Jaina temples Tiruppallimalai-ppalli and Tentiruppallimalai-ppalli for offerings and daily services to the deities, including the tiruppadimārīu service. Two thirds of the village was given to Tiruppallimalai-ppalli-nāyakar and the remaining one third to Tentiruppallimalai-ppalli-nāyakar.

TEXT

- 1 Svasti Śrī [| | *] Tribhuvanaccakravartti Kōnērin-
- 2 maikondān Tiruppallimalai-ppalli u-
- 3 daiyargalukkum Tentiruppallimalai-p-
- 4. pallı-udaiyargalukkum Tiruppallımalai-na
- 5 (nā)yakarkkum Tentiruppallimalai-nāyakarkku-
- 6 m tiruppadimärr-ullitta nitta-nimandan-
- 7 gaļukku-Tteņśiruvāyil-nāţţu-Kko-
- 8 rramangalam nang-ellaikk-ut-
- 9. patta nīr-nılamuń-karuñjey punśeyyum
- 10 nattamuń-töttamuń-kulamuń-kulapparippum-ut-
- 11. paţţa nilamum kadamaiyu antarāya-
- 12. mum viniyogamum taruvad-ana a-
- 13 ccum kāriyav-arāţciyum veţţi-
- 14. pāţţamum pañjupili sandivigraha-
- 15. ppēņu vāšarpēņu ilānji-

APPENDIX

- 16. naippēru tariyirai šekkigai-
- 17. ttattöli-pattamum-idaiyar-variyum ina-variyum
- 18 pon-variyum marrum-eppeyarppattinavu-
- 19. m-utpada āgāvadu mudal palliccanda-igaiyiliy-āga-
- 20. Ttiruppallimalai-nāyakarkku iru-kūrum Tentiruppalli-
- 21 malaı-nayakarkku oru-küruń-kuduttöm [| *]
 1ppadikku 1v-
- 22 v-őlai pidipád-ága-kkondu puravilum variyilun-ka-
- 23 lippittu-ccandirādittavar-selvad-āga iraņģu Tirumalai-
- 24 yılun-kallılum vetti nang-ellaiyilum sri-mukku-
- 25. daikkallum nätti-kkolga [| *] ivai Palandiparäyanejuttu
- 26 andu 675 [| *] ivai Villavarayan-cluttu
- 27 ivai Tennavadaraiyan-eluttu [| *]

Notes Interestingly enough, in line 26 of the above text, the date of the inscription is stated to be the year 675 which, in view of the fact that the record belongs to the 13th century on internal cyalence, could be referred only to the Hijia cia. Nothing could better illustrate the traditional religious tolerance of the Indians than this fact that in an era when Jainism had ceased to be a major religious force in the Fanul country, the ruling king made grants to two Jaina temples aid got them recorded in an inscription dated in the era of the Muslims

While Tentiluppallimalar is the same as Bommaimalar on which the record is engraved, Tiruppallimalar is the nearby Aluruttimalar, also an ancient Jama centre.

According to lines 24-25 the trustees were authorised to demarcate their lands with mukkudui-kkals 1e, stones bearing the figure of the triple umbrella, such stones being characteristically Jaina in their origin (See PB Desai, Op cit, p 54 and footnote 1)

[Published in Inscriptions (Texts) of the Pudukkottai State, p 658]

No. 84.

Tirupparuttikkungam, Kanchipuram Taluk, Chingleput District.

On the base of the north wall of the store-room in the Vardhamana temple

Dynasty: Sangama King Bukka II

Date: 1362 A.D. Language and Alphabet:

Tamil, Grantha

Purport. Records that the village of Mahendramangalam in the division of Mavandur was granted to the temple by the minister Irugappa,, the son of general Vaicaya. The gift was made for the merit of the king.

TEXT

- Savastı śriħ [| | *] Dundubhı-varşam Kāttigai-mādattil Pūrvva-pakşattu-Ttingaţ-kilamaiyum paurnaiyum perra Tā(Kā)tti-
- 2 gai-nāļ mahāmaņdalēśvaran Arihararāja-kumāran Srīmat(d)-Bukkarājan dharmmam-āga Vaicaya-danda-nātha- putran
- 3 Jainottaman Iruguppa-mahāpradhāni Tirupparuttikkungu-nāyanār Trailokyavallabharkku pūjaikku.
- 4 śālaikkum tiruppaņikkum Māvaņdūr-ppaggil Mahēndramangalam nāg-pāgk-ellaiyum igai-ili palliccandamāga candrāditya-varaiyum nadakka-ttaruvittār [| | *] Dharmmō-yañ-jayatu [| | *]

Notes: The fact that the deity Vardhamāna is herein called Trailōkyavallabha and as the lord (nāyanār) of Tirupparuttikkungu is noteworthy.

Irugappa, who is described in the present epigraph as *Jainōttaman*, also figures in a Sanskrit inscription of Bukka II, dated in 1388 A D. (*Ep Ind.*, Vol VII, p. 116) from the same place.

[Published in Ep. Ind., Vol. VII, p. 115]

No 85

Tirumalai, Polur Taluk, North Arcot District.

On the wall of a mandapa at the base of the Tirumalai rock, to the right of the entrance

Dynasty: Sambuvarāya King Rājanārāyana

Date: Regnal year 12 Language and Alphabet;

(c 14th century AD) Tamil, Tamil and Grantha

Purport · Records the setting up of the utsava-mūrti (Vihāra-nāya-nār) of Ponneyil-nāthar on Vaigai-Tirumalai by Nallāttāļ, the daughter of Mannai-Ponnāndai of Ponnūr

TEXT

- 1. Svasti śrī [| | *] Rajanarayana Sambuvarajarkku ya-
- 2 ndu 12 vadu Ponnur Mannai Ponnundai
- 3. magal Nallättäl Vaigai-Ttirumalaikku eriy-arula-
- 4. ppannina śri-Vihāra-nāyanār Ponneyil-
- 5 nāthar [| *] Dharmmō-yañ-jayatu [| | *]

Notes With Ponneyil-nāthar, 'the lord of the golden fortress', compare Ponneyirkon which is the same as Aruhan (i.e., Arhat). Kon is only the Tamil rendering of the Sanskrit word nātha "Ponneyil-nātha means the 'Lord of the golden fortress', i.e., the Arhat"- P.B Desai, ibid, p 45

[Published in SII, Vol I, No. 70]

Topographical index of inscriptions included in the Appendix. -

Village.	Taluk	District	Nos.
Ammāśattiram	Pudukkottai	Tıruchirappalli	82
Āņaimalai .	Madurai	Madurai	24-28
Ayyampāļaiyam	Palani	**	20, 33-40
Bommaımalai	Kulattur	Tiruchırappalli	83
Ēņuvā ģ i	Nangunera	Tirunelveli	12, 16-17
Kalugumalai	Kovilpatti	**	15, 18-19,
	_		41, 46-75
Kilsättamangalam	Wandiwash	Nort Arcot	7-8, 14, 42
Māṅguļam	Madurai	Madurai	1-3
Pallankövil	Tirutturaipund	ı Tanjavur	5
Pallimadam	Aruppukkottai	Ramanathapura	m 10-11,23
Pañchapāndavamala:	Wandiwash	North Arcot	9, 43
Puga l ur	Karur	Tiruchirappalli	4
Singavaram	Gingee	South Arcot	6, 45
Sittaņņavāšal	Kulattur	Tıruchırappallı	22
Tënimalai	Tirumayyam	,,	13
Tırakköl	Wandiwash	North Arcot	44
Tırumalaı	Polur	,,	76-81, 85
Tirupparuttikkunram	Kanchipuram	Chingleput	84
Uttamapāļaiyam	Periyakulam	Madurai	29-32
Vedāl	Wandiwash	N Arcot	21

Dynastic index of inscriptions included in the Appendix.—

Сега	80
Cōļa,	21, 42-44, 76-79
Pallava,	5, 7-9, 14
Pāņdya,	10-12, 18-20, 22, 82-83
Sambuvarāya,	85
Sangama,	84
Miscellaneous,	1-4, 6, 13, 15-17, 23-41,
	45-75, 81

(The figures refer to pages, n, after a figure to footnotes. The following other abbreviations are also used, au= author; ca = capital; ch = chapter, ci = city, co = country; comm. = commentator, de = deity, do = ditto; dy = dynasty, fe. = female; gen = general; gr = grammar, grammatical; ins = inscription(s), k = king, i = locality, lang = language; m = male; n = name, off = office, official(s); peo = people; pl = place; pr = prince or princess, prec = preceptor, q = queen; ri = river; sa = same as; Skt = Sanskrit, te = temple; t.d = territorial division, vi = village, vi = work)

Abhayamati, fe Jaina	Āditta-paţārar, donor, . 184
apprentice, 85, 90	Ādity I, Cōļa k., 160
Abhayaruci, m Jaina	Aditya-bhaţara, donor, . 184
apprentice, 85-86, 90	Adityāpa (dēva), a dēva, 121-22
ācāras, 136	Ädityapurāna, wk, 3n.
ācāryā(s), 'preceptor(s)',	Adıyarkkunallar, comm, 60
. xiv, 23, 103-05, 134, 161	aga-mandapa, 161
ācārya-pīthas, Digambara	Agananūru, Tamil wk, . 42
Jaina 145	agapporul, Love theme, 47 n.
Accapandi, s a. Ajjanandi,	Agapporul-vilakkam, Tamil
Jaina prec , 156, 165, 168, 170	gr wk, .21n, 127n, 130-31
Accanandı-ācārya,	Agastya, a Rşı and au., 18, 21
guru of Jīvaka, 70	Agattıyam, Tamıl gr wk, 130
guru of Jīvaka, 70 accu, 'coin', 152	âhāra-dāna, 157-58
Acyutaraya, Vijayanagara k,	ahimsā doctrine, v, 1-2, 5-10,
his gift to a Jama te, xvii	29, 31, 33-35, 38, 62-63, 88,
Adankoţţāśāņ,	90, 105-06, 108-09, 137
teacher, 21 and n	ahimsā, Jama principle.
Ādidēva, Jaina prec, . 174	45, 105, 107
Adigaiman Elini,	ahimsā, religion of 5, 35, 106
Cēra k, 197-98	ahımsā-dharma, . 29, 86, 89
adigal, Jama ascetic, 51,	
159, 168, 174, 192, 196-97	
	Amperun-kāppiyangaļ,

ÍNĎEX

' five major Tamil	amman, Saivite goddess, 148
kāvyas' 48n.	Ammāśattiram, (Skt. Sramaņa-
Aindra grammar, 20-21	sattra), vi., 200
Aiñjı r u-kkāppiyangaļ,	Ammāśattıram ins. of Magavar-
'five minor Tamıl kāvyas',	man Sundarapāņdya I, . 199
83 n.	Amoghavarşa I, Raştraküta k,
Aiññurguva-perumballi,	67, 68 n.
Jaina te. built by the guild	Amrtamati, q. of Anantavirya,
of 'Five Hundred', . XIV	125
Aıntiṇaı-e l ubadu,	Amrtamati, q of
Tamı $!$ wk., 47 n.	Yaśōdhaia, . 88-89
Aivarmalai, l, 169,172	Amṛtasāgara, au, 90-91,
Ājīvaka religion, 107	127-28
Ājīvaka-vāda-carukkam, a ch	Amītasēna, son of
ın Nılakeśi, 107	Tiviffan, 93
Ajja ņ andi, <i>Jaina prec</i> ,	Āgaimalai, vi . 172
156, 165, 168-69, 172	Äpaimalai Jaina ins , 164-66
Ajjaņandi-Aşţōpavāsi, Jama	Ānandamangalam, vi, xv
ascetic, . , xiv	Anantavira-adıgal, Jama
Akalanka, Jaina philosopher, 135	ascetic and donor, 168
Äļuruţimalai, <i>l.</i> , 199, 202	Anantavîrya, k. of Uttara-
alvār, Vaisnavitz missionary,	Madurā, 125
XI	Āņanūr, vi, 155
A nalanēmi-bhaţāra, Jama	anasana, 'fasting', 146, 178
prec, 185-86	anattı, (Skt ājñaptı),
amanan, from Skt. sramana,	'executor of grants', 145
163	Andai Ilayar Pavanandi,
amanan-kēni, land gitted	donor, 147
to a śramana', . 163	andanan, 'one who is all love
amaṇappalli(s),	and mercy to all living
Jaina settlement(s), . 142	creatures', . 62-63
amannan from Skt sramana,	andanar, . 62 n , 63 n.
Amaņšērkkai, (Skt Sramaņ-	Āņdār-matha, /, . 154
āśrama) gift vi, x, 143, 145	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Amitasagara, Jama prosadist, xi	Annal, s a Arhat, 163
Ammāchatram, w, 142	

Annalvāyil, s.a. Sittanņavāšal, vi., . 161-63	Ārī, donor, 170-71 Arihararāja, s.a Harihara II,
antādı, a form of	Vijayanagara k., 203
composition, 134	Arıkesarı Maşavarman,
Antai, father of Kalitika, 141-42	Pāndya k., . XII
antam-il, 'one without end', 153	Arikosari Parankusa
Apabhramsa, lang, 18	Nedumāgan, do., x, xi
Appar, Savite missionary, x1-x11	Arıştanêmi, Tırthankara, . 2
araiyan, 'chieftain', . 45 n	Arıştanemi, Jaina prec, xiv
araiy-ōlai, . 143-44	Arışţanëmi-ācāryar, donor, 199
aram (=skt dharma), 33, 42,	Arıştanêmi-kkurattıgal,
147-48, 154, 168, 176	donatrix, 187
Ārambhanandin, sa	Arıştanemi-peliyar,
Rşabhanātha, Tırthankara,	Jaina prec and donor, 167-68
194-95	Arivar, sa Arhat, 161-63
Ārāndi, Ārandi,	Arıvar-köil, Jaina te . 162-63
tadbhava of Skt	Ariyūi, vi, 175
Arhantikā, . 161	Arkakittı, brother-in-law of
Aganericcāram, Tamil	Tivitatn, 93
wk, 44 and n 45 and n	Arpagai, 11, 135
Arattanēmi, from Skt	Ārppākkam, Jaina centre, xii
Arıştanêmi, 187-88, 192	artha, one of the purușārthas, 88
Aravor , 62 n , 63 n	ārūdha, 'prediction', 136
arccanā-bhōgam, . 200	Aruhan, tadbhava of Skt. Athat,
Arhacandra, Buddhist	ix, 151, 204
scholars and Kundalakesi's	Arumoli, s a Rājrāja I, Cola k.
teacher, 106	194
arhan-namaskura, 65	Ārumukha Nāvalar, comm,
Arhantikā, 'Buddhist or	133
Jaina nun', , . 161	Ārunāţţār hill, 1, 142
Arhat, . x, 45 n, 163,	Arungalacceppu, Tamil wk,
197-98, 204	45 n.
Arhatparamēşthi,	Aryan culture, . 1, 27 n
invocation addressed to- 127	Āryan princes, Senguttuvan
Arhat-sugiri, 'holy	opposed by 59
mountain of the Arhat'. 198	Arvans, peq

Aryans, Eastern, do., 2-3, 6,	Avvaņandi-kkurattiyār, fe.
7 and n., 8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Aryans, Western, do, . 2-3	171-72
Āryāvarta, co, 3 n., 59	Äyarpädi, 1., 55, 56
āśirigar, tadbhava of Skt ācār-	
yaka, 146	
āsiriyan, tadbhava of Skt ācār-	Varaguna II, 159
ya, 'preceptor', 161-63	Ayyampāļaiyam Jaina ins.,
āsıriyaka, āsiriyıka, tadbhava of	166-72
ācāryaka, 'preceptor', .	
140-41, 146	
Aśōka, k of Avanti, 87	В
Aśōka, Mauryan emperor, 26	Baladēva(s), 126-27
āśrama of Jaina ascetics,	
54, 65, 88, 113	Baladēva-kkuravadigaļ,
astēya (non-stealing), Jaina pri-	
nciple, 45	Baladēva-pidāran, sa
Aşţopavāsı-Kanakavira, Jama	Baladēva-bhaţāra, do, 174
prec , . 167	Balarāma, brother of Kṛṣna
Aśvagrīva, Vidyādhara emperor	and a Baladeva, . 91, 127
and a Prativasudeva,	balı, sacrıficial offering, 62
91-93, 129	Bauddha darsana, 33, 48
Atan Cellirumporai, k , . 142	Baudhāyana Dharma-
atman, 'self', 5	<i>śastra</i> , wk, . 3 n, 35 n
ātmavidya, upanişadic doctrine.	Bavanandi-muni, au, 130
6-7	Bhadrabahu, Jama guru,
avanıpasēkhara, title of Pāndya	vi, 10 and n, 11 and n, 13, 41
k Srivallabha, 161-62	Bhadrabāhu-Candragupta
Avantı (-dēśa), co , 87, 89	tradition, vi 10 n
avatāras, 'incarnations', 8	Bhadramitra,
Avinayam, Tamil gr. wk,	a merchant, . 122-24
130	Bhārata, epic wk. 91, 127
Avinīta, W. Ganga k, x	Bharata-khanda, co, . 68, 85
avippuran, 154, 172	Bhartrhari, au, 44
Avırödhi- \overline{A} lv \overline{a} r, au , 134	bhațāra, 'Jaina teacher',
	157-58, 192

Bhatara, 'Jaina de'.,	151, 173	Brhat-kathā, wk. in Pais	āca-
bhat tāraka, 'Jaina teac	cher',	bhāṣā,	110-11
	184, 192	Bī hatkathākōśa, wk , .	. 10n.
bhat fārikā, 'lady teach	er', 193	Buddha-vada-carukkam,	
Bhavabhūti, an,	9 and n	a ch ın Nilakēśi, .	107
Bhavanandı-bhaţāra,		Buddhışena, a friend of	
donor,	. 189	Jivaka, .	79-80
Bhavanandin, Jaina pro		Buddhism, 1x, 106-	0 <i>7</i> , 135
Bhumidevi, Goddess E	arth, 81	Buddhism vis-a vis Kura	4, 30 n.
Bhūta-vāda, school of	materia-	Buddhistic doctrines,	106
listic thought, .	108	Buddhistic Sangha,	106
Bhūta-vāda-carukkam,	a ch ın	Buddhists, 13, 34, 1	07, 109
Nīlakēšī,	108	Bukka II, Vijayanagara	k, 203
Bôdhāyana, au,	35, 36 n.	Bukkarājan, s a.	
Bōdhayana-Dharma-śā	stra, wk.,	Bukka II, do, .	203
	35-36	Bukkarāya, do, .	118
Bommaimalatins of		$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{\bar{u}}$ ttuga, n ,	197
Kõn ë ri n maikondän	201	C	
bruhmacaryam, Jawa		Cakra, a wcapon of	
principle,	45	Tivițțan,	93
brahmadeya n, 'gift to i	brāhm-	Ca(ravartin(s),.	. 126
a ņ a(s)',	YVII	Camundabbai, donatrix,	195-96
brahmana(s), one of th	e four	Cāmuņdarāya, au,	126
varnas, 3 and n	, 4, 35 n,	Canda-Māridēvi, s a	
36n, 62-63, 73, 79-8	30, 116,	Kālī, goddess,	85, 87
1	36-37, 153	Candanam, 'Sandal',	47
Brahmana literature,	. 2-3	Candaya, n ,	197
brūhmana Rşı(s),		Candiranandi-asirigar,	
Brahmanical (Vedic)	religion,	Jaina prec ,	146
	ıx, xı, 14	Candrābha, ci,	75
Brahmasundara(-mun	ı), brah-	Candragiri, 1,	11
maņa Ŗşı,	. 112	Candragupta, Maurya k	,
Brahmasundarī, wife o	f Bi ahma-	11	and n
. 1		11	
sundara, . Brāhmī characters,	112	Candramati, q of Asoka	

Candranathasvamin te., 146,173	Cūdāmaņi, Cūļāmaņi, minor
Candraprabha, Tirthankara,	Tamil kāvya, 83 and
Candraprabha te. at	n., 90-91, 94, 126
Jinakāncī, xv	Cūḍāmaṇi-nighaṇţu, Tamil
Candraprabha-Tirthankara,	lexicon, 131, 132 n,
te. dedicated to , 145	133 and n.
Catikan, donor, . 141	Cuddalore, Jaina
Cauvery (Kāvērī), r1, 52, 54-55	monastery at xi
Cāvakar, tadbhava of Skt	
śrāvaka, ix	D
Ceduliya Pandi, donor, 165-66	Dadamittan, k. of
Ceńkayapan, Jaina monk, 142	Hēmapura, 76
Cēra, co, dy or peo, 26, 50-	Dādāpuram, vi, xvi
51, 58-59, 61-62, 197-98	Dakkhıqa-Mahurā, sa
Cetaka (-muni), father of	Madurai, ci, 17 n
Mṛgāvatī, 112	Dandakāranya, forest, 70, 78
cētanā, 'consciousness', 108	dandanātha, 'general', . 203
Ceylon, co, 60	Dāndāyana, Vālmīki's
Ceylon, Jainism in vi	disciple, 9 n.
Chettipatti, vi, xiv	Daņģiyalankāram, Tamil wk,
Chetty, tadbhava of Skt	127 n
śrēşthin, caste, 71,74	darśanas, 'systems of Indian
Chitral, Jaina centre, XIV	thought', 24, 33, 49, 107
Cholavandipuram, vi, xiv	Dasaratha epic k., 7
Christianity vis a vis	Dasyus, natives of the land, 10
kural, 30 and n	Dāvida-samgha, Prākrit for
Cidananda- kavi, au, 11n	Drāvida-sangha, . 17 n
Cintāmaņi, s a Jīvaka-cıntā	Dayāpāla, disciple of Matisā-
maņi, major Tamiļ kāvya,	<i>gara</i> , xiv
48,49 and n, 50, 64, 66, 91	Dayapala-pperiyar, donor, 189
Cola, co, dy. or peo, .	Dēvacandra, au., vi, 11n.
v1, x, xii, xv, xv1, 26, 50,	Dēvadāna, 'gift to the gods',
52, 54, 160, 172-73, 175-76,	xvii
193-97	
Cola-nādu, co., 177	73
	•

Devanandi-pūjyapāda, Jama	Distiba-sangua, 2.6. mara-
grammarian and prec, . 15	sangha, vin, 16 and n., 17
dēva(s), 'god(s)', 51-52, 58,	n, 30,44
73, 75, 119-21, 125, 132	Dravidian civilisation, early,
dērar, 'gods', 171-72	26, 27 and n.
Dēvasēna, Jaina prec, xv	Dravidians, peo., 13, 25, 27
Dēvasēna, au, . 17 n	
dē vatā(s), 'goddess(es)',	${f E}$
70, 105	ēla, 'cardamom', 47
	Ēlācārya, Ēlācārīyar, sa
deva dhamma, 148	Kundakundācārya, Jaina
dhumma, Prākrit for dharma,	<i>prec</i> , vi1, xv, 30
148	Ēlādi, Tamil wk, 44, 46 n, 47
Dhanmadēva-ācārya, Jama	
prec , 200	Cera k , . 198
Dharanondra, a deva, , 119	Eliviruttam, Tamil wk, 17n
	Eļuttadīkāram, a ch. in Nēminā-
120-22, 125	
Dharma, 4, 23, 33-35,	
37, 88, 125-26, 203-04	<i>Nannūl</i> , . 130
Dharmacittan, m, 157	eluttu, 'letter', . 24
Dharmam, a gift or a pious act,	Enadi, off,
198	Encuvadi, Tamil arithmetic wk,
Dharma-śāstra(s), $wk(s)$, 36	. 135-36
and n , 37	Engunan, an attribute of the
duarma-upadēša, 126	Arthat, . 198
Dharamav-urai-carukkam, a ch	Engunav-ıraı-Tırumalaı, s a.
in Nīlakēšī, 106	Arhat-sugiri, l, 198
Digambara Jaina(s), viii, 145	Era-Nandı alıas Naratonga-
Digambara Jainas, Ājīvakas	Pallavaraiyan, donor, . 176-77
mistaken for 107	_
Divākara-muni, Tamil lexico-	$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{v}\mathbf{i}$, 172
grapher, 131	Ēguvāķi ins cf Pāņķja
Divākara-nighaņļu, Tami i lexi-	
con, 131	Ēruvādi Jaina ins,, 156
- Dravida-brahmaņiis, . 136	Eviyamp ü di, donor, , 166

G	Gudnāpur ins. of Kadamba
Gadyacintāmaņi, Skt. wk.,	Ravivarman, 148
67 and n.	Gujarāti literature, v
Gajabāhu, k. of Lankā, 59-61	Guņabhadra(-ācārya),
gaṇadhara(s), 'chief	disciple of Jinasēnācārya
disciple(s)', . 23, 83, 126	and au, 66, 67 n., 131
gaņattār, a body, 164	Guṇāḍhya, au, 110-11
Gandhamālini, co., 119	Guņamālā, q of Jīvaka, 73-74
Gändharvadattä, Vidyā-	Guṇamālaiyār-ila m bakam,
dhara pr and q of	a ch in Cintămani, . 73
Jīvaka, . 71-73, 77	Guņanandı-pperiyār,
Gāndharvadattāiyār-ılambakam,	Jaina ascetic, 192
a ch in Cintamani, 71	Guņasāgara, comm, 128
gāndharva-vivāha of Udayana	Guņasāgara-bhaţāra,
and Padmāvati, 116	Jaina prec., . , 178
Ganga, Western, dy , . x	Guņasāgara-bhaţāra, Jaina
Gangādhara, s a Sengudi	prec and donor, 157-58
Ānainaditēņār Perumagaņ,	Gunasēna, Jaina prec., xiv
donor, 200	Guņavatī, Amrtamatī's
Gangetic valley, migration	attendant, 88
of Aryans towards, 2	Guņavīra, Jaina monk,
Ganisēkhara-Maru-Porcūriyan,	xiv, 193-94
Jaina prec, . 193-94	Guņavīra-kkuravadīgaļ,
Gauda-brāhmanas, eating	Jaina prec, 159
fish and meat 136-37	Guņavīra-paņdita, au, . 128
Gautama Buddha, 8, 107	guravah, 'teacher(s)', 193
Gautama Sākyamuni, s a	guravar, 'teacher', 149
the Buddha, . 8, 106	
gōpura, . 193	gui upādā h, 'teacher(s)', . 192
Gövinda, wife of Padumuhan, 71	
Gōvinda1yār-1lambakam,	H
a ch. in Cintāmaņi, 71	Harappa, civilization of—
Gövindarāja, k of Vidaiya-	27 and n.
nāḍu and an uncle of	Haricandra, Digambara
Jivaka, 78, 80-82	Jaina au , 67 n.

Harışēna, au , .	. 10	Ilakkaṇaıyār-ilambakam,	
Häthigumphä ins of		a ch. ın Cintāmaņi, .	. 82
- •	2 and n	ilambaka(s), 'chapters', .	. 68
Hēma-grāma, Skt. for		Hampuranar, Hampuranas	/-
Ponnūr, vi.,	. xv	adigal, comm, 21 n	
Hēmāngada(-nādu), co	ο,	Ilañcaţikan, father of	
	8, 80, 82	Cajikan,	141
Hēmapura, ci,	76-77	Ilaneccurattu-kkurattıgal,	
Hijrā era, Bommaimala	11	donatrix, . 186-87,	193
Jama ins dated in-		llangautaman, donor, 16	51-63
Hımālayas,	59	Ilangō, Cēra pr, .	51
himsā, the sin of-	104	Ilangövadıgal, Cēra pr , Ja	ıına
Hindi literature,	v	ascetic and au, . 50-5	1, 61
Hindu Āryans, peo,	14	ljankatunkö, pr ,	142
Hindu deities, Tiruvall	uvar	ılankō, 'heir-apparent',	142
included among-	. 32	Ilavenbaikkudi, vi,	191
Hindu revival in the 7t	.h	Iliad, Cintamani compared	i
century A.D,	, 31	with-	64
Hindu revivalists,	31	ınham — Skt kāma,	33
Hosakōţe plates of		Indirakenan, donor,	170
W Ganga, Avinita	. x	Indra, god,	. 51
		Indra festival, .	53
I		Indranandi, Jaina au,	χv
Idaikkaja-nādu, t d,	180	Indrasēna, donor,	170
idar-tirkkum, 'healer	of	Indra worship,	. 5
woes',	153	Indus valley civilisation,	27 n
Ikşvāku, dy,	8 and n	Indus valley, earliest	
Ilāda-mahādēviyār,		Āryan settlements ın-	1
q of Vira-cola,	175	ıraiyili-pallıccandam, tax-	
Ilada-rajar Pugalvippa	avar-	free grant to a Jaina te,	
Gandar, chief,	175	17	5, 203
Ilaiya-bhatarar, Jaina		Irājarājakēšarīvarmar, sa	1.
ascetic, .	177-78	Rājarāja I, Cōļa k , .	174
Haiya-mani-nangai,		Irambādu, v.,	152
donatrix .	195	Irambāţţu-vēļān Sāttan,	
Ilakkanai, q. of Jivaka	, 81-82	donor,	151-52

Irāśakēśariparmar(Skt. Rāja-	Jama $yogin(s)$, 21, 103, 112
kēsarivarma), s.a. Ādītya I,	Jainendra, Skt gr. wk , . 15, 130
cōļa k., 160	Jainendra(s), x, 143
Irugappa, minister of Bukka II,	Jainism, its introduction
203	in the south, . 13
Iru kkuvēļ, donor, 154	Jainism vis a vis Kural,
Irumadısola-Karunadaga-	30 and n.
Kaduttalai, a Cola regiment,	Jainottaman, Irugappa
196-97	described as- 203
ıyakkar, tadbhava of Skt yakşa,	Jains, 13
165-66, 198	Janaka, epic k , 7,9
jyakkı, tadbhava of Skt yakşi,	janmas, births. 89
149, 198	Jarasandha, k of Magadha and
Iyakkı-avvaıgaj, Jama goddess,	a Pratīvāsudeva, 127
159	Jayamati, q. of Piajapati and
iyal, 'section', 24	mother of Vijaya, 91
J	Jayangonda-śólamandalam,
Jaina $\bar{a}c\bar{a}rya(s)$, 55, 134	t d, 195-96
Jama culture, 1	Jayanta (-Dharanendra), son of
Jama darsana, 33, 49	Vaijayanta, 119
Jama ins in Tamil. 139	Jina, te of-
Jama kavya, 49 n	Jma-dikşā, 93
Jaina literature, 10, 33	Jinadiyar, m , 147-48
Jama munis, 43	Jinagirippalli, Jaina monastery,
Jaina philosophy, 24	xv
Jama Ramayana, wk, 7, 10	Jinakāñcī, sa Tirupparuttik-
Jaina religion, 1	kunşam, vi , x, xiii, xv-xiii
Jamas persecuted by the Pandya	jinālaya at Mylapore, 130-34
k, xii	jinasēna, au, 66, 67 and n,
Jaina sangha, 11, 17 n, 85,	Jinasēn-ācārya, guru of
128	Gunabhadr-ācārya, 131
Jama sanyāsi, 38	Jinendra, x, 143
Jaina teachers, impaling of- 31	Jınēndramālaı, Tamı!
Jama Tirthankaras, 19 n	astrological wk, 135-36
Jaina tradition, 23, 30, 39	Jivaka, hero of Jivaka-
91	Cintamaņi, 65-67, 70-83

Jīvaka-Cintāmaņi, s a	Kalugumalai ins of Pandya
Cintāmaņi, mājor Tami [Mārañjadaiya ņ , . 157-59
kāv)a, xiii, 63 and n., 64 n,	Kalugumalai Jaina ins.,
65, 66n , 67n , 68	155-56, 173, 178-93
ji vandhara-campu,	Kaluşavēga, Vidyādhara k., . 72
Skt wk., 67 and n	kāma, one of the puruṣārthas, 88
jivanmukta, 119	Kāma, god of love, 79
jivas, classification of 22-24	Kāma-Jinālaya, Jaina te. built
jñàna, Lord of— 23	by Kadamba Ravıvarman,
vodi, tax, xvi	148
Jvālāmālinī, s a Vahnidēvatā,	Kāmakköţţam, Jaina te.,
Jaına goddess, xv	147-48
jvalāmālinikalpa, wk, xv	Kāmakköttam, Saivite
	amman shrine, . 148
K	Kamban, Tamil poet, 63, 64
Kadaikkāttūr, vi, 189	and n.
Kadamba, dy , 148	Kampapanmar, sa. Kampavar-
Kadandaikkudi, vi 157	man, Pallava k , 155
Kalakkudi-mangalam, vi. 173	Kampavarman, do , . 154
Kādavaiāya, dy, xvi	Kanakacandra-pandita, Jaina
Kalam, vi, 159	prec , 200
Kalambagam, 'poetic	Kamakamālai, Hēmapura pr
mixture', 135	and q of Jivaka, 76-77
Kālanāthasvāmin te,	Kanakama la1yā r-1 lambakam,
149-51, 163	a ch in Cintamani, 76
Kalandai, 11, 128	Kanakanandı-bhaţāra,
kalañju, gold coin 147-48, 172,	Jama prec, 186
197	Kanakanandi-
Kālī, goddess, 48 n. 85-87,	bhaṭṭāraka, do, 184
89-90, 103-04, 137	Kanakanandı-pperiyar,
Kalinga, co outside	donor, 184-85
Āryavarta, 3 n	Kanakavīra-adīgaļ,
Kalithurai, metre, 128n	do, 180
Kalitika, donor, 141-42	Kanakavīra-kkurattīyār,
Kallādar, comm, 25	fe. disciple of Guṇakīrti-
Kalugumalai, vi . 173, 192-93	bhaṭāra, 160-61

Kanarese co.,	Kattiyangaran, minister of k.
Kānci, Kancipuram, ci,	Saccandan, . : 68-69,72, 74,
vii, 145	80-83
Kāñcī, Jaina philosophical	Kaundhi, fe. Jaina ascetic,
system taught at ix	54-55
Kandakköttam, Jaina te	Kauśāmbi, ci., . 110-11,
mistaken for Murugan te, 1x	113, 115, 118
kaṇḍankattırı, medicinal	Kāvēripūmpaţţinam, s.a.
root, . 47 n	Puhār, Coļa ca., . 50
Kandukkadan,	
a merchant 70-71, 74	$k\bar{a}vya$ literature, . 27, 48, 90,
Kāņi-kkuratti, fe teacher, 181	181
Kanımēdai, au, 46 and n, 47	kavyas, minor, 94, 110
Kanı Nanta, Jaina prec ,140-41	kavyas, five minor Tamil, 10
Kannada, co, lang or peo,	kāvyas in Tamil, by Jaina
v, 11 n , 126, 196,	authors, v
Kannaki, Silappadikaram	Kāyalūr, v_i , 152
heroine, . 50-51, 63	Kēmapurī, ci, 76
55-60	Kemasarı, wife of Jivaka, 76
Kapileśvara Gajapati,	Kemaśariyār-ilamhakam,
Oriya k, . xvi	a ch in Cintāmani, 76
Karatkkāņa-nādu, t d., 152, 191	Kēraļa, co, . 198
karanattar, off,	Kēśava, sa Vāsudēva, 127
Karandai, Jaina te at, XVI	Khāravēla, Jama k, 12 and n.
Karıkāla, Coļa k, 52	Kilccēri, 1, . 152-53
Karnāţaka, State, vi, 197	Kiliviruttam, Tanil wk, 17 n
Kaiungalakkudi, vi , 172	kī [kkanakku, 'mınor
Kurunilakkudi-nādu, td. 150	poems', 46 n, 47 n
Karuppannasvāmin rock, 1,	Kīļśāttamangalam vi, 155, 174
167-68	Kijśattamangalam ins of
Kārvetnagar, 1, 90	Cola Rajaraja I, 173-74
$K\bar{a}\dot{s}i, co$, . 2-3	Kīļšāttamangalam ins of
195-96	Pallava Kampavarman, 154-55
Kāţakatıyaraıyar, m , . 155	Kīļśāttamangalam ins. of
Katalan Valutti, donor, 140-41	Pallava Nandivarma II,
Kathākōşa(s), Jaina wk(s), vii	146-48

Kirapākkam, centre of	Kulõttungasõla-pattanam
Yapaniya-sangha, . , xiv	alias Telingakulakālapuram,
$k\bar{o}$, 'king', . 142-43, 150-52,	ci, 200
157-58, 160, 174	Kuļuvāņamallūr, vi, 157-58
kōil, kōyıl, '(Jama) te.,'	Kumārila-bhaţţa,
160, 162-63	Hındu reformer, 135
Könerinmaikondan,	Kumbakonam, pl., xvii
Pandyak, 201	Kumila-gana, xv
Kongudesa, co, 110	Kundakundācārya,
Konguvēļ, pr of Kongudēša	Jaina prec , vii-viii, 17,
and Tamil au,	30, 44
Korramangalam, 11, 201	Kundalakēśi, a story from
Kosala (Kōśala), co,	Buddhist legends 94
2-3, 117-18	Kundalakēsī, Major Tami!
köffam, 'Jaina te.,' . ix, 148	kāvja, 48-49, 94, 103, 103
Köttűr-nadu, td. 182-83	109
Kovalan, Silappadikurum	Kundalakēši, nassumed by
hero, 51, 53-59	the 'nun of the Rose apple'
Kṛṣṇa, Bharata hero and a	102, 106
Vasudeva, 91	Kundala'ceśi-vāda-carukkam,
Kṛṣṇadēvarāya,	ach in Niläkeśi, 136
Vijayanagara k xvi-xvii	Kundavai, Cola pr xvi, 196
Kranaraja, Rastrakuta k., 199	Kundavai-Jinālaya, Jaina te,
Kşatı acudamanı, Skt. wk,	195
67 and n	Kuṇṇūr, 11, 150
ksatrija, one of the four	Kunnur-yögiyar, donor, 189-90
1 arnas, 2, 4-6, 8, 10,	Kūraganpādi, vi, . 157
35 n, 36 n, 71,	Kural, Tanıl wk,
83, 91-93, 118	14, 27-28, 34 and n, 36 and
Kuberadatta, father of	n, 37-38, 40, 42, 46, 49n, 62
Suramañjari, 80	and n , 64, 109
Kudarkudi-kkurattiyar,	Kural-venbā, metre, . 29
donatrix, 191, 193	Kurandı (-tirtha), Jaina centre,
Kuhūr, vi., , xvi	150-51, 163-64, 167, 169 183,
Kulavanigan Sattan, poet, 51	186
Kulõttunga I, Cõla k,	Kurandı-Venbunadu, td, xıv
Janua IC. Damed Hibr www.	

kurattigal, 'lady teacher(s)',	Mādēvi-Ārāndımangalam, vi.,
185-87, 193	160-61
kurattiyār, do , . 161, 181, 193	Mādhari, a shepherdess, 55, 57
kuravadigal,s.a. Skt. gurupādāḥ,	Madhavi, dancing girl, . 53-54
Jaing prec., . 192	Madhurāntaka-Karunāḍaga-
kuravaikūttu, folk dance, 58	Kaduttalai, a Cõļa regiment,
kuravar, from Skt guravah,	196-97
'Jaina prec'., . 193	Mādhva philosophy, 85
kurinji, one of the five tinais,	Madhvācārya, Vēdāntic scholar,
46 n	84
Kuru, dy, 68, 111	Mahdya-dēśa, co, 76
Kuru Pāncāla, co or peo.,	Madıraı, s.a. Madurai, Pandya
2-4, 6 and n.	ca, 162-63
Kuttan, m, 187	Madura, Madurai, do, vii,x-xii,
Kūttan Kāman, do, . 183	xvii, 15, 17 n., 31, 42 n., 47,
Kuvaņaccēri, vi, 172	51, 54-55, 57-58, 64, 161
	Maduraikkāñci, Tamil wk., ix
L	Madurai Sangam, 47, 66
Laksmana, brother of Rama	Magada-kkāndam, a ch in
and a Baladēva, . 126	Perunkathai, 111, 115
Lanka, co , . 59	Magadha(-dēśa), co, 2-3, 5,
Lāţa, co or dy , . xvi	115-16, 127
Lāvāņa, cl, 115	Māgadhī Prākrit, lang., 6
Lāvāṇa-kkāṇḍam, a ch in	Magaral, Jaina centre, . xi
Perunkathai, in, 115	mahākāvyas in Tamil, v, 63, 94
Lokapāla, Pallava pr, . 75	Mahāpurāṇam, Skt wk,
Lōkavibhāga, Digambara Jama	66 and n, 67, 91, 118, 126,
Skt. wk, viii, 16 n	131
M	Mahāvaṃsa, Buddhistic wk,
	61 and n
Mādalan, Kōvalan's	Mahāvīra, Tīrthankara, 5, 8,
friend, . 55-62	71, 83, 107 149
Madanan, brother-in-law of	Mahendramangalam, vi., . 203
•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Kaţţıyangāraŋ, 74	Mahendravarman I, Pallava k.,
<u> </u>	

Mailainathar, s.a. Nemmathan.	Mannai-Ponnandai, n, 204
Jaina de , 130	Manu, law-giver, 36 n
Mākkāriyāšān, au, 47 n	Māranjadaiyan, Pāndya k ,
Mākkāyanār, teacher, 47 and n	150-52, 157-58
Malabar Coast, Jain influence	Māgavarman Avanisūjamani,
on the people of— 61	do , . xi-xi ¹
Malaikkulam, vi, 179	Māgavarman Sundarapāndya I,
Malai-nādu, td, 58	do , 199
Malaiyadhvajan, Jama asceric,	Māravarman Sundarapāndya
154	11, <i>do</i> , xvi
malapañcama-rāga, 88	Māridatta, k of Oudaya-dēša,
Mālava(-dēśa), co 59,87	85-86, 90
Mailisēna, Jama Ŗşi, xv	marudam, one of the five tinais.
Mallisena-pperiyar, donor,	46 n
171	Maruttuvan, m, 149
Malliyūr, vi, 195-96	Masattuvan. merchant pr., 52
Mammai-kkurattıgal, fe	Matisāgara, Jaina prec, xiv
teacher, . 187-88	Māvandūr-pparru, $t d$, 203
Manakkudavar, comm, 39	Mēru, pr of Uttara-
Mānanīkā, pr of Kōsala and	Madurā, 118, 125-26
q. of Udayana, 117-18	Mērumālinī, q of
Mā Nāyakan, a merchant, 53	Anantavirya, 125
mandagam, mandara, from Skt	Merumandırapurā nam,
mandapa, xu, 162-63	Taml wk, 22, 94, 118, 126
Mandalapuruşa, Tamıl lexico-	Middle or Second
grapher, xv, 131	Sangam period, 26
Mandara (Mandira), pr of	Milalai-kūrram, $t d$, 158
Uttara-Madurā, 118, 125-26	Milalūr-kkurattigaļ.
Mangulam Brahmi ins, 140-42	donatrix, , 191
Manımekalaı, major Tamı!	Mılalür-kkurattıyar, do,
kāvya, viii-ix, 48, 49 n,	181, 192-93
50-51	Mînākşî te, , xii
manipravala style of composi-	Mingaikumānār, m . 191
tion, 67, 126	Mitākşarā, wk, . 3 n
Manmagal-ilambakam, a ch	Mohenjodaro, 1, . 27 and n
in Cintamani, 80	

Mokkala, disciple of the Buddha, 106	Mūvāvadiyār, au., 47 Mūvēnda ņ donot, 170
Mokkala-vāda-carukkam,	Mylapore, /, 64, 128-30,
a ch in Nilakēši, 106	134-35
-	134-35
Mṛgāvati, q. of Prajāpati	2.7
and mother of Tivittan, 91	N
Mrgāvatī, q. of Satānīka,	Naccinārkkiniyar, comm.,
111-13	19 n., 25, 39, 46, 60, 83
mudal-nūl, 'primary wk ,'	Nādāguppokku, vi., 156
23 and n	Nāgakumāra-kāvya, minor
Mugai-nādu, td , 195-96	Tamil kāvya, 83, 110
mugamandagam, from Skt	Nāganandi, Jaina prec., . xiv
mukha-mandapa, 155, 161,	Nāganandi-guravar, do., 149
163	Nāgaņandi-kkuravadigaļ,
mukkudai-kkal, 'stone bearing	do, 155-56
the triple umbrella figure', 202	Nakkīraņār, comm, 25n
mukti, 'salvation', 93, 120,	Nāladi, Nāladiyār, Tamil
125-26	wk, . 12-13, 27-28, 39,
Mūla sangha, s a Drāvida	40 and n, 41, 42 and n, 43
saṅgha, . vii-viii, 16-17	n, 44, 46 and n, 109
mullai, one of the five	Nalagiri, n of an elephant, 115
tinais, 46 n	Nālkūr, vi, 170, 185-86
Munibhadra, Jaina prec, xv	Nālkūr-kkurattiga], fe
Municandra(-ācārya),	teacher, 185-86, 193
Jaina yōgin, 103-05	Nallāttāļ, donaetrix, 204
Muni Sarvanandi, au, 45 and n	Nāmagaļ, s a Sarasvatī,
Munivamsābhyudaya, wk , 11 n	goddess of speech, . 71
Mungurai-araiynār, au,	Nāmagaļ-ilambakam, a ch.
45 and n	ın Cıntāmaņi, 68, 71
Murugan, Hindu de, ix	Nandakon, shepherd chief, 71
Mütta-Arıştanemi-bhaţara,	Nandattan, cousin of
Jaina prec, 192	Jīvaka,
Muttaraiyar, dy, 43 and n	Nandi-sangha, x, 146
Muttaraiyar, 'k of pearls', 43	
Mutti-tlambakam, a ch. in	147-49
Cintāmaņi 82	

Nāŋmaṇikkaḍiga!, Tami]	Neminatham, Tamil gr. wk,
wk., , 46	127 n _e , 128
Nannappayan, a merchant,	nerunji, medicinal root, 47 n.
195-96	Neţi(ţu)ħcaliyan, m., . 140-41
Nannūl, Tamil wk, xiii, 127n,	neydal, one of the five tinais,
129 and n., 130	46 n.
Nāraņan, donor, 148	nigama (nikama), mercantile
Narasimha, Sāļuva k., xvi	guild, 141-42
Narasıngamangalam, vi , 165	nigantavādı, Jaina sanyāsi, 38
Naravāhana, Naravāņadatta,	nighantu, 'lexicon' . 131, and n.
son of Udayana, . 118	nikkanda, from skt nirgrantha,
Naravāņa-kkāņģam, a ch. in	a Jaina, , 1X
Perunkathai, . 111	Nikkandakkõţţam, te of
Nariviruttam, Tamil wk,	Arhat, 1X
17 n , 65	Nikkandappalli, do., ix
Nārkavīrāja Nambi, au, 130	Nīlakēśī, chief of dēvatās,
Nārttāmalai, Jaina monastery	104-06, 108-09
at, xv1	Nīlakēšī, minor Tami ļ kāvya,
nāļļār, a body, . 144	22, 37, 49, 83, 94 and n
Nāţţā grupp \bar{o} kku, td , 151-52	103, 107, 109 and n, 118
Nāţţiga-bhaţārar, donatrix, 185	nırgrantha(s), 1x, 107 Nıryāna, 68, 71, 83
Nättukkugumbu, w., 152-53	Nirvāna, 68, 71, 83
Natural caverns in Tamilnadu,	nısīdigai, Jaina tomb, 146, 78
Jaina vestiges in . vi-vii	Nitisataka, Skt wk, 44
Nāyanār, śaivite missionary, xi	_
Näyanär Tirumänaimalai-	0
Āļvār, Jaina de., 200	Odeyadova-Vādībhasımha,
Neccura-nādu, $t d$, 157-58	Digambara Jama au , . 67 n.
Nedumāran, sa Arikēsari	Ottakküttar, Tamıl poet, 49 n
Parānkuśa Nedumāgan,	Oudaya-dēśa, co., 85
Pāndya k, xi	
Nelvēli, vi,	P
Nelvēli-nādu, t d, 177	Pādamulattāņ, m., 178-79
Nēmidatta. au, vii	pādamūlIttān, te. off, 170-71
Nēminātha, Tīrthankara,	Padıkkamana, tadbhava of
128, 134-35	Skt Pratikramana 189

Padimaiyon, epithet of Tolkappiyar, 21 and n. padimam, padumam, tadbhava	Pallankövil copper-plate ins. of Pallava sımhavarman, . x, 143-46
of Skt pratimā, 166, 182-84,	Pallava, dy. or co, x-x11,
190-91, 193	xv, 43 and n , 75, 143, 147, 149, 154-55, 194-95
Padinen-ki kkanakku, 'eighteen	•
minor poems in Tamil',	palli(s), 'Jama te(s)', vii,
viii, 42 n , 44 n	ix, xiv-xv, xvii, 142, 147-48,
Pādirippuliyūr, pl, . 16 n	155, 168, 174, 176-77, 195-97
Padmā, Pallava pr. and q of	palliccunda-iraiyıl, tax-free
Jivaka, 75	grant to a Jama te., . , 202
Padmāvatī, pr. of Magadha and	pallicandam(s), grant(s) given to
q of Udayana, 116-17	Jama te. or institution or prec,
Padumaiyār-ilambakam, a ch	xvi-xvii, 143-46, 151-52, 154
ın Cıntārraņi, . 75	175-176, 200, 202
Padumanār, Jaina scholar, . 42	Pallimadam ins. of Pandya
Padumuhan, a friend of Jivaka,	Märanjadaiyan, 149-51
71, 77	Pallimadam Jaina ins , 163-64
Paduvūrkköttam, td, . 175	Palli-udai-adigal, ascetic in
Pāhatam, Pākatam, s a	charge of a Jama te, 16,
Prākrita, lang, 18	Palli-uḍaıyār, staff of Jama te.,
pālai, one of the five tinais, 46n.	147, 201
Palaiyāgai, vi, . 181	Palli-udiiyar, Jaina de, 196-97
Pāļālaiyam, n of a cremation	Pāmbaiyūr, $vi.$, 200
ground, 103	Pāmbārūr, do, . 151
Palamoli, Tamil nk., 44, 45	Panaiyūr-nādu, t d, 177
and n	Panamparanar, Tamil au, . 21
palankāśu, money, 152	Pañca-gauda brāhmaņas of
pal-gunatt-ādi, 'source of many	Bengal, . 137
qualities', . 153	Pāncāla, co, 115-17
Palgunatton, sa Arhat, 163	Pāncāla-dēśa alias Pārtti-nādu,
pāli, 'Jaina monastery', . 855	do., 103
pali, s a palli 'Jama te.', 140-42	Pañcapāņdavamalai ins. of
Palkunga-kköttam, t d,	Coļa Rājakēsarīvarmaņ,
196-97	175-76

Pañcapāņdavamalai ins of	Pattini-kkuratti-adigal, fe
Pallava Nandivarman II,	ascetic, 172
148-49	Paţţını-kkurattiyar, fe.
Pañcavimsa Brāhmana, Skt	teacher, 172
wk., . 5	Pavanandi, jaina au , xiii
pañca-vratas, Jaina principles,	Pavanandı, s.a. Andai Iliyar
45	Pavanandi, donor, 148
Pändi-mandalam, t d 131	Pavanandi-pperiyār, do, 189
Pāndya, co, dy, or peo,	Peranandi-bhatara, donor, 190
vi, x-xii, xv-xvi, 12 and n,	Pērāsiriyar, comm, 25
21, 26, 31 and n., 41-43, 51,	Pēreyirkudi, vi, 178
54-55, 58, 64, 150-51, 157-59,	Periyapallivayal, do, 200
161, 166, 199, 291	Periyapuranam, Tamil wk,
Pāngaļa-nādu, $t d$, 195-96	VIII, XII
Pannirandu-nighantu, s a	periyar 'Jaina teacher', 192
Cudamanı-nıghantu, Tamıl	Perumadai, vi, 171
lexicon, 132 n	perumalli, medicinal root, 47 n
Pannirupadalam, Tamil wk,	Perumandūr, Jaina vi, xvi, 131
21 n	Perumbalanji, 1, 193
Paruttippaţţu, vi, 145	Perumballi, 'Jama te,' xv
Paruttiyūr, do,, 145	Perumbāņappādi, t d 195-96
Pāţalikā, Pāţalipura, Pāţalipu-	Perumbargur, 11, 183
tra, sa Pādirippuliyūr or	Perumbatti-ūr, do, . 171
Tiruppāppuliyūr, et, viii,	perumbidugu, Pallaya title, 43n
xi-xii, 16 n 30	Perumbidgu-Muttaraiya,
Pattarakar, from Skt Bhat-	Chief, . 43n.
tāraka, <i>Jama de</i> , 152	Peru-Mutturaiyar, do, 43 n
paţārar, from Skt bhuţara,	Perunavalūr vi, 158
'Jaina prec.',	Perunkathai Tamil wk,
157, 178, 183-89, 191-92	110 and n , 111, 118
patarigal, from Skt bhattarita,	Peruńkatuńko, pr, 142
'fe teachers', 193	Perun-Timiri-nādu, t d, 175
pattarakar from Skt bhattā-	Pērūr-kkurattıyār
raka, 'Jama prec', 184, 192	fe teacher, . 191, 193
Paţţinattu-kkuratti, 'fe	Peruvembārrūr, 11, 165-66
teacher'. XIV	

MOEX

Piccai-kuratti, se. teacher, 180	Pugajālaimangalam, vi., 149
Pidangudi, vi., 191	Pugalur Brähmi ins., . 142
pidāra ņ , from Skt. bhajāra,	Pühar, s.a. Kaveripümpattinam,
'Jaina prec', 174	Cōļa ca., ix, 50-52
Prigala-muni, Tamil	Pujjapāda, s.a. Pūjyapāda,
lexicographer, 131	Jama prec., viii, 17 n.
Ping aja -nighaṇṭu, Tami j	Puliyangudi, vi, 130
lexicon, 131, 134	Pūmagaļ-ilambakam, a ch. in
Piśāca-bhāṣā, lang., . 110	Cintāmaņi, 81
Ponneyıl-näthar,Ponneyir-kön,	Pundravardhana, ca. of
Jaina de , 204	Pārtti-nādu, , 103-04
Ponniyakkı, s a ,	Pūņģi Muppāvai, donatrix,
Siddhäyıkä, 149	147-48
Ponnūr, vi, xv, 204	pūppali, 'worship with
Ponnūr-nādu, t.d, 176-77	flowers', , . 62
Porkodu, vi, . 165	
Poruj-ılakkanam, a ch in	Jaina authors, v
Tolkāppiyam, 131	Purāņic Hinduism, . 8
Pōtanapura, <i>ca</i> , 91-93	Purānic story, Cūļāmani
Prabhacandra, au, vii-viii	based upon, . , . 91
Prabōdhacandrōdaya, Tamı!	Puranic story, Merumandira-
wk, 37 and n, 38 n	purāņam based upon, 118
Prabodhacandrōdaya,	Purāņic story of Jîvaka, . 66
Skt drama, 38	Purapporul-veņbā,
Pracchodana, k of Ujjain,	Tami! wk., 127 n
113-14	Purapporuļ-veņbāmālai, do.,
prācya, Eastern Aryans, . 2	21 n
Pradhāna-Yōgadhārıs,	Puzattiraļļu, Tamiļ
Jaina yögis, . 21	anthology, 49 n.
Prākrit, Prākrta, lang.,	Puravu arı-kkanattar,
v, 6, 17-18, 110	revenue off., 166
Pratikramaņa-bhaţāra,	puravuvarıyär, do., 165
Jaina prec, 189	
Pratimā-yōga, Jaina technical	
term, 21 and n.	Puspanandi, donor, 178
Prativāsudēva(s), 126	-

Puşpaşandi-bhaţāra, Jaina prec, 190-91 Puşpasēna, Jaina Ŗşi, xv Puṭppaṇandi, sa Puṣpaṇandi, Jaina prec, 179-191	Rāmāyaṇa, Skt epic, 10 Rāmāyaṇa, Tamiļ epic, 64 Rāsṭrakūṭa, dy., 68 Ratnanandi, Jaina au , vi Ratnapura, ci , 122 Rāvaṇa, a Pratīvāsudēva,
R	126-27
Rādānūpura, Vidyādhara co,	Ravivarman, Kadamba k., 148
91-92	$Rgv\bar{e}da$, . 1, 2 and n.
Rājagīha, ci, 94, 115-17	Roman empire, contact of the
Rājakēsarīvarman, Cōļa k,	Tamil cc with— 26
175	Rsabha, referred to in the
Rājamahāpura, Rājamāpuram,	<i>Rgvēda</i> , 2 and n
ca of Hēmangada, 68-69,	Ŗşabha, Ŗşabhanātha,
72, 78, 80-81	Tirthankara, 5, 195
Rājanārāyaņa, Sambuvarāya	Rşi-samudāya of Jinakāñcī, xv
k, 204	
Rājapura, ci, 85	${f S}$
Rājarāja, Cera k , 198	sabhā, sabhaiyār, a body,
Rājarāja I, Coļa k, xiv, xvi,	156, 165
173, 193, 196	Saccandan, k of Hemangada,
Rājarājapuram, sa Dādā-	68
puram, Jama, te built by	Sadaiyan Korri, m., , 182
Kundavai at- xvi	Sadayappārai, Jaina monastery
Rajasımha II, Pandya k , xvi	at, xvi
Rajusthānī liter iture, v	Saiva faith, Saivism, xi-xiv
Rajasuya-yaga, sacrifice, 4	sawam, 'a strict vegetarian',
Rājavaļi-kathe, Kannada wk ,	136
vi, 11 n	sawam, 'follower of the Sawa
Rājēndra I, $C\bar{o}la k$, 194-96	faith', 136
Rāma, Ramayaņa hero and a	Saivism 118 a vis Kural,
Kesara, 7, 126	30 and n
Rāmadattādēvi, q of	Saivite revival, . 136
Simhasena, 122-25	Sāgaradatta, a merchant, . 78
Rāmappa-nāyak, Vijuyanagara	Sakya clan, 8 and n.
agent, xvi	·

Sālankāyana, minister of	Sanskrit, lang., v, 6, 10n., 11n.,		
Pracchodana, 113-14			
saliēkhanā, Jaina religious	44, 66, 67 and n., 84, 90,		
practice, 18, 19 n.	126-28, 130, 143, 145,		
Sāļuva, dy., xvi	161, 198		
Samanappalli, 'Jaina settle-	Sanskritic languages, 18, 20		
ment', . ix	Santisena-adigal, donor,		
Samanar, Jamas, 1x	183-84, 190		
Samantabhadra, Jaina prec.,	Santisena-pperiyar, do., 179-80		
, vii, xv			
Samayadivakara commentary	Jaina prec., 159		
on Nilakēši, 94	Sarabha, a bird in Hindu		
Sambandhar, Saivite missionary,	mythology, 111		
XI	Sarlputta, Buddhist Elder, 100		
Sambuvarāya, dy, . xvi, 204	Sarvajña (-Vitaraga), 23, 119		
Samhità literature, 2	Sarvanandi, au, viii		
Samudrasēna, k. of Pārtti-	Sarvaeri, q of Vaijayanta, 119		
nādu, 103	Satānika, k of Kausāmbi		
Sandhyātakı, Vālmīkī's	and father of Udayana,		
disciple, , . 9 n	111, 113		
Sangam, 'College of Jama	Satapatha Brāhmaṇa, wk,		
ascetics and/or scholars',	3 and n., 7 n.		
vi11-1x, 14-16, 18, 26-27,			
42 n , 46-47	Sattan, s.a Kulavanigan		
Sangama, dy ., 203	Sättan, Tamil poet, . 51		
Sangha, Buddhistic, . 106	Sattan Devan, donor, . 178		
Sangha, 'College of Jama	Sattan-Gunattan, do , . 150		
ascetics and scholars',	Sattan Kali, do , 173		
viii, xv, 85, 128	Sättan Karı, do, 163-64		
Sanjayanta (-bhattaraka),	satya, Jaina principle, . 45		
son of Vaijayanta, 119-21, 125	Satyaghoşa alias Sribhūti,		
Sānkhya-darsana, . 33, 107	minister of Simahsena, 122-25		
Sānkhya-vāda-carukkam,	Sāvatthi, ci., 100		
a ch. in Nīlakēšī, 107	šāvikitti, from Skt.		
	śrāvikā, 172		

Second (Middle) Sangam	Sinnavai, Pallava q. and
períod, 25	donatrix, 194-95
Sēnāvaraiyar, commen., . 25	Siggāmür, Jaina te. at
Sēndamangalam, ca., . xvi	Xvi-xxii.
Sendan, m., 191	Sigu-bhatara, donor, 183
Sēndaācātti, do , 182	śigumalli, medicinal root, 47 n.
Sengudi Ānainaditē ņ ār	Sızupancamülam, Tamil wk.,
Perumagan alias Gangādhara,	47 n.
donor, , , , 200	Sigupolal, vi., 180
Senguttuvan, Cēra k, 58-59, 61	sıguvalutunai, medicinal root, 47 n.
śida n, tadbhava of Skt.	Sitā, epic heroine, 7
śiş) a, 'dısciple', 178	Sittanņavāšal ms. of Pārdya
sida-ppatārar, s a sişya-	Srīvallabha, 161-63
bhatāra, 'Jaina disciples,	Sittappavāšal Jaina cave te, xv
157-58 Siddha, s a. Arhat 163	Sitta-Perumballi, Jaina te, 176-77
Siddhāntam-uraikkum paţārar,	Siva, Hindu de., xv, xvii, 137
'expounder of the sacred	dwan a.e. Ashat Ashat
doctrine', 157-58	Sivan, sa, Arhat, 45 n Siya-Ganga, patron of
Siddahāyikā, attendant de.	
of Mahāvīra, 149	Solladikäram, a ch in
Silappadikāram, major Tami!	
kāvya, viii, 48, 49n.,	Nēminātham, . 129 Solladikāram, a ch in
50 and n., 52 and n.,	Nannūl, 130
60 and n., 66	Sramaņ-āšrama, s a
Simhapura, ci, . 122	Amaņšērkkai, vi,
Simhasena (-mahārāja), k of	x, 143, 145
Simhapura, 122, 125	Srāvaka-nōnbigaļ, Jama
Simhavarman, Pallava k.,	laymen, 62 n.
x, 143	Sravana belagola, Jaina
Simhavişpu, do., x	stronghold, 11 and n., 199
Singapandi-kkuravadigal,	Sribhūti alias Satyaghōşa,
Jaina prec , 155-56	minister of Simhasona, . 122
Singapura-nādu, td, 160	Sridandapuram, vi, 176-77
Singavaram Jaina ins ,	Stidatta, a merchant, 72
	Sridattan, k, of Videha, . 68

Sri-Kandappaili, te. of	Takkayāgapparaņi, Tamil wk
Arhat, ix	, 49 n.
Sel-Ksaga, epic hero and a	Tämar, w 143-44
Vāsudēva, 2, 127	Tamel, co, long. or peo,
Sri-makkufulkkal, stone	v, vii-viii, xiii, xvii-xix, 10-11
bearing the triple umbrella	13-14, 16-18, 19 n., 20-23,
figure, 202	25-30, 31 and n., 32-33, 37-44.
Srinandi, donor, 179	48 n , 50, 60, 62-64, 66 n.,
Sripurāna, Tamil wk, 126-27	67-68, 83, 90, 94, 103, 110-11
Srīpurāņam, Skt wk, 67	118, 126-27, 128 and n, 129-
Srivallabha, Fandya k., . 161	31, 134, 136-37, 139, 145
Srivardhamana, Srivattamana,	148-49, 151, 161, 172, 193,
Jaina prec., 179	202
Śrtyjava-kkurattivār.	Tamılasiriyar, 47
donatrix, 182	Tamil Brahmi ins, 140-42
Subhaddıran, a merchant, 76	Tamil literature, v, xviii,
Sudañjaana (dēva), ā dēva,	1, 9, 12 and n, 14, 19, 21,
73-75, 82	25, 27, 29, 33, 38-39, 50,
Sudattācārya, Jaina ascetic, 85	62-63, 84, 110-11, 127-28,
Sudharma, a gaṇadhara, 83	136
śūdra(s), one of the four varņas,	Tamil nādu, . v, vii-xii,
35 and n	17, 139-50, 142, 145, 148,
Sunahsēpha, story of— 1	172
Sundarapāndya, Pāṇdyak, 31n	Tamil $Sangam(s)$, 14,
Suramai-dēša, co, . 91	16-17, 25, 64
Suramanjari, q of Jivaka, 73, 79	Tamil Vēda, s a. Kuraļ, Tamil
Sutamanjari-ilambakam, a ch.	wk., vii, 29
in Cintāmaņi, 79	tantra wk, classification of
Svarna-yaknı, attendant de., 149	<i>jī vas</i> in 23
Svayamprabhā, Vidyādhara pr.,	tavappaļļi, hermitage, 77-78
91-93	Telingakulakalapuram, alias
T	Kulöttungasola-pattanam,
Taccan-Sanga-kkurattigal,	<i>ci.</i> , 200
fe. teacher, 182	Tēnimalai Jaina ins., 154
Takaţā, ci, 198	Tenkajavali-nādu, t.d., 165-66
Takka-nādu, co., , 76	Tensiguvāyil-nāsu, do., 201
	•

Tentiruppa Jimaiai, s.a.	Hrumaiaideva, saina de.,
Bommaimalai, 201-02	157 -5 8, 19\$
Teatiruppa]]ımalai-ppa]]ı, Jaina	Tirumalai ins. of Rajanarayana,
te., 201 Third (last) sangam period,	204
Third (last) sangam period, 27, 45	Tirumalai ins. of Rājarāja I, #193-94
tiņaikkaļattār, off, 164	Tirumalai ins of Rājēndra I,
Tiņaimālai-nūŗŗaimbadu,	194-96
Tamil wk., 46 and n.	Tirumalaı Jama ms 199
Tırakköl ins of Cola Parakesa-	Tırumalaı-kkurattıgal, fe.
rivarma n , 176-77	teacher, . , . 187, 193
Tirthankara(s), , 23, 71,	Tırumalaimeç-bhatarar, Jaina
126-27, 195-96	de., 173
Tıruccanurrumalai, s a	Tırumalaıppallı, Jaina te.,
Chitral, Jaina centre, xiv	196-97
Tiruccāranattu-kkurattigal, fe	Tırumalaıyar-Möni-bhaţara,
teacher, . 182-83, 193	Jaina prec, 189
Tiruccāraņattu-paţārigaļ,	Tırumalısaı, Vaişnavite
donatrix, , . 181, 193	missionary, . xi-xii
Tıru gunagırıdeva, Jaina de,	Tirumangai, do, xi-xii
168-69	the triple umbrella figure xvii
Tırujñ anasambandhar, s a,	Tırumunaippādiyar, Jama
Sambandhar, Saivite	poet, 44n, 45 and n
missionary, xi-xii, 17n	tırunămattukkāņi, te. land, xvii
Tırukkalambagam, Jaına	Tirunagungondai, Jaina te at
devotional wk in Tamil,	xvi, 180
45n, 135 and n	Tirunavukkarasu, s,a Appar,
Tirukkāţţāmballı, Jama te at	Sawite missionary, xi, 17n
Kuraņģi, xiv,	Tiruneccuram, vi, 157-58, 173
150-51, 163-64	Tirunu grantādi, Tamil wk,
Tirukköttägu, vi, 178-79, 183-	134, 135 and n
84, 190	Tiruppādirippuliyūr, vi, viii
tirukkõyıl, Jaina te , 155	Tiruppallimalai-ppalli,
Tirukkuzal, Tamil wk, vii,	Jaina te., , 201
28 n., 29 n.	Tiruppānmalaidēva, Jaina de.,
Tirumalai, vi, xiv, xvi-xvii,	175-76
187 106	

Tiruppānmalai-ppaļļi, Jaina te, xvi	Trişaşşilakşana-Mahāpurāna, s a. Mahāpurāna, skt. wk.,		
Tiruppāppuliyūr, s.a. Southern Pāṭatiputra, . xii, 16 and n. Tirrupparuttikkungam, Jaina	66 n. Trişaştisalākāpuruşa-purāņa, Kannada wk , 126		
cenfre, . xiii, xv	Trişaşfisalākāpuruşa-purāņa;		
Tiaupparuttikkungam ins. of	s.a Stipurāna, Tamil wk,		
Bukka II, 203	126		
Tirupparutti-kkurattigal,	Tudiyürmamalaı, Jaina image		
donatrix, . 188, 193	at, xvi		
Tıruttakkadeva, au, 64 and n	Tumbür-kkürgam, td , 157		
65-66, 83	Tundīra-mandala, do, 198		
Tiruvalluvar, au, 30 n,	Turavu-kkāṇḍam, a ch in		
32, 38 n	Perunkathat, 111		
Tiruvennāyil, sa Chettipatti,			
vi, . xiv	${f U}$		
tıruvi [āppuram, an endowment,	Udayana, pr of Kausambi,		
XVII	110-18		
Tiruvirruttalai-Aruvalam, vi.,	Udayana-kāvya, s a Udayaṇa n -		
151-53	kathul, minor Tanii kāvi a		
Tivițțan, hero of Cințamani	110		
and a Vasudēva, 91-93, 126	Udicidēva, au, . 135		
Tivittan, corresponding to	Ugraperuvaludi, Pāndya k,		
К тяпа, 91	42 and n.		
Tolāmolittēvar, au., 90	Ujjain, ca, 87, 113-15, 118		
Tolkāppiyam, Tamıl gr wk,,	Ulaga-nõnbigaļ, Jaina laymen,		
14, 19 and n., 20 and n,	1X		
21-23, 26, 130-31	Uñjai-kkāṇḍam, a ch in		
Tolkappıyanar, Tolkappıyar,	Perunkathai, . 111, 115		
	Upanişads, 6 and n.		
Tondamandalam, t d., 135			
Tondaradıppodi, Vaişnavite	Uzaiyür Sri Köil Nayanar,		
missionary, . x1-x11	Jaina de , . ix		
Trailokyavallabha, s a.	Ürär, Üröm, a body 164, 173		
Vardhamāna, Jaina de., 203	Uttamapāļaiyam Jama ms.		
	<i>167-69</i>		

Uttapandi-kkuravadiga], Jaina	Vedāl ins. of Cola Aditya I,
prec., 179-80	160-61
Uttara-Madurā, ci., 125	Vēda-vāda-carukkam, a ch.
Uttara-purāņa, skt. wk., 66 n.,	in Nilakösi, 108
131	Vēlāyudampālaiym, vi., 142
Uttararāmacarita, skt. drama,	Venbaikkudi, vi., 166, 182
9 and n.	Venbaikkudi-nādu, t.d., 166
Uttaravēda, s.a. Kuraļ, 29	Viraioliyam, Tamil wk., 127 n.
	Vircamanāyakan Candayan
${f v}$	Äyiravan., donor, 196-97
Vaccananda-muni, Jaina guru,	Viruttam, metre, 38, 49 n.,
128	128 n., 131
vadakkıruttal, Juma religious	Vişnu, Hindu de., xv, xvii, 8,137
practice, 18, 19 n	
Vādībhasımha, s a Odeyadēva-	\mathbf{Y}
Vādībhasimha, Digambara	Yajnavalkya, Eastern Arayan
Jaina au, 67 n	Scholar, 7 and n.
Vaicaya, Vijayanagar gen, 203	Yajñavalkya-smṛti, wk., 3 n.
Vaigai, ri, 41, 57	Yāpanīya-sangha, xv
Vaigai-malai, 1, 193-94	Yapparungalakkarigat, Ja mt
Vaigāvūr, a palliccandam vi,	wk., 91, 127 and n.
195-96	Yapparungalam, do., 49 n, 128
Vaišāli, ci, 113	Yapparungala-virutti, do., 128
Vaišeşıka-darsana, 107	Yasodhara, son of Asoka and
Vaisya-puraṇam, Tamtl wk,	k of Avantı, 88-90
Vaisņavišm vis a vis Kuraļ,	Yasodhara-kavya, minor Tamil
30 and n	kāvya, 83-84, 90
Vajjaņandi, s a	Yaśōdhara-kāvya, skt wk., 90
Vajranandi, vii, x, 15, 17	Yakomatı, son of Yakodhara and
and n , 47 n.	k of Avanti, 88-90
Vajranandi-gani, sa Vajranandi	- Yavanika, sa Elini,
kuravar, Jaina prec, 143-46	Cēra k., 198
Valaiyāpati, major Tamil kāvya,	<u> </u>
48 and n., 49 and n.	friend of Udayana, . 112-16
